```
1
                    IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                          WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
 2
                              EL PASO DIVISION
                                VOLUME 4 OF 9
 3
                                           EP:21-CR-259-DCG-JES-JVB
 4
     LULAC, et al.,
                                      ) (
                                      ) (
                                            (Lead Case)
 5
        Plaintiffs,
                                      ) (
 6
     ROY CHARLES BROOKS, et al.,
                                            EP:21-CV-00991-DCG-JES-JVB
                                      ) (
                                      ) (
 7
        Plaintiffs,
                                      ) (
 8
     VS.
                                      ) (
                                           EL PASO, TEXAS
 9
     GREG ABBOTT, in his official
                                      ) (
      capacity as Governor of Texas,)(
10
      et al.,
                                      ) (
                                      ) (
                                            January 26th, 2022
11
        Defendants.
                                      ) (
                                           (8:59 a.m. to 12:59 p.m.)
12
13
      HEARING ON BROOKS PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION
14
                   FIFTH CIRCUIT JUDGE JERRY EDWIN SMITH
15
                  U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE DAVID C. GUADERRAMA
16
                    U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE JEFFREY V. BROWN
17
     APPEARANCES:
18
                                 Mr. Chad W. Dunn
     For Brooks Plaintiffs:
19
                                 Brazil & Dunn
                                 4407 Bee Caves Road
                                 Building 1, Ste. 111
20
                                 Austin, TX 78746
21
                                 (512) 717-9822
22
                                 Mr. Mark P. Gaber
23
                                 Mark P. Gaber PLLC
                                 P.O. Box 34481
24
                                 Washington, DC 20043
                                 (715) 482-4066
25
                                 Mark@markgaber.com
```

```
1
     For Brooks Plaintiffs:
                                 Mr. Jesse L. Gaines
                                 Attorney at Law
                                 P.O. Box 50093
 2
                                 Fort Worth, TX 76105
 3
                                 817-714-9988
                                 Gainesjesse@ymail.com
 4
                                 Ms. Molly E. Danahy
 5
                                 P.O. Box 26277
                                 Baltimore, MD 21211
 6
                                 (208) 301-1202
                                 Danahy.molly@gmail.com
 7
                                 Ms. Sonni Waknin
 8
                                 10300 Venice Blvd. # 204
                                 Culver City, CA 90232
 9
                                 732-610-1283
                                 Sonniwaknin@gmail.com
10
11
     For Defendants:
                                 Mr. Patrick K. Sweeten
                                 Mr. Christopher D. Hilton
12
                                 Mr. Eric Hudson
                                 Mr. William Thomas Thompson
13
                                 Ms. Kathleen Hunker
                                 Ms. Courtney Brooke Corbello
14
                                 Mr. Jack Buckley DiSorbo
                                 Office of Texas Attorney General
15
                                 P.O. Box 12548
                                 MC 009
16
                                 Austin, Texas 78711
                                 (512) 463-4139
17
     ALSO PRESENT:
                                 Mr. Bryan Christopher
18
     Court Reporter:
                                 Kathleen A. Supnet
19
                                 El Paso, Texas
                                 (915)834-0573
20
                                 kathi.supnet5303@gmail.com
21
22
23
24
               Transcript produced by mechanical stenography, and
25
     computer-aided software and computer.
```

1	CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX									
2	VOLUME 4 of 9									
3	JANUARY 26, 2022, (8:59 a.m. to 12:59 p.m.) PAGE VOL.									
4	PLAINTIFFS' WITNESSES:	DIRECT	CROSS	VOIR DIRE	VOL.					
5		4	13		4					
6	(VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION)	67	1.40		4					
7	SENATOR BEVERLY POWELL				4					
8	Court Reporter Certificat	ie		183	4					
9										
10										
11										
12										
13										
14										
15										
16										
17										
18										
19										
20										
21										
22										
23										
24										
25										

4

08:59:28 1 (Open court.) JUDGE GUADERRAMA: All right. Mr. Dunn, we were in 08:59:28 2 the middle of the deposition testimony of Senator Seliger. 08:59:30 3 Shall I proceed? 4 MR. DUNN: 08:59:35 5 THE COURT: Yes, sir. 08:59:37 08:59:37 (Videotaped deposition starts). 6 7 VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OFFERED BY MR. DUNN 08:59:37 8 SENATOR KEL SELIGER, 08:59:37 9 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY THE DEFENSE 08:59:37 BY MR. HUDSON: 09:00:04 10 09:00:04 11 Q. -- Senate District 10, have you seen that document 09:00:04 12 before? 09:00:04 13 Α. Yes. 09:00:04 14 And what is that document? Q. A. It's an article from the Austin American Statesmen. 09:00:04 15 09:00:06 16 Is that a true and accurate copy of the article dated Q. 09:00:08 17 January 24th, 2019, titled "Patrick Criticizes Soldiers Attitude, Lack of Teamwork"? 09:00:12 18 09:00:14 19 Α. Yes. 09:00:15 20 So in addition to the issues that you had with Senator Patrick -- excuse me -- Lieutenant Governor Patrick in 2019, 09:00:21 21 09:00:27 22 he'd also criticized you for not being a team player; is that 09:00:30 23 right? 09:00:30 24 Α. Yes. And that again was based on your 2017 votes against 09:00:31 25 Q.

5

priorities, right? 09:00:34 1 09:00:35 2 Α. Yes. So, challenger in 2014, challenger in 2018, you had a 09:00:35 3 Q. dispute over remarks made about Lieutenant Governor Patrick's 4 09:00:44 5 staffer in 2019; Lieutenant Governor Patrick criticizes you as 09:00:48 09:00:54 6 not being a team player in 2019, right? 7 Um, hum. 09:00:57 Α. 09:01:02 8 UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Is that a yes? 09:01:02 Yes, I'm sorry. Α. BY MR. HUDSON: 09:01:02 10 09:01:18 11 I'm going to hand you what I'm going to mark as 09:01:21 12 Defendant's 11. Take a look at that and see -- tell me when 09:01:29 13 you're finished. 09:01:31 14 For purposes of identification and in addition to 09:01:33 15 marking this as Exhibit 11, this is also Exhibit 20 in the response to plaintiff's preliminary injunction motion. 09:01:37 16 09:01:44 17 Have you ever seen that document before? 09:02:58 18 Α. Yes. 09:02:58 19 What is it? Q. 09:02:59 20 It is an article from Texas Tribune, October 7th, Α. 09:03:03 21 2021. 09:03:04 22 To your knowledge, is that a true and accurate copy of Q. 09:03:08 23 the article titled "Weighing Re-Election Bid, GOP Texas Senator 09:03:12 24 Kel Seliger Confronts Redrawn District, Trump Endorsement of 09:03:16 25 Primary Challenger, " dated October 7th, 2021?

6

09:03:19 1 Α. Yes. 09:03:20 2 Now, the first full paragraph on page 2 of this Q. document -- follow along with me --09:03:24 3 Um-hum. 4 Α. 09:03:24 5 -- reads: Heading into election season, Amarillo 09:03:26 Q. 09:03:29 6 State Senator Kel Seliger says he feels like members of his own party might be using redistricting to oust him after years of 09:03:31 7 09:03:32 8 tension with Lieutenant Governor Dan Patrick, a fellow 09:03:37 Republican. 09:03:37 10 Did I read that correctly? 09:03:39 11 Α. Yes. 09:03:39 12 So you thought politics was at play with potentially Q. being redrawn out of your district; is that right? 09:03:40 13 09:03:43 14 Α. No, not being redrawn out of my district, no. 09:03:48 15 Q. Okay. 09:03:49 16 Being redrawn is such a factor to make it more Α. difficult for me to get re-elected in that same district. 09:03:52 17 09:03:56 18 Q. Well, in fact, the article goes on to read: Seliger's 09:04:00 19 deciding whether he will even run for re-election, but if he 09:04:02 20 does, he's not starting down perhaps his toughest primary, yet. Is that right? 09:04:05 21 09:04:05 22 Α. Yes. You would agree that the district was redrawn to be 09:04:06 23 Q. 09:04:09 24 even more Republican, making it difficult for you to win a 09:04:15 25 primary; is that right?

09:04:15 1 Α. Being more Republican doesn't make it difficult for me 09:04:21 2 to win a primary. 09:04:22 3 Q. So why were you concerned about being or drawing a tougher race that year? 09:04:23 5 Because they were taking counties that I had 09:04:25 Α. 6 representative -- represented before, and taking those counties 09:04:28 09:04:30 7 out of the district and putting counties in the districts that 09:04:34 8 are closer to Midland, thinking that they would affect the vote in favor of the Midland candidate. 09:04:37 In fact, this article goes on to say that: 09:04:40 10 09:04:45 11 Tuesday, former President Donald Trump, a close ally of 09:04:51 12 Lieutenant Patrick, endorsed Sparks and bashed Seliger as a quote-unquote "RINO" -- Republican in the name only -- in a rare 09:04:52 13 09:04:59 14 intervention in the Texas legislative race by the former President. 09:05:00 15 09:05:00 16 Α. Yes. 09:05:01 17 Q. Did I read that correctly? 09:05:01 18 Α. Yes. 09:05:02 19 Do you consider yourself a RINO? Q. 09:05:04 20 Of course not. Α. What does a RINO mean? 09:05:05 21 Q. 09:05:08 22 Republican in name only. Α. And what does that mean? 09:05:09 23 Q. 09:05:11 24 Α. I'm -- I'm not sure I know. It's what if what people 09:05:14 25 call in the far right call people who are not on the -- the far

right. What the President also said that I was a RINO when I 09:05:18 1 09:05:24 2 was like Mitt Romney. And next to probably Ronald Reagan and John McCain, there was probably no one I'd rather be compared to 09:05:30 3 than -- than Romney, which goes to show just how really good the 4 09:05:36 5 opinions of the former President are. 09:05:39 09:05:41

- Q. You would agree you're the most liberal Republican in the Texas Senate, right?
- A. I accept no -- no part of liberal. I am not a liberal. Do I have a less so-called conservative voting record? According to Rice University and Texas Public Policy and the rest of my colleagues, I do, but a lot of those are based on fallacious measurements and assumptions. So my voting record is 80-some-odd-percent with the Republican majority of the Senate, that is not a liberal voting record.
- Q. I'm going to hand you what I'm going to mark as Defendant's 12.

Have you ever seen that article before?

- A. I believe so.
- Q. Go ahead and take a look and let me know when you're finished.
 - A. Yeah, I've read it at the time.
- Q. Is this a true and accurate copy of the June 18, 2021, article titled "The Back Mic: Analyzing the 2021 Texas Legislature's Conservative to Liberal Rankings"?
 - A. I believe so.

09:06:52 24 09:06:54 25

7

8

09:05:45

09:05:46

09:05:52

09:05:59 10

09:06:05 11

09:06:09 12

09:06:14 13

09:06:20 14

09:06:22 15

09:06:25 16

09:06:27 17

09:06:30 18

09:06:31 19

09:06:37 20

09:06:38 21

09:06:40 22

09:06:48 23

9

09:06:55 1 And if you'll flip over to page -- and I'll count them here, because the pages aren't numbered. There's one full page, 09:07:07 2 two full pages -- three full pages, and on the fourth full page, 09:07:11 3 09:07:17 4 number 1, it reads Bryan Hughes; do you one that? 5 Α. I'm not there, yet. 09:07:19 09:07:20 Q. Okay. 7 Now I have it. 09:07:22 Α. 09:07:27 8 Okay. Now, do you see in the top left-hand corner it Q. 09:07:31 says "2021 Rank"? 09:07:32 10 Yes. Α. 09:07:33 11 All right. And above that, there's discussion about Q. 09:07:37 12 the methodology, but the 2021 rank is 1 through 18 Republicans? 09:07:46 13 Α. Yes. 09:07:47 14 Now you would agree that there are 18 Republicans in 09:07:50 15 the Texas Senate, right? 09:07:50 16 Α. I do. 09:07:52 17 Q. For the 87th Legislature? 09:07:53 18 Α. Yes. 09:07:54 19 Now, number one is Bryan Hughes, right? Q. 09:07:57 20 Α. Yes. 09:07:57 21 Q. And you are 18th? 09:07:58 22 Right. Α. So based on Rice University's Baker Institute of 09:07:59 23 Q. 09:08:02 24 Public Policy, out of the all of the members of the Republican 09:08:05 25 Party in the Senate, you are the least conservative amongst

09:08:09 1 them? 09:08:10 2 Α. Yes. And I would also say that the Baker Institute 09:08:16 3 and Rice University does terrible work. So you disagree with them finding that you're the 09:08:20 4 0. 5 least conservative member of the Texas Senate? 09:08:22 09:08:24 Yeah, I do. Α. 7 09:08:25 Q. Okay. Who did you think you're more conservative than 8 with regard to Republicans in the Texas Senate? 09:08:28 I would not necessarily make that claim of anybody. 09:08:30 Α. But do I think I'm more liberal? No. Am I less conservative? 09:08:32 10 09:08:38 11 Probably not. 09:08:38 12 Q. So we don't like Rice University. 09:08:42 13 Let's take a loot at --09:08:42 14 Α. Love Rice University. I think Baker Institute is 09:08:48 15 poorly manned. 09:08:56 16 Q. I'm going to show you what I'm going to mark as Defendant's 14. 09:09:07 17 09:09:14 18 Have you ever seen that document before? 09:09:15 19 I have. Α. 09:09:16 20 Go ahead and take a look and we'll talk about it. 0. 09:09:19 21 Α. I've got it. Okay. Is this a -- have you seen this before? 09:09:20 22 Q. I have. 09:09:25 23 Α. 09:09:25 24 To your knowledge, this is a true and accurate copy of Q. the October 20, 2021, article from the Amarillo Pioneer --09:09:28 25

09:09:32 1 Α. It is. 09:09:33 2 Q. -- called "Seliger calls it quits. Republican Senator not seeking re-election." 09:09:38 3 4 Α. Yes. 09:09:39 5 Why did you decide not to run again? 09:09:39 Q. 09:09:43 6 Everybody leaves at some point and it seemed like a Α. 7 good time, after what would be 19 years when I leave office. 09:09:47 09:09:51 8 Q. So you are concerned about your district being more 09:09:55 competitive in the Republican primary? 09:09:58 10 It's always been a concern. More so this time, not Α. 09:10:06 11 necessarily. I'm going to hand you what I'm marking as Defendant's 09:10:09 12 Q. 09:10:12 13 15. And for purposes of identification, I believe this is 09:10:18 14 Exhibit 6-K to the preliminary injunction motion filed by the Brooks Plaintiffs? 09:10:24 15 09:10:25 16 Α. Um-hum. Go ahead and take look at this document and let me 09:10:26 17 Q. 09:10:30 18 know when you finish. 09:10:38 19 Okay. I think I'm -- I'm ready. I've seen this Α. 09:10:45 20 before. So I'll just represent to you, I didn't print out the 09:10:45 21 Ο. 09:10:48 22 entire Senate Journal from that day. This is the relevant 09:10:52 23 portion, I believe page A-49, but I've got the first page of the 09:10:57 24 Senate Journal on there and got the last on the back. 09:11:00 25 Α. Right.

09:11:01 1 So, I want to turn your attention down to about 09:11:08 2 probably less third of the page where it says Senator West. And 09:11:12 3 if you don't mind, I'll mark it for you so you can find it real easy, because it's kind of a --4 09:11:14 5 Α. 09:11:14 Okay. 09:11:15 -- it's kind of an extensive document. Ο. 7 09:11:23 Do you see the highlighted portion? 09:11:25 8 Α. Yes, sir. So follow along with me as I read that. It says: 09:11:26 Q. Senator West: "Now, hold on for one second. This is going to 09:11:28 10 09:11:31 11 be part of the record. We know we're going to lose this 09:11:34 12 particular vote. It's been said that Senate 10 -- District 10 is going to flip. Okay?" Question mark. 09:11:37 13 09:11:39 14 Did I read that correctly? 09:11:40 15 Α. Yes. 09:11:41 16 Now, is Senator West a Democrat? Q. 09:11:44 17 Α. Yes. Okay. Senator Powell responds: "That's exactly 09:11:44 18 Q. 09:11:49 19 right." 09:11:50 20 Did I read that correctly? 09:11:50 21 Α. Yes. Senator West then says: "So let's get it on the 09:11:50 22 Q. 09:11:52 23 Do you believe that your district is being 09:11:55 24 intentionally targeted for elimination as it being a democratic and trending district?" 09:11:55 25

09:11:59 1 Did I read that correctly? 09:12:00 2 Α. Yes. 09:12:00 3 Q. Senator Powell responds: "Absolutely." Did I read that correctly? 09:12:03 5 Α. Yes. 09:12:04 09:12:04 Do you disagree with Senator Powell? Q. 7 09:12:08 Α. No. I -- I don't know this is necessarily a 09:12:12 8 democratic tending district. I haven't seen any of the 09:12:18 statistics, but over the last few terms, it's been represented 9 by Senator Kim Brimer and then Senator Wendy Davis and then 09:12:21 10 Senator Connie Burton, a Republican, and now Senator Powell is 09:12:28 11 09:12:34 12 who's a Democrat. 09:12:35 13 Now, statistically, it may be democratic trending, but 09:12:40 14 that's not the way I've seen it over that period of time. 09:12:40 15 SENATOR KEL SELIGER 09:12:40 16 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY THE PLAINTIFF BY MR. DUNN: 09:12:44 17 09:12:44 18 Q. Please tell us your name. 09:12:47 19 Kel Seliger. Α. 09:12:51 20 Senator Seliger, my name is Chad Dunn. I represent 0. 09:12:54 21 the Brooks plaintiff in this case. Do you understand that? 09:12:54 22 Yes. Α. 09:12:55 23 I believe that you and I might have met at a 09:12:55 24 courthouse a few years back in the earlier round of 09:12:58 25 redistricting. Does that sound right to you or...

09:13:00	1	Α.	I don't recall it specifically, but, yes, that was	
09:13:02	2	Q.	Okay. I haven't had any contact with you in years,	
09:13:05	3	though, would you agree?		
09:13:06	4	Α.	No.	
09:13:06	5	Q.	Also representing the Brooks plaintiffs is a lawyer	
09:13:10	6	named Mark Gaber, another one named Molly Danahy. Do either of		
09:13:10	7	those names sound familiar to you?		
09:13:10	8	А.	No.	
09:13:14	9	Q.	People you've spoken with?	
09:13:16	10	Α.	No.	
09:13:16	11	Q.	Have you spoken with any lawyers in this case, prior	
09:13:19	12	to today?		
09:13:20	13	Α.	Not since 2012, 2013.	
09:13:25	14	Q.	Okay. Which were the lawyers there that you recall?	
09:13:28	15	Α.	Nina Perales.	
09:13:29	16	Q.	Okay. You spoke with her today during the deposition?	
09:13:32	17	Α.	Yes.	
09:13:32	18	Q.	Have you spoken with her prior to the deposition	
09:13:35	19	today?		
09:13:35	20	Α.	No.	
09:13:35	21	Q.	Have you spoken with any lawyer about your testimony	
09:13:38	22	today oth	ner than your own?	
09:13:39	23	Α.	No.	
09:13:39	24	Q.	How about any lawyers for the state?	
09:13:41	25	Α.	No.	

09:13:42 1 Q. Okay. You received a subpoena to be here today; is 09:13:45 2 that true? 09:13:46 3 Α. I was not served. I'm here voluntarily. Okay. I can tell you that I was served with a 09:13:52 0. 5 subpoena that was directed towards you, but it sounds like your 09:13:56 09:13:59 6 testimony is you've never seen that; is that a fact? 7 09:14:02 Α. It seems like either you or the state sent me a copy 09:14:07 8 of the subpoena or something like that, but I didn't sign 09:14:11 anything, and I simply told them I would be here voluntarily, just give me a time and place. 09:14:17 10 09:14:19 11 Okay. I can represent to you I've never sent you Q. anything. 09:14:22 12 09:14:22 13 Α. No. 09:14:23 14 Okay. I'm not trying to trick you about the subpoena. Q. 09:14:26 15 The reasons I bring up the subpoena is it also had a request in 09:14:29 16 there that you bring some documents. Do you recall that? 09:14:31 17 Α. Yes. 09:14:32 18 I noticed that the state asked you today about an Q. 09:14:35 19 email that was -- it looks like it was forwarded from you to 09:14:40 20 Mr. Opiela. I can find it here in the record. Yes, I recall that. 09:14:43 21 Α. 09:14:44 22 Do you know how the state would've come in possession Q. 09:14:46 23 of that?

09:14:47 24

09:14:51 25

Α.

only things that I had that were responsive to a subpoena.

I assume Mr. Opiela gave them to you. They were the

09:14:55 1 sent them to Mr. Opiela immediately. Well, I haven't received any of your documents, yet. 09:14:58 2 09:15:00 3 And again I'm not fussing about it. I just want to make sure I 4 understand what they are. 09:15:02 5 09:15:03 Α. Okay. So the subpoena asked you to bring the documents 09:15:04 6 Q. 09:15:07 7 today. Are you saying there's none other than that email that 8 was discussed? 09:15:11 There was one other document, I think. 09:15:12 Α. MR. OPIELA: Just to help, Chad, I sent them to the 09:15:17 10 09:15:22 11 state. 09:15:24 12 MR. DUNN: Okay. 09:15:26 13 MR. OPIELA: And I didn't know you were going to be 09:15:27 14 here today, so otherwise I would have sent them to you, but I'd 09:15:27 15 be happy to forward them to you, if you want to take a break and 09:15:31 16 do that. 09:15:31 17 MR. DUNN: In all honesty, I'm not fussing. I do want 09:15:35 18 to see them, so... 09:15:36 19 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I just thought that with my 09:15:39 20 counsel that it was done. 09:15:44 21 MR. OPIELA: If you want to take a break --09:15:48 22 MR. DUNN: Let's go ahead and do that then, yes. 09:15:48 23 MR. OPIELA: I can do that, because I want you to 09:15:48 24 be... 09:15:48 25 MR. DUNN: Sure.

09:15:52 1 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are off the record. The time is 09:15:54 2 11:27 a.m. 09:15:54 3 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record. time is 11:31 a.m. 4 09:16:12 5 BY MR. DUNN: 09:16:12 09:16:12 Okay. Senator during the break, we looked at some of 0. 09:16:13 7 the documents that you produced through counsel; is that true? 09:16:13 8 Α. Yes. 09:16:14 MR. DUNN: And Mr. Opiela, you've looked at the subpoena. Can you tell us what document -- what requests you 09:16:17 10 09:16:20 11 had documents responsive to and what you didn't? 09:16:23 12 MR. OPIELA: So Senator Seliger had document -- items 09:16:27 13 responsive to request 1 and 4. There were no documents in his 09:16:31 14 possession responsive to request 2 and 3. 09:16:34 15 MR. DUNN: Okay. 09:16:34 16 BY MR. DUNN: 09:16:34 17 Q. In -- just, you know, in the interest of clarity, you 09:16:36 18 ultimately produced four documents; is that right? 09:16:38 19 I believe that's correct, yes. Α. 09:16:39 20 Two of them were emails related to your declaration. 09:16:43 21 There is the Word document of your declaration and then there's the PDF signed version of your declaration; is that right? 09:16:46 22 09:16:49 23 Α. Yes. 09:16:49 24 And that's everything you produced? Q. 09:16:51 25 Α. Yes.

09:16:51 1 Okay. You've looked for anything else in the subpoena 09:16:53 2 and you have nothing else responsive? 09:16:55 3 Α. No. Okay. Now, I just want to take a step back for a 09:16:56 Ο. 5 minute because, you know, the Court may ultimately watch this 09:17:01 video to get your testimony, so just a little bit about 09:17:04 6 09:17:07 7 yourself, okay, where you grew up, where you're from? 8 Yeah, I grew up in the town of Borger, Texas, and for 09:17:10 Α. the last -- oh, lots of years -- 40-something-odd years, I lived 09:17:16 9 in Amarillo, Texas, where one time I was city councilman, then 09:17:22 10 09:17:27 11 mayor, and I've been a member of the State Senate since 2004. How long have you been in public service? 09:17:30 12 Q. 09:17:31 13 Α. Since 1989, when I was first elected to city council. 09:17:35 14 Have you ever lost an election contest? Q. 09:17:38 15 Α. No. 09:17:39 16 Now you mentioned earlier in your testimony that you'd Q. 09:17:41 17 done some other, you know, business work, and I think you said steel erection fabrication? 09:17:46 18 09:17:47 19 Α. No, not erection or fabrication. Basically a steel service. 09:17:50 20 09:17:52 21 Q. But you sold that business now? 09:17:53 22 Α. Yes. 09:17:54 23 Q. We also had a discussion while we were waiting at the 09:17:59 24 security stand about your plans next week. Do you recall that?

09:18:02 25

Α.

Yes.

It's my understanding you're going to be in California 09:18:03 1 Q. 09:18:06 2 next week? 09:18:06 3 Α. Correct. Have you had any discussion with anybody about your 09:18:07 4 0. 5 testimony in this case? But earlier I asked you if you had any 09:18:12 6 discussion about your testimony today in the deposition. I'm 09:18:14 09:18:16 7 asking have you had any discussion with anybody about your 8 testimony --09:18:19 09:18:19 Α. No. -- related to this case? 09:18:20 10 Q. 09:18:21 11 No, no one. Α. 09:18:22 12 I just want to be clear in light of some of the Q. 09:18:25 13 state's questioning. Has anybody tried to influence you in 09:18:29 14 terms of what your recollections were today? 09:18:32 15 Well, no, but Senator Powell did ask me to sign the 09:18:36 16 affidavit, but that's the only person I talked to about this 09:18:39 17 issue. 09:18:39 18 Q. When she asked you to sign the affidavit, did she get into, you know, what you believe or what you think or what you 09:18:42 19 09:18:45 20 recall? 09:18:45 21 Α. No. Did she try to plant any kind of seeds in your memory 09:18:45 22 Q. about thoughts or events or facts that you might testify to? 09:18:52 23 09:18:54 24 No, I feel certain, right after the map came out, i Α.

went over to her desk and expressed my sympathy for it, and we

09:19:02 25

09:19:10 1 talked about it briefly and what she thought it did, but that's 09:19:13 2 the only discussion I've had with anybody, just her. 09:19:17 3 That was right there on the floor? Q. Yes. 09:19:19 Α. 5 09:19:19 It passed? Q. Yes. 09:19:21 6 Α. 09:19:21 7 Q. Was she trying to influence your recollections then? 8 Α. 09:19:25 No. Was that conversation anything other than her 09:19:25 9 Q. confiding in somebody in the Senate that she had confidence in? 09:19:27 10 09:19:32 11 Α. No, that's all it was. 09:19:33 12 So, other than that discussion immediately after the Q. 09:19:38 13 vote, have you ever had any discussion with Senator Powell on 09:19:42 14 the merits of the redistricting plan, or her opinions or your 09:19:45 15 opinions, anything of that sort? Not at all. 09:19:46 16 Α. 09:19:48 17 I'm sorry. 09:19:49 18 MR. OPIELA: We were getting close to this. You know, I want to be consistent here and object to any privileged 09:19:51 19 09:19:56 20 conversation. BY MR. DUNN: 09:19:57 21 09:19:58 22 Q. So if you have to, you know in the course of -- well, 09:20:00 23 just let me ask you this. You're familiar with legislative 09:20:04 24 privilege, I assume? 09:20:05 25 Α. Yes.

09:20:05 1 I know you're not a lawyer. I'm not trying to box you 09:20:08 2 into a lawyer position here, but you -- you know, you were in the Senate Redistricting Committee back n 2011? 09:20:11 3 Α. Yes. 09:20:14 5 And you've given lots of testimony in various places 09:20:15 Q. about redistricting. Would that be fair to say? 09:20:18 6 09:20:20 7 Α. Yes. 8 And in the course of that, you had opportunity to talk 09:20:21 Q. about your legislative privilege and --09:20:24 9 09:20:27 10 Α. Yes. 09:20:27 11 -- at least what you understand is the scope? Q. 09:20:30 12 Α. Yes. 09:20:30 13 Q. You realize that's your privilege? 09:20:33 14 Right. Α. 09:20:33 15 It's not somebody else's; is that true? Q. 09:20:35 16 I think so. Α. 09:20:35 17 Q. You can decide on your own whether you want to 09:20:39 18 disclose a conversation? 09:20:39 19 Α. Right. Now in the past, you were represented by the Attorney 09:20:41 20 0. General's office? 09:20:45 21 Correct. 09:20:45 22 Α. 09:20:46 23 Q. In fact, some of the same lawyers in this case today 09:20:49 24 were your lawyers in the previous decade; is that not true? 09:20:54 25 It may be. I think you were still in high school, Α.

09:20:59 1 so... Looking at Mr. Hudson, I think the main lawyer here is 09:20:59 2 Q. 09:21:03 3 Mr. Sweeten? I think I recall him, yes. 09:21:04 4 Α. 5 And I assume in the course of meeting with the lawyers 09:21:06 Q. 6 here at the Attorney General's office, they talked to you about, 09:21:10 09:21:13 7 you know, telling the truth and the importance of doing that in 09:21:16 8 the deposition; is that correct? 09:21:17 Α. Yes. And they obviously talked to you about your 09:21:17 10 09:21:21 11 legislative privilege and what your rights are there? 09:21:24 12 Α. Yes. 09:21:28 13 Q. I would like to take you through your declaration, which you should have there in front of you. 09:21:30 14 09:21:34 15 Α. T do. 09:21:35 16 It's attached as Exhibit 1. Do you have that there? Q. 09:21:48 17 Α. I do and I have a copy I brought, too. 09:21:51 18 Now this deliberation was signed by you? Q. 09:21:55 19 Yes, sir. Α. 09:21:55 20 And on the last page of it, Page 3, that contains your Q. 09:21:59 21 actual original signature? 09:22:00 22 It does. Α. 09:22:00 23 Q. And it was signed on November the 17th; is that a fact? 09:22:06 24 09:22:06 25 Α. Correct.

09:22:06 1 The -- you were given any opportunity you wanted to 09:22:09 2 make adjustments to this declaration; is that true? 09:22:11 3 Α. Yes. It's not the case that, you know, this was jammed down 09:22:11 0. 5 your throat and this is the language that you had to adopt? 09:22:15 09:22:17 Absolutely not. Α. 09:22:18 7 Q. I assume you read it carefully? 09:22:21 8 Α. Yes. And is there anything in it that you think is, you 09:22:21 Q. know, worded incorrectly or slightly wrong or that you would 09:22:24 10 09:22:28 11 rephrase today? 09:22:30 12 Α. I don't think so. 09:22:33 13 Q. Okay. I'd just like to walk through, you know, some 09:22:38 14 of the declaration. Go to page 1. It says you're over the age 09:22:44 15 of 18 and competent to testify. I assume that's true? 09:22:49 16 I hope so. Α. 09:22:50 17 Okay. And you have already testified you're the incumbent Senator in Senate District 31 and elected to that 09:22:54 18 09:22:59 19 position in 2004; is that right? 09:23:01 20 Uh-huh. Α. Yes. 09:23:01 21 And you were the chair of the Senate Redistricting Q. Committee in 2012 and '13? 09:23:04 22 09:23:06 23 Α. Yes. 09:23:07 24 When you were the chair of those --Q. 09:23:08 25 Let me clarify, please. Α.

09:23:10 1 Q. Sure. 09:23:11 2 There was no Senate Select Committee on redistricting Α. 09:23:14 3 in 2013, because we had no bill to consider, but that's when we 4 were in the middle of litigation so I was representing the 09:23:20 5 committee and Senate? 09:23:24 Do you recall the Legislature in 2013 adopting a 09:23:25 09:23:28 7 remedy map for the Senate? 09:23:30 8 Α. I don't recall that well. 09:23:32 Okay. Do you recall the U.S. Federal Court passing or Q. issuing an opinion on the Senate District 10 part of the Senate 09:23:38 10 09:23:43 11 map? I think that decision came out in 2012 in paragraph 5 in 09:23:48 12 your declaration? 09:23:48 13 Α. I did not remember that until I read paragraph 5. 09:23:48 14 Okay. Q. And do not recall the substance of that decision. 09:23:52 15 Α. 09:23:55 16 Do you recall back in 2012 being briefed on that Q. decision? 09:24:00 17 I'm sure I was, but I don't recall it. 09:24:00 18 Α. 09:24:03 19 In paragraph 6, you say the members of the committee Q. 09:24:05 20 received copies of the federal decision? 09:24:07 21 Α. I can only speak for myself. 09:24:12 22 Did you receive it? Q. 09:24:13 23 Α. To the best of my recollection, yes, but I don't 09:24:15 24 recall it. Is it fair to say you relied on the Attorney General's 09:24:15 25 Q.

office in interpreting that decision? 09:24:19 1 09:24:21 2 Α. Yes. 09:24:22 3 Q. In 2013, it says then Attorney General Abbott advised Senate Select Redistricting Committee that it was our duty to 4 09:24:27 5 correct the racially discrimination that the federal court had 09:24:30 09:24:35 found in Senate District 10. 7 Is that what you said, sir? 09:24:36 09:24:37 8 Α. Yes. And is that what you recall? 09:24:37 Q. 09:24:38 10 Α. Yes. 09:24:39 11 The committee held a series of hearings and discussed Q. 09:24:42 12 the federal court's ruling that the dismantling of Senate District 10 was racist and discriminatory? 09:24:48 13 09:24:50 14 Α. Yes. 09:24:50 15 And you recall at least having those hearings in Q. 09:24:53 16 response to the federal court's order? 09:24:55 17 Α. I do. 09:24:55 18 And you would have been the chair? Q. 09:24:56 19 Yes. Α. 09:24:57 20 Okay. And the next sentence in your declaration says, Q. 09:25:00 21 to remedy this racially discrimination, the committee adopted 09:25:04 22 Plan S172, which restored Senate District 10 to its benchmark 09:25:11 23 configuration? 09:25:12 24 Do you recall that? 09:25:12 25 Α. I do.

09:25:13	1	Q. And that's your testimony?		
09:25:14	2	A. Yes.		
09:25:14	3	Q. Now, do you recall in 2011 that Senate District 10 was		
09:25:18	4	not out of deviation?		
09:25:20	5	A. I don't recall that, specifically.		
09:25:22	6	Q. Do you know that to be the case today		
09:25:22	7	A. No.		
09:25:26	8	Q regarding the cycle of redistricting?		
09:25:28	9	A. No, I don't know that.		
09:25:29	10	Q. And ultimately you say the plan was passed by the		
09:25:34	11	Legislature and signed by the Governor; is that right?		
09:25:35	12	A. Yes.		
09:25:36	13	Q. Is it fair to say then in 2013, you as Chairman of the		
09:25:41	14	committee and the Senate and the rest of the legislature, passed		
09:25:42	15	a remedy plan for Senate District 10 to address the federal		
09:25:47	16	Courts's order that the district had been intentionally		
09:25:51	17	dismantled in violation of the Voting Rights Act?		
09:25:54	18	A. It was not done intentionally to do that. The Court		
09:25:57	19	found that it did, but it was not intentional on our part.		
09:26:01	20	Q. And I understand you testified for the state?		
09:26:03	21	A. Yes.		
09:26:03	22	Q. And you testified in San Antonio in that court; is		
09:26:06	23	that true?		
09:26:07	24	A. Yes.		
09:26:07	25	Q. And you testified in Washington, D.C.?		

1	A. Q.	I did.	
	0.		
	~ .	And there were three federal judges there as well,	
3	right?		
4	Α.	There were.	
5	Q.	And it was your opinion that it was not intentionally	
6	discriminatory?		
7	Α.	Absolutely.	
8	Q.	Now, ultimately, the Court found that?	
9	Α.	Right.	
10	Q.	And then you accepted it; is that true?	
11	Α.	Well, yeah. I	
12	Q.	Okay. And so in response to it, you led the committee	
13	in passir	ng a plan that the court would approve?	
14	Α.	Yes.	
15	Q.	Okay. You don't have any regret about that?	
16	Α.	I'm sorry?	
17	Q.	You don't have any regret about that?	
18	Α.	About drawing the first map?	
19	Q.	No. About drawing the remedy plan in response to the	
20	court.		
21	Α.	Oh, yeah, I regret that we had to, because I thought	
22	we had dr	rawn a good map, but the Court said we had to do it and	
23	I am satisfied that we met the requirements of the court.		
24	Q.	And the Attorney General, at the time, told you that	
25	you had t	to; is that right?	
	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	discrimin A. 8 Q. 9 A. 10 Q. 11 A. 12 Q. 13 in passin 14 A. 15 Q. 16 A. 17 Q. 18 A. 19 Q. 20 court. 21 A. 22 we had dr 23 I am satin 24 Q.	

09:27:02 1 Α. Yes. 09:27:02 2 And the next paragraph, number 8, your declaration Q. 09:27:05 3 says, the committee members all knew it was necessary to restore 4 Senate District 10 to its benchmark configuration in order to 09:27:09 5 comply with the Voting Rights Act and the U.S. Constitution, 09:27:13 6 which prohibits racially discrimination. 09:27:16 7 09:27:19 Is that your testimony? 09:27:19 8 Α. Yes, it is. And is that what you recall the plan did in 2012, 09:27:20 9 Q. restore? 09:27:24 10 09:27:24 11 Α. Yes. 09:27:27 12 And at the time of the drawing of the remedy map in Q. 09:27:31 13 2013, can you recall -- you know, I don't want to put you 09:27:34 14 through a memory test, but what are the members of the committee 09:27:37 15 that you can recall, the other members? I know you may not get them all, but what --09:27:41 16 09:27:41 17 Α. Oh, I won't get them all: Senator West, Senator 09:27:47 18 Zaffrini, Senator Frazer, Senator Wentworth; I don't know; 09:27:53 19 Senator Huffman. And I don't recall exactly who else was on it. 09:27:56 20 And again fair enough. But Senator Huffman was the 0. chair of the committee in this most recent? 09:28:00 21 09:28:03 22 Α. Yes. 09:28:03 23 Q. And as you just noted she was on the committee in 2013? 09:28:07 24 09:28:07 25 Α. I believe that's right.

09:28:08 1 Q. I think it's also true she was on the committee in 09:28:11 2 2011. Does that sound --

- A. I believe that's correct.
- Q. Okay. So in terms of what you laid out here in these prior paragraphs in your declaration and what the committee was told by the Attorney General what the Federal Court decision said, that information was shared with Senator Huffman and the rest of the committee, would you agree?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Now you are familiar with redistricting with racially polarized voting? I know you might not be an expert, but you've heard that phrase?
 - A. I've certainly heard the phrase.
- Q. You know it's an important issue that courts resolve in voting rights cases?
 - A. Yes. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And so you said here in paragraph 9, the committee members also knew the voting in Texas in Tarrant County is racially polarized?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. That's something you -- I'm assuming it saw hours of testimony on in the court proceedings?
- A. As a witness, I cannot go -- could go in and see those court proceedings, but, yeah, I believe that was subject -- that was a big, big subject in there. Because we talked about it in

09:28:13

09:28:14

09:28:17

09:28:20

09:28:23

09:28:26

09:28:30

09:28:31 10

09:28:33 11

09:28:36 12

09:28:36 13

09:28:37 14

09:28:41 15

09:28:43 16

09:28:43 17

09:28:48 18

09:28:53 19

09:28:55 20

09:28:55 21

09:28:58 22

09:29:00 23

09:29:07 24

3

4

5

6

7

8

my depositions prior to those court proceedings. 09:29:14 1 09:29:17 2 Q. Okay. 09:29:17 3 Α. Excuse me. Setting aside the litigation then, for a moment 09:29:18 0. 5 though, when you were chair of the committee, were you briefed, 09:29:22 you know, whether in public or private, by experts on racially 09:29:25 6 09:29:31 7 polarized voted? 09:29:33 8 Α. Yes. UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Yeah, I was going to -- well, yeah, 09:29:33 9 09:29:36 10 go ahead. BY MR. DUNN: 09:29:37 11 09:29:37 12 Were those public briefings, private or both? Q. 09:29:41 13 Α. Those were private briefings and all with counsel. 09:29:47 14 Okay. And the counsel you were referring to there is Q. 09:29:51 15 the Attorney General's office? 09:29:52 16 Both the Attorney General's office and Professors Gwen Α. 09:29:55 17 and Morrison of Baylor University. 09:29:58 18 Q. Those are professors that the state had hired to help 09:30:01 19 the committee? 09:30:02 20 Α. Correct. 09:30:02 21 But had you also received advice on racially polarized Q. voting from the Attorney General's office? 09:30:08 22 MR. OPIELA: So I'm going to object on --09:30:08 23 09:30:14 24 MR. HUDSON: Object on by privilege. Object --09:30:14 25 MR. DUNN: Our position is that since it's the

Attorney's General's office is cross-examining their former 09:30:15 1 09:30:17 2 client that we're entitled to any of that discussion. 09:30:22 3 MR. HUDSON: Well, our position is we are instructing not to answer and we don't think that you're waiving privilege 4 09:30:25 5 by testifying today, so to the extent that they're encroaching 09:30:29 09:30:33 6 on attorney-client privilege or attorney work product, the Attorney General's position is you should not answer that 09:30:38 7 09:30:41 8 question. 09:30:41 THE WITNESS: Okay. 09:30:41 10 MR. OPIELA: I don't have any objection to that. 09:30:44 11 your privilege to waive or not, so... 09:30:46 12 THE WITNESS: I'm not going to waive. BY MR. DUNN: 09:30:48 13 09:30:48 14 Q. So you're going to take the direction of the Attorney 09:30:51 15 General's office to not answer questions about any advice or 09:30:52 16 discussions they had with you? 09:30:52 17 Α. I have to this point, but it's no commitment to future 09:30:56 18 input. 09:30:57 19 I understand. If you don't mind, let me finish my Q. 09:31:01 20 question, so we have a record. 09:31:03 21 Α. Okay. 09:31:03 22 So you're going to take the Attorney General's office Q. 09:31:06 23 direction and not answer any questions about the communications 09:31:10 24 you had or advice you received from the Attorney General's

office in 2011 and the '13 litigation; is that right?

09:31:13 25

1 Α. Depending upon the advice of my counsel.

As your attorney noted today, it's your privilege to Q. decide whether you want to invoke or not, and I'm really not trying to be difficult with you, but...

MR. HUDSON: Well, I'm going to object to that line of questioning. You've suggested to the witness a few times that he has the ability to waive the privilege. It's getting awfully close to suggesting that he should. And his attorney for purposes of 2011 or 2013 was the Attorney General's office, not Eric Opiela, and so to the extent you're trying to encroach on attorney-client privilege and attorney work product from prior cases, Mr. Opiela is not here today to advise him. And so I would ask that you please stop suggesting to the witness that, you know, he can -- implying that he should waive his privilege to attorney-client privilege and attorney-client work product. BY MR. DUNN:

- Q. All right. All I'm trying to establish is you're going to take Mr. Hudson's direction and not answer any questions about your communications with the Attorney General's office during the 2011, 2013 litigation about redistricting?
 - Α. Yes, I am, unless otherwise advised by my attorney.
- Okay. Now, in terms of going back to the racially Q. polarized voting --
 - Α. Um-hum.
 - -- so, tell us -- you know, and I understand you're Q.

09:32:13 20

09:32:17 21

09:32:21 22

09:32:28 23

09:32:28 24

09:32:29 25

09:31:16

09:31:19

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

not an expert in it, but tell us what your kind of understanding 09:32:32 1 09:32:39 2 of racially polarized voting is? 09:32:41 3 MR. HUDSON: I am going to object based on attorney-client privilege, attorney work product. 09:32:41 4 5 You can't answer that question without encroaching on 09:32:43 6 those other privileges from prior instruction in prior cases. 09:32:47 09:32:50 7 You're free to answer, but if you can't, I'm instructing you not 8 to answer. 09:32:52 THE WITNESS: I can't. I can't really define it. 09:32:53 9 It's just been a long time. 09:32:55 10 09:32:57 11 BY MR. DUNN: Q. Sure. No. Fair enough. 09:32:57 12 09:32:59 13 So I'll represent to you that racially polarized 09:33:02 14 voting typically is offered as evidence to show that certain 09:33:07 15 racial groups prefer one type of candidate and other racial 09:33:11 16 groups prefer other types of candidates? 09:33:11 17 Α. Right. 09:33:13 18 And it relies on election data to reach these Q. 09:33:15 19 conclusions. There are appropriate experts that testify about You're aware of all of that, generally? 09:33:18 20 09:33:20 21 Α. Yes. 09:33:21 22 And that's testimony or evidence that you've seen over Q. 09:33:23 23 the course of your career on the redistricting committee. 09:33:26 24 mean, would you have ever seen a racially polarized voting 09:33:32 25 analysis?

09:33:33 1 Α. Oh, I'm sure I've seen analysis at some point. 09:33:37 2 MR. OPIELA: Go ahead. BY MR. DUNN: 09:33:39 3 And so that's ultimately how you know that in Tarrant 09:33:39 0. 5 County that voting is racially polarized as you say in paragraph 09:33:43 6 nine in your declaration? 09:33:43 7 Um-hum. 09:33:45 Α. Q. Is that a yes? 09:33:45 Yeah, it's yes. That was the decision of the court. 09:33:46 Α. I don't concede it. 09:33:49 10 09:33:50 11 Q. Oh, I see. Okay. 09:33:52 12 But that's what the court told in its opinion and 09:33:54 13 that's what was shared to you and the rest of the committee 09:33:57 14 members? 09:33:57 15 Α. Right. 09:33:58 16 Okay. Now, you were asked quite a few questions from Q. 09:34:02 17 Mr. Hudson on paragraph 10, and there you say the 2021 Senate 09:34:09 18 redistricting process saw untrue, pretextual explanations given 09:34:14 19 for why the lines were drawn the way they were. Do you see that? 09:34:17 20 09:34:17 21 Α. Yes. 09:34:18 22 Q. And you gave some comments on the Senate floor about 09:34:21 23 the map around the vote? 09:34:22 24 Α. I did. And that information, of course, is available to 09:34:22 25 Q.

09:34:26 1 anyone to get a sense of what you thought about the plan; is that true? 09:34:30 2 09:34:30 3 Α. Yes. I'm not asking you -- I know you don't have it 09:34:30 0. 5 memorized, but what are some of the comments you made on the 09:34:33 09:34:39 6 floor? 7 That the assertion --09:34:40 Α. MR. OPIELA: Go ahead. 09:34:42 That the assertion that was done to sort of 09:34:45 9 Α. concentration of A. G. in District 31 -- in District 28, in oil 09:34:46 10 09:34:52 11 and gas in District 31, that those arguments were specious and 09:34:59 12 untrue and I thought it was contrived. BY MR. DUNN: 09:35:03 13 You said that then? 09:35:03 14 Q. 09:35:04 15 Yeah. Α. 09:35:05 16 And so in that sense, what you have said today on that Q. 09:35:10 17 subject is consistent with what you said then? 09:35:12 18 Α. Yes. Malicious --09:35:13 19 Q. Okay. Have you had --09:35:15 20 And malicious. Α. 09:35:17 21 Do you -- what makes you believe it was malicious? Q. I think because the strained relationship with 09:35:20 22 Α. Lieutenant Governor and Senator Huffman. 09:35:23 23 09:35:26 24 Was the -- you were asked a number of, you know, questions today about your, you know, relationship with the 09:35:30 25

09:35:33 1 Republican Party and support and things of that. Do you recall 09:35:35 2 that generally? 09:35:36 3 Α. Yes. Now did you view what was done to your district as a 09:35:36 5 punishment? 09:35:40 THE WITNESS: Whenever you clear your throat or 09:35:45 6 09:35:47 7 something, I think you're going to say something. 8 MR. OPIELA: No, no. I'm trying to process what he 09:35:49 was asking and what he was saying. 09:35:52 9 Was it punishment, so much as it was designed to be 09:35:52 10 09:36:01 11 adverse to me, more that way. 09:36:01 12 BY MR. DUNN: 09:35:58 13 Q. Is that the -- I mean as somebody that's been in the 09:36:02 14 Senate all these years, is that the sense of how things 09:36:05 15 sometimes go, you fall out of line with leadership and there's a 09:36:08 16 reaction? 09:36:09 17 Α. Absolutely. 09:36:10 18 You know you were asked a number of questions about Q. 09:36:13 19 how you vote and did you vote, you know, conservatively enough, 09:36:19 20 do you recall those questions? 09:36:19 21 Α. Yes. 09:36:20 22 Was that -- was it your experience that when you Q. 09:36:22 23 didn't vote with the priorities with the Lieutenant Governor 09:36:26 24 there was a reaction and it was swift? 09:36:30 25 I don't know what you call swift. Was it -- was it Α.

profound and vindictive, yes, it was. 09:36:33 1 09:36:36 2 Would that have been true in terms of voting against a redistricting bill? 09:36:40 3 There's not much else that the Lieutenant Governor can Α. 09:36:42 4 5 take from me, sole not necessarily. So that's just the answer. 09:36:45 I understand, but in terms of the other members of the 09:36:54 7 09:36:57 Senate, who still had their committee assignments and the other 8 things that, you know, that the other kind of assignments that a 09:37:01 senator usually receives, based on your experience, is it 09:37:05 reasonable to believe that voting against the redistricting bill 09:37:10 10 09:37:13 11 might concern another member of them losing some of their 09:37:17 12 emoluments of office? 09:37:17 13 Α. No question. 09:37:17 14 MR. HUDSON: Objection --09:37:24 15 DEPOSITION COURT REPORTER: Hold on just a second. 09:37:24 16 MR. HUDSON: Objection form. DEPOSITION COURT REPORTER: "Another member of 09:37:26 17 those..."? I didn't hear it. 09:37:26 18 09:37:26 19 MR. DUNN: Another member of the Senate might be 09:37:29 20 concerned that voting against the redistricting bill would cost them an emolument in office? 09:37:29 21 UNKNOWN SPEAKER: And I'll object to form on that one 09:37:32 22 as well. 09:37:34 23 09:37:34 24 THE WITNESS: Just form --09:37:37 25 MR. OPIELA: Yeah. No, you have to answer it.

THE WITNESS: -- because in truth, yes, it did happen, and the 87th District just passed.

Senator Hancock on the bills having to do with electrical grid -- Senator Hancock did a lot of research and work on the issue, and the lieutenant governor, whoever was the lieutenant governor, I assume Senator Schwertner, but I don't know that -- did a lot of work on the other thing and they had bills that were substantially different. When Senator Hancock -- so this transpired on the floor, so I can -- when Senator Hancock voted in favor of his work product, and it should be noted that I voted against Senator Hancock's position and with the lieutenant governor's, he was summarily discharged as the Chairman of the Business and Commerce Committee and I think taken off the committee altogether. So, yeah, vote against leadership and it's going to be swift and sure.

BY MR. DUNN:

09:37:38

09:37:39

09:37:42

09:37:49

09:37:54

09:37:56

09:38:02

09:38:02

09:38:07

09:38:12 10

09:38:20 11

09:38:25 12

09:38:29 13

09:38:33 14

09:38:37 15

09:38:42 16

09:38:42 17

09:38:45 18

09:38:48 19

09:38:53 20

09:38:59 21

09:39:02 22

09:39:08 23

09:39:12 24

09:39:16 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Q. So we've had an objection, so I have to go back and ask questions again without objections, so let me ask you this.

What examples do you recall of other Senators being punished by leadership for not voting for a particular measure?

A. In 20 -- I forget the year, but it was the year the measure was before the caucus of the Senate to take our threshold from 21 votes to 19 votes required to bring the measure to the floor after it came out of committee. One Republican voted against -- and this is a rules change, not a

09:39:20 1 piece of legislation -- Craig Estes of Wichita Falls voted 09:39:28 2 against that rules change, and his next session was taken off as chairman, I think on the agriculture committee, and was taken 09:39:32 3 off the finance committee. 4 09:39:37 5 Do you recall any other examples? 09:39:39 Q. 09:39:41 Α. No. 7 09:39:41 Q. Other than your own? 8 Α. My own. 09:39:42 And so was it your impression if you don't vote for 09:39:43 9 Q. the leadership's redistricting bill, that there would have been 09:39:47 10 09:39:51 11 reaction? 09:39:52 12 Α. It's an absolute conviction, but like I said, there's 09:39:55 13 not much more they can take from me. 09:39:57 14 Q. Right. 09:39:57 15 There were other things that other Republican members 09:40:00 16 could've take from them at that time? 09:40:01 17 Α. Chairmanships and I was the senior Republican without 09:40:04 18 a chair. 09:40:05 19 All the other senators, of course, saw the treatment Q. 09:40:08 20 that you had suffered? Α. 09:40:10 21 Yes. 09:40:10 22 Q. They had seen, those that had been there, saw Senator 09:40:15 23 Estes's treatment after his vote; is that right? 09:40:17 24 Α. Yes. Do you think observing that had a reaction in the 09:40:17 25 Q.

1 chamber in terms of how --09:40:20 09:40:22 2 MR. HUDSON: Objection form. Objection form. THE WITNESS: Yes, I do. And I'm told there's a term 09:40:27 3 4 that goes around the Republican Caucus of being Seligered and 09:40:29 5 that's when the recriminations come. 09:40:35 09:40:37 6 BY MR. DUNN: 7 09:40:37 Since there's an objection, I'm going to ask you a 8 different way. 09:40:38 What is being Seligered? 09:40:39 Not voting for you ship and losing chairmanship or 09:40:45 10 Α. 09:40:45 11 other committee assignments. 09:40:46 12 Have you heard that used by others? Q. 09:40:48 13 Α. Yeah, I have been told. UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Objection as to any non-public 09:40:50 14 09:40:53 15 communications. 09:40:54 16 THE WITNESS: Yeah, it's a non-public communication. 09:40:54 17 BY MR. DUNN: 09:40:57 18 Q. I see. 09:40:58 19 How would you describe the term of being Seligered? 09:41:06 20 Voting against lieutenant governor's position and Α. 09:41:09 21 losing a chairmanship or other committee assignments. 09:41:12 22 And the other example you can think of that is the Q. Senator Estes's you described earlier? 09:41:15 23 09:41:16 24 Α. Senator Estes and the example of Senator Hancock. 09:41:20 25 And what was the example of Senator Hancock? Q.

09:41:23 1 Α. Senator Hancock, almost in the middle of the session, 09:41:26 2 lost his chairmanship of a business and commerce committee, in which he was doing a very nice job, and I think that he was 09:41:30 3 taken off the business and commerce committee altogether. It's 4 09:41:34 5 a very important committee. It's an important chairmanship. 09:41:37 6 And just right in session taken off. 09:41:41 7 09:41:43 Was it your opinion as a member you didn't have the 8 freedom to vote your conscience? 09:41:46 9 MR. OPIELA: And I'm going to object as to the 09:41:50 contemplations that he has when he's voting on bills under 09:41:53 10 09:41:57 11 legislative privilege. 09:42:00 12 MR. DUNN: Are you instructing him not to answer? 09:42:02 13 MR. OPIELA: I am. 09:42:04 14 THE WITNESS: Okay. 09:42:04 15 BY MR. DUNN: 09:42:05 16 Did you feel free to vote your conscience as a Q. 09:42:09 17 Senator? 09:42:10 18 MR. OPIELA: And I'll restore that objection as well. 09:42:14 19 MR. DUNN: And again instruct him not to answer. 09:42:14 20 MR. OPIELA: And instruct him not to answer, because 09:42:17 21 it's going to the substance of his decision making while he's in 09:42:23 22 legislature. BY MR. DUNN: 09:42:24 23 09:42:24 24 Are there any other sort of reactions that you have 09:42:27 25 observed that leadership has had when it's been dissatisfied

with a member's vote? 09:42:32 1 09:42:33 2 Α. Not just offhand. 09:42:33 3 Q. Okay. Because the reaction is pretty swift and sure, it's 09:42:36 5 unmistakable. 09:42:39 09:42:41 Q. Now returning back to declaration in paragraph 10 --7 09:42:41 Α. Uh-huh. 09:42:44 8 -- is it fair to say that paragraph 10 largely Q. summarizes the comments that you made on the floor during the 09:42:49 9 debate? 09:42:52 10 09:42:53 11 Α. I think so. 09:42:54 12 And you said in the next sentence, for example, I was Q. 09:42:58 13 told by Senator Huffman that my district was being changed, 09:43:00 14 adding many new counties around Midland and were removed 09:43:04 15 Panhandle counties in order to create distinctive agricultural 09:43:09 16 versus oil and gas districts between SD-31 and SD-28; is that 09:43:16 17 your testimony? That is. 09:43:17 18 Α. 09:43:17 19 And I'm reading that way so it's easier for her to Q. 09:43:21 20 take it down. 09:43:22 21 Α. Okay. 09:43:23 22 Q. Now, you were -- you had started to say a bit during Mr. Hudson's question, you know, explaining that, but can you 09:43:27 23 09:43:31 24 explain what you meant by the agriculture versus oil and gas

districts and what you understood of Senator Huffman's

09:43:34 25

explanation and what you thought was incorrect about it?

- A. Senate District 28 has tremendous concentration of agriculture primarily built around the cotton industry around Lubbock. There's also a good deal of oil and gas inside Senate District 28, because it goes way down south. At the same time, the district, District 31, the one that I represent, has a tremendous amount of agriculture, both beef and corn, and peanuts and all of those things. It's very agriculture. It happens to be a diversified economy. And -- is there a lot of oil and gas? Yeah, most of the oil and gas in the State of Texas, probably, is in -- in -- well, the Permian Basin, but a lot in the Panhandle. Does that mean that oil ans gas is not important to Senate District 28? Absolutely not. The assertion was specious and untrue and it's just the best that she could do.
 - Q. And you said that at the time?
 - A. Yes.

09:43:37

09:43:39

09:43:44

09:43:47

09:43:54

09:44:01

09:44:06

09:44:15

09:44:20

09:44:26 10

09:44:31 11

09:44:34 12

09:44:38 13

09:44:41 14

09:44:45 15

09:44:45 16

09:44:47 17

09:44:47 18

09:44:51 19

09:44:52 20

09:44:57 21

09:45:07 22

09:45:11 23

09:45:16 24

09:45:19 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- Q. Why do you think, if you have an opinion, as to she was giving you a specious answer?
- A. To try to take those four counties in the Panhandle, those being Gray, Wheeler, Donley, and Collingsworth, out of the district and adding counties that go almost all of the way to the border, like Schleichler and Upton and Reagan. Good counties. I have no objection representing them, because clearly I was going to have to represent more than the 37

09:45:22 1 counties, but it was designed to concentrate the vote to the 09:45:28 2 degree possible in the area close to Midland to help Mr. Sparks. 09:45:33 3 Q. Is that where Mr. Sparks is from? Yes. 09:45:35 Α. 5 Why not just tell you that? 09:45:35 Q. I don't know, because everybody insists they're 09:45:37 6 Α. 09:45:44 7 innocent of any suspect motive. 8 Q. Now I don't want -- I know that your lawyers are going 09:45:47 to instruct you not to answer, so I'm going to try to ask this 09:45:50 9 carefully. 09:45:54 10 09:45:54 11 Α. Okay. 09:45:55 12 Have you had any private conversations with Senator Q. 09:46:00 13 Huffman about her motivation for your part of the plan? 09:46:02 14 MR. OPIELA: Yeah, and you're just going to have to 09:46:05 15 ask the question another way. I'm going to object again. 09:46:06 16 MR. DUNN: I want to know if the conversation existed, not what she said --09:46:06 17 09:46:09 18 MR. OPIELA: Correct. 09:46:10 19 MR. DUNN: -- under your objection. I'm just asking 09:46:10 20 whether the conversation happened. The Court needs to know that so he can rule on the issue. If it didn't happen, we're going 09:46:14 21 to spin our wheels. 09:46:18 22 09:46:19 23 MR. OPIELA: Okay. 09:46:19 24 THE WITNESS: I don't mind answering, if in your

opinion it does nothing to compromise privilege.

09:46:22 25

09:46:26 1 MR. OPIELA: So, can we take a little break here? 09:46:31 2 MR. DUNN: I'm happy to take a break. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the record. The time is 09:46:37 3 12:20 p.m. 09:46:41 4 5 We're back on the record. The time is 12:16 p.m. 09:46:43 09:46:48 6 BY MR. DUNN: 7 Okay. Senator, before the break I had asked you -- I 09:46:48 8 don't want to know the context of the discussion or what was 09:46:51 said, but was there any discussions between you and Senator 09:46:55 9 Huffman, about -- private discussions, about the motivation 09:46:59 10 09:47:01 11 behind the Senate map? 09:47:04 12 Α. No. I did have a conversation with her. It didn't 09:47:08 13 get into motivation. 09:47:09 14 Q. Was the conversation about the Senate map? 09:47:12 15 Α. Yes. 09:47:12 16 Okay. Have you at any point had conversation with the Q. 09:47:15 17 Lieutenant Governor about the Senate map and how it crafted? 09:47:19 18 Α. No. 09:47:19 19 Q. Public or private? 09:47:21 20 A. Public or private. 09:47:22 21 Q. All right. The discussion you did have with Senator Huffman was in advance of the vote or after it? 09:47:28 22 In advance. 09:47:28 23 Α. 09:47:28 24 UNKNOWN SPEAKER: (Inaudible objection). BY MR. DUNN: 09:47:28 25

09:47:36 1 Again, I don't want to know the context or I mean I 09:47:38 2 do, but ultimately the Court will decide whether you testify about it, but -- so don't tell us the substance now, but 09:47:41 3 whatever you were told in substance, did it inform your opinions 4 09:47:45 5 whether you were voting for the bill or not? 09:47:48 09:47:51 UNKNOWN SPEAKER: I'm going to object to that one, 6 7 because that one's going to go -- it's going under legislative 09:47:53 8 privilege. 09:47:58 09:47:58 9 THE WITNESS: Okay. BY MR. DUNN: 09:47:59 10 09:47:59 11 All right. So, did you have any -- other discussions 09:48:02 12 with, you know, what I would call, I guess, senate leadership 09:48:06 13 about his senate district map in private? 09:48:09 14 MR. HUDSON: Objection form. 09:48:10 15 MR. OPIELA: I'm confused. What's the question? 09:48:14 16 MR. DUNN: All right. I'll rephrase it. 09:48:14 17 BY MR. DUNN: 09:48:15 18 Q. What other members of the Senate did you have private 09:48:17 19 conversations about the Senate District map? 09:48:19 20 I can't remember. Α. 09:48:19 21 Q. Okay. 09:48:20 22 But there were probably a few discussions like that. Α. 09:48:23 23 Q. But none others that you recall? 09:48:25 24 Α. No. Okay. All right. Now going back to your declaration 09:48:25 25 Q.

09:48:30 1 in paragraph 11, you say -- well, actually let me ask you just a 09:48:35 2 little bit more about 10. 09:48:37 3 Α. Okay. The final thing you say here is instead it was obvious 09:48:37 5 that the purpose of these changes was to benefit a potential 09:48:41 6 Republican primary challenger from Midland preferred by the 09:48:44 09:48:47 7 Lieutenant Governor. 8 How did you know about this Republican primary 09:48:48 challenger? Had -- I mean -- I don't know (mumbling) -- so was 09:48:52 9 09:48:53 10 it in the newspaper? 09:48:54 11 He had already filed. Α. 09:48:55 12 I see. Okay. Have you ever had any contact with the Q. 09:49:00 13 challenger at all? 09:49:02 14 Α. Oh, yes, because I represent Midland over the years 09:49:07 15 of, not an substantial amount. 09:49:09 16 And what was his name? Ο. 09:49:11 17 Α. Kevin Sparks. 09:49:12 18 Now, what gave the sense that Mr. Sparks was you, Q. 09:49:16 19 know, talking to the Lieutenant Governor or Senator Huffman? 09:49:21 20 UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Objection form. I don't know that he had. 09:49:26 21 Α. 09:49:26 22 Q. Okay. Well, let me ask you this. 09:49:29 23 Earlier, when Mr. Hudson was asking you questions, 09:49:29 24 you -- you said that you thought Lieutenant Governor had told

Senator Huffman to get rid of my district, is what I wrote down.

09:49:32 25

09:49:35 1 Do you recall that testimony?

> I didn't say get rid of my district, but do i think Lieutenant governor played a role? Absolutely, I do.

- Okay. And what makes you think that? 0.
- I think he was intimately involved in the whole Α. process. I think the Trump endorsement, essentially, was requested of the former President by Lieutenant Governor.

Donald Trump doesn't sit around and worry a lot about local elected officials in west Texas.

- Did you -- so have you -- do you have any information Q. that Mr. Sparks had direct contact with Senator Huffman?
 - Α. No.
- Q. Do you know whether he had any direct contact with Lieutenant Governor or Lieutenant Governor's staff?
 - No. I suspect that he did. Α.
 - Now, going to paragraph 11 of the declaration. Q.
 - Α. Okay.
- You say, given my experience on the Senate the Q. Redistricting Committee in 2011 and 2013, the Federal Court's order regarding Senate District 10, the fact that the benchmark district was compact, wholly contained within Tarrant County, and had close to ideal population, I cannot accept the suggestion that any of the state of redistricting criteria, such as equalizing population, compactness, communities and interests or incumbent protection, compelled the substantial change to

09:50:41 18

09:50:43 19

09:50:47 20

09:50:52 21

09:50:56 22

09:50:59 23

09:51:04 24

09:51:10 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

Senate District 10's boundaries. 09:51:14 1 09:51:16 2 Is that what your testimony is? 09:51:17 3 Α. Yes. And you say I believe this explanation is pretext? 09:51:18 0. 5 Yes. 09:51:21 Α. And so with regards to paragraph 10 and 11, you have 09:51:21 6 Q. 09:51:27 7 provided testimony today about two examples that you think the 8 public explanation that Senator Huffman gave for the Senate map 09:51:31 were pretext at least with respect to two districts? 09:51:36 09:51:40 10 Α. Yes. 09:51:41 11 Now, you testified -- at this point just sitting here 09:51:50 12 today, I don't want to get into subjects outside of our 09:51:54 13 stipulation. Everybody has agreed we're going to talk today 09:51:55 14 about matters relative to the preliminary injunction. 09:51:55 15 Α. Okay. 09:51:59 16 But I just want to make sure, in response to questions Q.

Q. But I just want to make sure, in response to questions by Mr. Hudson, that there's an understanding what your recollection is.

So at this point you have not examined whether there are untrue reasons offered for other districts in the Senate plan?

A. No.

09:52:01 17

09:52:05 18

09:52:06 19

09:52:08 20

09:52:13 21

09:52:13 22

09:52:13 23

09:52:15 24

09:52:19 25

Q. Okay. And you're not testifying today whether untrue reasons were or were not offered for other districts in the Senate plan?

09:52:19	1	A. I am not.
09:52:20	2	Q. Okay. Now, finally, in paragraph 12 of your
09:52:26	3	declaration, you said, I voted in favor of an amendment, offered
09:52:29	4	by Senator Powell, to restore Senate District 10 to its
09:52:33	5	benchmark configuration.
09:52:35	6	Do you see that, sir?
09:52:36	7	A. Yes.
09:52:37	8	Q. Is that your testimony?
09:52:37	9	A. (No response).
09:52:40	10	Q. Is that a yes?
09:52:40	11	A. Yes. I'm sorry.
09:52:41	12	Q. And you the record reflects that you voted for
09:52:44	13	Senator Powell's amendment?
09:52:45	14	A. I did.
09:52:46	15	Q. Do you recall, and again we're not getting into the
09:52:48	16	discussion, but do you recall having any discussion with Senator
09:52:51	17	Powell about her amendment before she offered it?
09:52:54	18	MR. OPIELA: And would you rephrase that to limit the
09:52:59	19	public conversation.
09:53:00	20	MR. DUNN: Okay. Well, I'm just asking the existence
09:53:04	21	of a private conversation.
09:53:05	22	MR. OPIELA: Okay.
09:53:05	23	BY MR. DUNN:
09:53:05	24	Q. Did you have a private conversation with Senator
09:53:07	25	Powell

09:53:07	1	А.	Yes.
09:53:08	2	Q.	about her amendment before she offered it?
09:53:10	3	А.	Yes.
09:53:11	4	Q.	And I assume you'll invoke privilege?
09:53:14	5	А.	I will.
09:53:15	6	Q.	Do you have a public conversation with Senator Powell
09:53:17	7	over her	amendment related to Senate District 10?
09:53:20	8	А.	Help me with the distinction between the public and
09:53:23	9	private d	conversation.
09:53:25	10		When I went and talked to her on the floor.
09:53:27	11	Q.	Yes.
09:53:27	12	А.	Is that public or private?
09:53:29	13	Q.	Well, that's between you and your lawyer, I think, to
09:53:31	14	advise.	
09:53:32	15		MR. OPIELA: So, public is something that's part of
09:53:34	16	the publi	ic record.
09:53:35	17	Α.	It is not part of the public record. It was a private
09:53:39	18	conversat	cion.
09:53:39	19	BY MR. DU	JNN:
09:53:39	20	Q.	And did you have a public conversation with Senator
09:53:42	21	Powell,	cather?
09:53:43	22	Α.	No.
09:53:43	23	Q.	Okay. Why did you vote for her amendment?
09:53:46	24		MR. OPIELA: That's I'm going to object to that.
09:53:48	25	That goes	s directly to his well, legislative privilege.

BY MR. DUNN: 09:53:53 1 09:53:54 2 Okay. So then returning to paragraph 12 of your 09:53:56 3 declaration, it says, having participated in the 2011 and 2013 Senate Select Redistricting Committee proceedings and having 4 09:54:00 5 read the prior federal court decision regarding Senate District 09:54:04 6 10, it was obvious to me that the renewed effort to dismantle 09:54:07 09:54:13 7 Senate District 10 violated the Vote Rights Act in the 8 Constitution. 09:54:17 And that's your testimony? 09:54:18 Yes, it is. 09:54:18 10 Α. 09:54:18 11 And you're drawing that from your experience of having Q. 09:54:22 12 been redistricting chair --I do. 09:54:22 13 Α. 09:54:23 14 -- in the prior cycle? Q. 09:54:23 15 I do. Α. 09:54:23 16 -- and testifying and reading the court decisions? Q. 09:54:26 17 Α. Yes. 09:54:26 18 Okay. Now, this declaration that we've gone through Q. 09:54:32 19 here was provided to you, I think, from your documents in a Word 09:54:37 20 form; is that right? Yeah. 09:54:37 21 Α. And did you provide it to your staff at any point, 09:54:38 22 Q. 09:54:41 23 your senate staff? This declaration? 09:54:43 24 Α. 09:54:45 25 Yes, sir. Q.

09:54:46 1 Α. My chief of staff might have read it, because she 09:54:49 2 reads almost everything that comes across my desk, but no one 09:54:52 3 else. Okay. I quess I'm curious and you may not remember, 09:54:52 Ο. 5 but whether you shared the Word version with your staff before 09:54:55 you signed the declaration? 09:54:58 6 7 09:55:00 Α. No. Other than her, but no. And you knew when you signed this was going to be 09:55:04 8 Q. filed in the United States District Court? 09:55:06 Α. 09:55:08 10 Yes. 09:55:09 11 And the that the judges and the lawyers were going to Q. 09:55:11 12 rely on your testimony? 09:55:12 13 Α. Yes. Did you take the time to be careful about it? 09:55:14 14 Q. 09:55:15 15 I read it, I thought fairly thoroughly. Α. 09:55:19 16 And if you had saw anything at all that you thought Q. 09:55:21 17 was incorrect, you would've changed it or asked for it to be 09:55:25 18 changed? 09:55:25 19 I believe I would. Α. 09:55:26 20 Okay. All right. And you said something earlier 0. about the -- well, we've had a little bit of discussion about 09:55:33 21 09:55:37 22 the deviation in the map. And I am going to show you the map that's been previously marked as Brooks' Preliminary Injunction 09:55:43 23 09:55:49 24 Exhibit 17. 09:55:51 25 I did give you a copy, Mr. Hudson. MR. DUNN: I

```
09:55:52
        1
            couldn't get it printed in color, but the color is not going to
09:55:55
        2
            matter for the question I'm going to ask. I'm going to use a
09:55:59
        3
            computer version, because we need to zoom in on the numbers.
        4
                      THE WITNESS: Okav.
09:55:59
        5
                      MR. DUNN: And my computer printing skills weren't
09:56:01
       6
            good enough to get the numbers, but...
09:56:04
        7
09:56:04
                      MR. OPIELA: So just numbers wise or it would be 16 or
       8
            what are we...
09:56:08
                      MR. DUNN: I don't intend to, I mean, attach it. It's
09:56:08
        9
            Plaintiffs' Preliminary Injunction Exhibit 17. It's in the
09:56:11 10
09:56:15 11
            court record.
09:56:17 12
                      MR. OPIELA: Okay. So, procedurally, how are we --
09:56:20 13
            how are we giving the Court a record of what he is looking at.
                      MR. DUNN: So this is already filed before the Court.
09:56:24 14
09:56:27 15
                      MR. OPIELA: Okay.
09:56:28 16
                      MR. DUNN: It was filed with the preliminary
09:56:29 17
            injunction motion --
09:56:30 18
                      MR. OPIELA: Okay.
                      MR. DUNN: -- and it's Exhibit 17.
09:56:30 19
                      MR. OPIELA: And that's what -- how your referencing
09:56:30 20
            it.
09:56:30 21
09:56:34 22
                      MR. DUNN: I try not to --
09:56:34 23
                      MR. HUDSON: I'm looking over your shoulder so I can
09:56:39 24
            actually see.
09:56:39 25
                      MR. OPIELA: Yeah.
```

09:56:39 1 MR. DUNN: Sure. 09:56:39 2 BY MR. DUNN: I have zoomed in to Tarrant County there? 09:56:40 3 Ο. 09:56:40 Okay. Α. 5 (Indiscernible conversation amongst counsel). 09:56:40 09:56:40 6 MR. DUNN: Just so you don't think I'm tricking you, 7 09:56:59 I'm going to zoom out so you see this whole thing. 09:56:59 8 UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Right. 09:56:59 9 BY MR. DUNN: 09:57:01 10 This is the Texas Legislative Council developed a map Q. 09:57:05 11 of the plan that passed -- of the benchmark plan, would you 09:57:10 12 agree? 09:57:10 13 Α. Yes. 09:57:10 14 Okay. Now, it has the various was districts and Q. 09:57:12 15 shows the population and deviations; is that right? 09:57:13 16 Α. Yes. 09:57:14 17 Q. And your district here that you've discussed is Senate District 31. Do you see that? 09:57:18 18 09:57:18 19 Α. Yes. 09:57:19 20 It was underpopulated by seven-and-a-half percent? 0. 09:57:22 21 Α. Right. 09:57:22 22 And to the south of your district, in the Panhandle, Q. 09:57:25 23 is Senate District 28, which was under populated by 09:57:29 24 15.3 percent? 09:57:30 25 Α. Right.

09:57:31 1 Now going over to Tarrant County, the district Senator 09:57:35 2 Powell serves in, was underpopulated by .6 percent; is that 09:57:39 3 right? 4 Α. Yes. 09:57:39 5 So based on this, it didn't have to be, for at least 09:57:39 Q. 6 equalization purposes, any changes to Senate District 10? 09:57:42 7 MR. HUDSON: Objection, form. 09:57:46 8 Α. That's my impression. 09:57:53 09:57:53 BY MR. DUNN: Now to the north of the Dallas-Fort Worth area, say 09:57:53 10 Q. 09:57:56 11 the northwest, there was Senate District 30 that was 09:57:58 12 overpopulated by 9.3 percent? 09:58:01 13 Α. Right. 09:58:02 14 So from your standpoint, and I guess just so you can 09:58:06 15 see it all, Senate District 14, which is to the south of Tarrant 09:58:10 16 County was underpopulated by 1.4 percent? 09:58:13 17 Α. Do you mean 24. 09:58:15 18 Oh, I'm sorry, 24. Q. 09:58:16 19 Uh-huh. Α. 09:58:17 20 Was underpopulated by 1.4 percent; is that right? Q. 09:58:20 21 Α. Right. And then Senate District 22, the other one in the 09:58:20 22 Q. 09:58:23 23 region, was .4 percent over size; is that right? 09:58:27 24 Α. Yes. All right. So from your standpoint, you know, if you 09:58:27 25 Q.

09:58:31 1 have an opinion, what was the logical way to deal with the 09:58:35 2 underpopulation of your district? MR. HUDSON: Objection, form, calls for speculation; 09:58:37 3 objection, form, improper hypothetical; objection, form, 09:58:40 4 5 foundation; Senator Seliger is not being offered as an expert. 09:58:44 09:58:49 MR. OPIELA: You go ahead an answer. 7 09:58:52 THE WITNESS: Okay. 8 Ask me again. 09:58:53 MR. DUNN: Sure. 09:58:53 9 BY MR. DUNN: 09:58:53 10 09:58:54 11 From your standpoint as the incumbent senator in 09:58:57 12 Senate District 31, what was the -- what was your preferred method for balancing the population in your district? 09:59:03 13 09:59:06 14 MR. HUDSON: Same objections. To add counties in that area not -- that are now in 09:59:08 15 Α. 09:59:12 16 District 28. Those were the only options. Adding things like 09:59:17 17 Clarendon County to the north. 09:59:19 18 THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. What county? 09:59:21 19 Clare- -- well, Donley County, D-O-N-L-E-Y -- to the Α. 09:59:24 20 north and some of the counties that were added by Senator Huffman. 09:59:31 21 09:59:31 22 BY MR. DUNN: 09:59:31 23 Q. And can you recall some of those? 09:59:33 24 Α. Yeah, Reaves, Crane, Winkler, Ward, because they're all right by existing counties. They are contiguous with the 09:59:54 25

09:59:58 1 district.

2

3

4

5

7

8

09:59:58

10:00:02

10:00:08

10:00:09

10:00:09

10:00:12

10:00:18

10:00:21

10:00:25 10

10:00:37 11

10:00:40 12

10:00:44 13

10:00:46 14

10:00:48 15

10:00:48 16

10:00:52 17

10:00:57 18

10:00:57 19

10:01:01 20

10:01:02 21

10:01:05 22

10:01:08 23

10:01:13 24

- Q. Okay. So when you take those out of Senate District 28, which is already also underpopulated, and I assume Senate District 28 is going to take on some space?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. What was your thought where that would come from?
- A. Taylor County, specifically, because in 2011, we put some of the population in northern Taylor County, which is Abilene, into that district, that leaves a bunch more people there in Taylor County and Callahan County, Shackelford County.
- Q. And in equalizing those two districts, was it necessary to go into Senate District 10 at all?

MR. HUDSON: Objection, form.

- A. Was it necessary to go --
- BY MR. DUNN:
 - Q. In order to make the Panhandle Districts that were underpopulated within deviation under the new Census figures, was there any need no mess with Senate District 10?
 - A. District --

MR. HUDSON: Objection, form, calls for speculation; objection, form, improper hypothetical; objection, foundation, Senator Seliger is not being offered as an expert.

- A. My impression is District 10's composition is District 10 had nothing to do whatsoever to do with the Panhandle.
 - Q. Did you essentially give an opinion on the floor at

the time of the vote? 10:01:24 1 10:01:24 2 I made no comment on District 10. 10:01:27 3 Q. In terms of the changes that you thought could be made to West Texas, were those things you talked about on the floor? 10:01:31 4 5 Α. Not, specifically, no. 10:01:35 10:01:35 0. Okay. I mentioned some of the counties in the context of ag 10:01:39 7 Α. 8 versus oil and gas, that's all. 10:01:44 10:01:47 And why did you mention those things? Q. To point out how --10:01:50 10 Α. 10:01:52 11 MR. OPIELA: I'm going to object to that as -- in 10:01:53 12 terms of his thought processes, how he goes about making 10:01:57 13 decisions as legislative privilege. BY MR. DUNN: 10:02:13 14 10:02:14 15 Did you have any reasons that you, and I don't want Q. 10:02:18 16 you to disclose them at the moment --10:02:20 17 Α. Okay. 10:02:20 18 Q. -- I just want to know if they exist. 10:02:20 19 Did you have any reasons that you voted against the 10:02:23 20 Senate plan other than what you said on the floor publically? 10:02:28 21 Α. Yes. 10:02:28 22 Q. Have you -- are those other reasons that you have, 10:02:32 23 have you ever expressed them publically elsewhere? 10:02:35 24 Α. Publically, no. Like to a newspaper, constituents or any sort of --10:02:37 25 Q.

10:02:40 1 Α. No. 10:02:40 2 And so I assume you take legislative privileges on Q. your other basis for voting against the Senate plan? 10:02:44 3 Α. 4 Yeah. 10:02:46 5 I'd like to tell you, but he would not approve. 10:02:56 10:03:00 Q. Okay. He is your lawyer. 7 He is. 10:03:01 Α. Okay. I say that for our record. It doesn't know who 10:03:02 8 Q. 10:03:06 he is. 10:03:07 10 Α. Okay. 10:03:08 11 Is it the case that in the Texas Senate there's 10:03:14 12 sometimes what's said in public about the motivations behind the 10:03:17 13 legislative activity and there's something different in private? All the time. 10:03:21 14 Α. 10:03:23 15 Q. Would you say more often than not that's the case? 10:03:25 16 Not necessarily, no. Α. 10:03:26 17 Q. Would you say more often than not that's the case on 10:03:30 18 the big items? 10:03:31 19 MR. HUDSON: Objection, form, calls for speculation; 10:03:33 20 objection, foundation --I would say it's often the case. 10:03:34 21 Α. 10:03:34 22 BY MR. DUNN: 10:03:34 23 Q. Do you think it's often the case with regard to 10:03:38 24 redistricting? 10:03:38 25 MR. HUDSON: Same objections.

I think it's often the case on a lot of issues, 10:03:38 1 Α. 10:03:43 2 particularly more controversial ones. BY MR. DUNN: 10:03:43 3 4 Ο. Including redistricting? 10:03:46 5 MR. HUDSON: Same objections. 10:03:46 Α. Yes. 10:03:48 BY MR. DUNN: 7 10:03:50 8 Q. You mentioned in response to Mr. -- well, let me ask 10:03:50 it this way. The -- you -- there used to be a Two-Thirds Rule 10:03:56 as it was referred to in the Senate; is that right? 10:03:59 10 10:04:02 11 Α. Correct. 10:04:02 12 And that has gone through some changes in the last Q. 10:04:06 13 decade or so; is that right? 10:04:07 14 Α. Correct. 10:04:07 15 What were those changes? Q. 10:04:10 16 In -- the rule was that it required two-thirds vote of 10:04:16 17 the Senate or 21 votes, for a bill that has come out of 10:04:21 18 committee to even go to the floor for debate. Several years 10:04:28 19 ago, that rule came up and the Lieutenant Governor wanted it 10:04:33 20 changed to 19, because the Republican majority was eroding in 10:04:41 21 the Senate. And the rule was changed and that was the instance 10:04:46 22 I talked about when Senator Estes voted against the rule change. Then I think it was the 87th or 86th session, once 10:04:51 23 10:04:55 24 again as our majority eroded, when Beverly Powell won District

10, Pete forest lost his election in his district to Senator

10:05:03 25

10:05:09 1 Gutierrez, that the Lieutenant governor wanted the number changed to 18 and so it was. But even with that rule change, 10:05:12 2 with 18 votes to go, if the Lieutenant Governor doesn't want the 10:05:22 3 bill to come to the floor, it doesn't come to floor. 4 10:05:29 5 Is that because the Lieutenant Governor has the 10:05:32 Q. 6 authority to just pull a bill or is it because he can get the 10:05:35 votes he needs? 10:05:38 7 8 Α. I would argue that there is no such authority because 10:05:39 the Senate makes the rules and the Senate has not done that, but 10:05:42 9 he does it and he's the one who recognizes senators on the 10:05:47 10 10:05:51 11 floor. 10:05:51 12 Q. And so it's been your experience that the Lieutenant 10:05:55 13 Governor would just decide a bill would not bet taken --10:05:57 14 Α. Absolutely. 10:05:58 15 -- without a vote? Q. 10:05:59 16 Absolutely. Α. 10:06:00 17 Q. That's a tremendous power, would you agree? 10:06:04 18 Yes, and it is even in the Rules of the Senate or the Α. 10:06:07 19 Constitution. 10:06:07 20 DEPOSITION COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. Say that again, "and it..." 10:06:07 21 10:06:07 22 And it is also not in the Rules of the Senate or the Α. Constitution. 10:06:07 23 BY MR. DUNN: 10:06:14 24 And ultimately, the Lieutenant Governor's ability to 10:06:14 25 Q.

10:06:17 1 withdraw or remove any bill affects every Senator being able to 10:06:23 2 pursue their agenda, would you agree? MR. HUDSON: Objection, form, foundation; objection, 10:06:26 3 4 form, calls for speculation. 10:06:29 5 Α. Yes. 10:06:32 10:06:33 THE WITNESS: You talk really fast. 7 BY MR. DUNN: 10:06:35 8 Now, I wanted to go back and -- on the first vote that 10:06:36 Q. you described Senator Estes voting against, the first vote to 10:06:38 9 change the rules --10:06:43 10 10:06:44 11 Α. Yes. 10:06:44 12 -- how did you vote? Q. 10:06:45 13 Α. I voted to change the rule. 10:06:47 14 And what was the new number of senators that were Q. 10:06:50 15 needed after the first rule change? 10:06:51 16 Α. 19. 10:06:52 17 Q. And later, the rule was changed again. What was the 10:06:55 18 new number? 10:06:55 19 To 18. Α. 10:06:56 20 And how did you vote on that? 0. I voted in favor. 10:06:57 21 Α. 10:06:59 22 Q. So in terms of drawing a district that intentionally would not elect a Democrat -- well, let me strike this. 10:07:04 23 10:07:12 24 If Senate District 10 had continued to select a 10:07:16 25 Democrat, then the rules still provided for Republican control

under the revised two-thirds rule; is that true? 10:07:20 1 10:07:23 2 Α. Yes. 10:07:24 3 Q. In other words, it wasn't necessary to draw Senate District 10 to elect a Republican, in order to get bills before 4 10:07:27 5 the floor? 10:07:31 MR. HUDSON: Objection, form, speculation, foundation. 10:07:32 7 10:07:35 Α. As I understand the question, no. 10:07:37 8 BY MR. DUNN: Okay. Earlier, Mr. Hudson asked you some questions 10:07:38 9 Q. about your private conversations with various senators, and he 10:07:46 10 10:07:49 11 asked you about Angela Paxton. And it sounded to me like you 10:07:53 12 had recalled something -- and again, don't get into it -- but 10:07:56 13 had you had a conversation with Angela Paxton? 10:07:57 14 Α. Not private or public. 10:07:59 15 Okay. I just couldn't --Q. 10:07:59 16 Right. Α. 10:08:01 17 Q. -- tell for sure how you would answer. 10:08:03 18 Α. Sure. 10:08:04 19 You also mention that you talked with Sean Opperman, Q. 10:08:09 20 Senator Huffman's committee director? 10:08:11 21 Α. Yes. Did you talk to him about the Senate plan? 10:08:12 22 Q. MR. OPIELA: That would be to the substance of the 10:08:15 23 conversation. Just the fact that he -- I'm going to object on 10:08:18 24

10:08:18 25

legislative privilege.

10:08:20 1 MR. DUNN: He can't -- you're not even going to let 10:08:21 2 him say whether or not he spoke with Senator Opperman about the plan? That's it? 10:08:24 3 4 MR. HUDSON: I just want to clarify on the record, 10:08:25 5 Sean Opperman is not a senator. 10:08:28 10:08:28 MR. DUNN: Excuse me. 7 THE WITNESS: He's a committee director. 10:08:31 10:08:31 8 MR. OPIELA: But he's legislative staff, nonetheless. 10:08:35 BY MR. DUNN: 9 So all I'm asking is, was there a conversation about 10:08:35 10 10:08:39 11 between you and Mr. Opperman about the Senate plan without 10:08:42 12 getting into that? 10:08:44 13 MR. OPIELA: You can answer that. 10:08:46 14 Α. Yes. BY MR. DUNN: 10:08:46 15 10:08:46 16 Q. Was there more than one? 10:08:47 17 Α. I think only one, that I recall. Was it in advance of the floor debate? 10:08:48 18 Q. 10:08:52 19 Yes. Α. 10:08:53 20 Was there any other witnesses to the discussion? Q. Α. 10:08:56 21 No. 10:08:57 22 Was it your sense that Mr. Opperman was the, you know, Q. lead staffer for Senator Huffman on the plan? 10:09:05 23 10:09:07 24 Α. He was committee director, yes. And if there was anybody else that was part of that, my chief of staff might have 10:09:11 25

10:09:14 1 been there. Sean used to work for her, so ... 10:09:17 2 Q. I see. 10:09:18 3 Α. Yeah. So there may have been a witness in this conversation 10:09:18 0. 5 and that person may have been your chief of staff? 10:09:21 May have been. I don't think so, but may have been. 10:09:24 Α. 7 10:09:29 (Videotaped deposition concludes). 8 MR. DUNN: That includes the offer of this deposition, 10:09:29 and so the Court knows and for the reporter's benefit, the page 10:09:32 9 and line designations have now been filed in E.C.F. 165, along 10:09:36 10 10:09:41 11 with the transcript to (mumbling), transcribed in the reporter's 10:09:46 12 record. 10:09:47 13 We're prepared to call our first witness. 10:09:47 14 JUDGE GUADERRAMA: All right. MR. DUNN: But there will be a little bit of 10:09:49 15 10:09:50 16 technology change I'll need to make a little bit into the 10:09:53 17 examination. I'm hoping we can do that at the morning break. 10:09:56 18 JUDGE GUADERRAMA: Okay. 10:10:11 19 Who will be your first witness? 10:10:14 20 MR. DUNN: I call Senator Beverly Powell. 10:11:04 21 MR. SWEETEN: Take a break? In other words, start the direct now? 10:11:06 22 10:11:07 23 Is there a morning break you want to take? 10:11:09 24 THE COURT: I thought we would go for two hours, since we're going to do four-hour half, do two hours and take a break, 10:11:12 25

10:11:15	1	but if anybody needs a break now, we've been at it an hour and
10:11:19	2	ten minutes, we can take a break now. I'm not sure if that's
10:11:19	3	what you're getting at.
10:11:23	4	MR. SWEETEN: I'm just trying to get a tally of
10:11:23	5	when (indiscernible).
10:11:32	6	MR. DUNN: And so as I mentioned, I'm going to have to
10:11:32	7	transition some technology here and that'll take about
10:11:35	8	five minutes. And
10:11:35	9	JUDGE GUADERRAMA: So, do you want to take a break
10:11:37	10	now? Is that what you're suggesting to me?
10:11:42	11	MR. DUNN: What I was I thought we could do the
10:11:42	12	introductory items and get sort of into the legislative history
10:11:45	13	and then we can take a break.
10:11:47	14	JUDGE GUADERRAMA: All right. So you let me know when
10:11:48	15	you want to do that.
10:11:49	16	MR. DUNN: I beg your pardon, sir?
10:11:51	17	THE COURT: You'll let me know when you want
10:11:52	18	MR. DUNN: Please, yes.
10:11:52	19	THE COURT: All right. All right.
10:11:54	20	Senator Powell, come on up.
10:12:14	21	SENATOR BEVERLY POWELL,
10:12:24	22	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY THE PLAINTIFFS
10:12:26	23	BY MR. DUNN:
10:12:26	24	Q. Please tell us your name.
10:12:28	25	A. I'm Beverly Powell.
		1

10:12:29 1 Senator, we're going to need you to bring that microphone as close as you can. The cavern in here absorbs the 10:12:33 2 10:12:37 3 voices and our court reporter will need to hear you. Can you tell us how are you employed? 4 10:12:41 5 Α. I am a state Senator for District 10, State of Texas. 10:12:42 10:12:45 For how long? Q. 7 10:12:47 Α. This is my -- I'm at the end of my third year, 8 beginning of fourth. 10:12:54 10:12:54 Could you tell us your race or ethnicity? Q. I'm a Caucasian. 10:12:55 10 Α. 10:12:55 11 Can you start by just giving us a little bit of your 10:12:59 12 background, where you grew up, that sort of thing? 10:13:01 13 Α. I have was born in Fort Worth and my parents moved to 10:13:06 14 Burleson when I was four. And I went through the public school 10:13:10 15 system in Burleson, graduated from Burleson High School. And, 10:13:15 16 you know, we had a very normal, healthy family life, lots of 10:13:21 17 activity and support from our parents. 10:13:24 18 Q. Where did you go to college? 10:13:26 19 I went to Texas Wesleyan University. Α. 10:13:28 20 That's in Fort Worth? 0. Yes, it is. 10:13:29 21 Α. 10:13:30 22 Q. Di you graduate from there? I did. I graduated in 1992 with a Bachelor of Science 10:13:33 23 Α. 10:13:40 24 degree in psychology and I have a Master's in Business 10:13:43 25 Administration from Texas Wesleyan in 1999.

1 Q. And then what did you do?

A. Well, in my career, I am real estate professional.

I've had my brokers license, with the exception of about a

10-year period, since about 1975. And I was in partnership with

my family in land development, home building, and investment in

real estate projects.

- Q. At some point, did you get into public service?
- A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Tell us about that?
- A. In -- well, I preface my remarks by saying that in the early 2000s, I became a member of the Board of Trustees for Texas Wesleyan University. And I loved that service. I love education and I believe strongly in education.

In 2007, a member of the Burleson Independent School District Board of Trustees retired from being a trustee, and I was invited to apply to fill his term. And so I was appointed as a member of the Burleson Independent School District. I think that was like in February in 2007, and then during the May election cycle, I had to run for office, and I ran for office and won that seat.

I was a School Board Trustee for Burleson ISD?

- Q. Did you pursue other service after that?
- A. Yes. I actually resigned my role as School Board Trustee to run for the Texas State Senate in District 10.
 - Q. What year was this?

10:15:26 24

10:13:45

10:13:47

10:13:55

10:13:59

10:14:04

10:14:09

10:14:10

10:14:13

10:14:14

10:14:15 10

10:14:22 11

10:14:28 12

10:14:32 13

10:14:36 14

10:14:43 15

10:14:47 16

10:14:54 17

10:14:58 18

10:15:04 19

10:15:10 20

10:15:12 21

10:15:18 22

10:15:20 23

2

3

4

5

6

7

10:15:32	1	A. 2017, I believe.
10:15:34	2	Q. And which party did you seek the nomination?
10:15:36	3	A. The Democratic Party.
10:15:38	4	Q. Are you a Democrat?
10:15:39	5	A. I am a Democrat.
10:15:40	6	Q. Do you have any apologies about that?
10:15:43	7	A. I have none.
10:15:43	8	Q. Now what is were you ultimately successful in your
10:15:48	9	election contest?
10:15:48	10	A. I ultimately was successful.
10:15:50	11	Q. Who was the opponent that you ran against in that
10:15:53	12	election?
10:15:53	13	A. Well, I ran against Connie Burton in the general
10:15:58	14	election for Senate District 10.
10:16:00	15	Q. Was she the Republican nominee?
10:16:02	16	A. Yes, she was.
10:16:03	17	Q. And is her race or ethnicity also Caucasian?
10:16:08	18	A. Yes.
10:16:08	19	Q. Tell us about the campaign. How did you decide to
10:16:12	20	run, were you recruited, that sort of background?
10:16:15	21	A. I had a conversation one day at a Board of Trustee's
10:16:19	22	meeting at Texas Wesleyan University. And we were talking about
10:16:23	23	education, public ed and higher education, and I think
10:16:28	24	Commissioner Brooks said something to me like, well, it sounds
10:16:31	25	like you should run for higher office, and I said maybe I will.

10:16:35 1 And that started the thought process, that was the seed that led 10:16:43 2 me, ultimately, to think that through. And by, I think, May, I 10:16:51 3 had made the decision that I actually should run for Senate. Before making that decision, did you talk with other 10:16:57 4 0. 5 state leaders in the community? 10:17:00 I talked with lots and lots of people, yes. 10:17:02 Α. 7 10:17:05 The Court heard yesterday from Commissioner Brooks and 8 Justice of the Peace de Leon. Were you here for any of that 10:17:13 testimony? 10:17:13 9 10:17:13 10 Α. Yes. 10:17:13 11 Did you have contact with Judge de Leon before you Q. 10:17:16 12 ran? I had lunch with him on one occasion. 10:17:16 13 Α. We had a conversation about the possibility that I might run. 10:17:22 14 10:17:24 15 At the time that you decided to run, were there any Q. 10:17:28 16 other candidates that were seeking the Democratic nomination? 10:17:34 17 Α. There was a Democratic candidate, Allison Campolo, who 10:17:38 18 actually entered her campaign sometime around March. 10:17:43 19 What was her race or ethnicity? Q. 10:17:45 20 She was also Caucasian. Α. 10:17:47 21 And ultimately, did you and she face off in the Q. primary election? 10:17:51 22 We did. 10:17:51 23 Α. 10:17:52 24 Do you recall roughly what the outcome was? Q.

Yes. I won, roughly.

10:17:53 25

Α.

10:17:58

10:18:01

10:18:01

10:18:09

10:18:11

10:18:15

10:18:16

10:18:19

10:18:25

10:18:29 10

10:18:34 11

10:18:42 12

10:18:46 13

10:18:49 14

10:18:51 15

10:18:53 16

10:18:55 17

10:19:02 18

10:19:07 19

10:19:12 20

10:19:17 21

10:19:21 22

10:19:31 23

10:19:36 24

10:19:40 25

3

4

5

6

7

8

DIRECT - POWELL 72

1 Q. Do you recall roughly what the decision of the vote 2 was?

- A. I think I won pretty soundly, maybe by ten points.
- Q. And how would you describe the general election contest after the nominations had been settled and you worked towards November?
- A. Well, we worked really hard, I'll just say that. I know from my perspective, that's about as hard as I have ever worked in my life. I put over 20,000 miles in my vehicle.

 Traveled from South Lake to Benbrook and everywhere in between.

 We attended every meeting that we were invited to. We attended forums. And, you know, I became more and more confident it was a race I could win.
- Q. What were the neighborhoods -- I assume it's all of them, but can you give us the main neighborhoods in Senate District 10 that you were seeking votes from?
- A. Well, I spent a lot of time in Poly, for instance, in Southeast Tarrant County and Southeast Fort Worth, actually, around the Texas Wesleyan University area. I've been really instrumental in some development initiatives through my on the Texas Wesleyan Board there, so we started sort of with that.

I visited African-American churches. We campaigned in Fort Worth. We campaigned in North Fort Worth and northern Tarrant County and the arm that goes up North Side, Fort Worth. We campaigned in South Lake and Colleyville. And Mansfield is

1 | an area that we spent a lot of time.

10:19:48

10:19:53

10:19:58

10:20:04

10:20:04

10:20:09

10:20:13

10:20:14

10:20:20

10:20:23 10

10:20:27 11

10:20:34 12

10:20:39 13

10:20:42 14

10:20:49 15

10:20:57 16

10:21:03 17

10:21:08 18

10:21:12 19

10:21:16 20

10:21:16 21

10:21:22 22

10:21:29 23

10:21:34 24

10:21:35 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q. And how would you describe these doors in southside areas in terms of the predominate race or ethnicity of the citizens there?
- A. Well, the areas of SD-10 in north of downtown Fort Worth are predominantly Hispanic. That is a large are of Hispanic settlement.

Then in the southern portion of Fort Worth, down around -- I guess down around Seminary South. My grandparents lived on the old Seminary Drive, so I had been raised in that area as well. Those areas around Seminary Drive and down toward Loop 820 in south Fort Worth, are also an area of high population of Hispanic neighborhoods.

African-American community is located predominantly in that east Fort Worth, southeast Fort Worth area, from say I-30 -- for Senate District 10, anyway -- from Interstate 30, down through the Rosedale quarter down to Berry Street and even further south towards Seminary Drive and beyond.

- Q. Are there neighborhoods with significant Asian population?
- A. There are some significant Asian population, one over in Arlington, probably in that 157 corridor in Arlington, and then also along the Sylvania drive and (indiscernible) in Fort Worth.
 - Q. And were you ultimately successful in the election?

10:21:37 1 Α. Yes, I was. How would you describe the result in terms of 10:21:38 2 Q. landslide, close, squeaker? 10:21:40 3 I don't think you'd call it a landslide, but I won by 4 10:21:44 Α. 5 10,000 votes. It was significant enough that I felt really good 10:21:49 10:21:54 6 about our victory. 7 When did you take the oath of office? 10:21:55 Q. 10:21:58 8 Α. I took the oath of office -- I believe it was January 10:22:02 the 8th of 2019. And you've been serving regularly in Senate District 10:22:03 10 Q. 10:22:08 11 10 since then? 10:22:08 12 Α. Yes, sir, I have. 10:22:09 13 Q. Now, before we turn to the subject matter in this 10:22:12 14 case, tell us a little bit about what the duties and 10:22:14 15 responsibilities of a Senator are? 10:22:16 16 Well, certainly there are legislative responsibilities

- A. Well, certainly there are legislative responsibilities every other year. In this last year, we had our regular session and three special sessions, which is extremely time consuming and sort of all consuming. And so I'm very proud of our legislative efforts and our the legislation that we've gotten across the finish line, but I think I'm more proud even of the constituent service work that we do.
 - O. What does that involve?

10:22:20 17

10:22:26 18

10:22:34 19

10:22:38 20

10:22:43 21

10:22:47 22

10:22:49 23

10:22:51 24

10:22:57 25

A. Well, our staff members certainly answer every single email that comes across our desk and we try to help with

resources for our constituents. We've done everything we -- I
think one of the first things we did so amazing, we had a body
exhumed from a pauper's grave and exported to family members in
a state up north. And that was my first surprise at the
enormity of constituent work. We have united families with

10:23:36 7 helped children in Child Protective Services get the medical

care that they need. We helped one baby get adopted by his

adopted babies at the hospital, during the COVID crisis.

foster parents, in an effort to make sure that the child

received a kidney transplant.

During the COVID crisis, we did everything we could to provide P.P.E. for hospitals and doctors, to make sure that when vaccines became available, that those were disseminated throughout Senate District 10 that met the needs of our citizens.

On one occasion, you know, we even contracted for P.P.E., so that we could get gloves and masks for doctors' offices, because smaller doctors' offices didn't have the P.P.E. supplies that they needed.

- Q. Was it the case with when you took office and you began to do this constituent work, you were the front door access to state government?
 - A. Absolutely.
- Q. Was it the sense that some of that work hadn't been done prior to your election?

6

8

10:23:31

10:23:40

10:23:46

10:23:51 10

10:23:57 11

10:24:01 12

10:24:07 13

10:24:11 14

10:24:16 15

10:24:16 16

10:24:23 17

10:24:29 18

10:24:33 19

10:24:34 20

10:24:38 21

10:24:42 22

10:24:44 23

10:24:51 1 Α. Yes, sir. 10:24:52 2 Now, I'd like to fast-forward to the 2021 Q. 10:24:57 3 redistricting process, and starting in sort of 2019, do you recall sending a letter to Senator Huffman then? 4 10:25:02 5 Α. Yes, sir. 10:25:05 Let me turn this on. So this should come on the 10:25:05 0. 7 screen in front of you. And there should be three binders up 10:25:10 10:25:12 8 there. 10:25:12 MR. DUNN: And for the record, this is Brooks' Exhibit 3. 10:25:16 10 10:25:35 11 JUDGE GUADERRAMA: This is the letter from Senator 10:25:36 12 Powell? MR. DUNN: Yes, sir. 10:25:37 13 BY MR. DUNN: 10:26:19 14 10:26:19 15 All right. Senator, you have there before you this Q. 10:26:22 16 letter; is that true? The letter dated October 30th? 10:26:23 17 Α. 10:26:25 18 Yes. Can you give (indiscernible), please? Q. Yes, sir. 10:26:29 19 Α. 10:26:29 20 2019? Q. Yes, sir. 10:26:30 21 Α. What was your purpose of sending this letter? 10:26:31 22 Q. 10:26:35 23 Α. We wanted to be sure that the redistricting committee 10:26:39 24 understood Senate District 10 and that they understood that we 10:26:44 25 were a majority-minority coalition district and a crossover

1 district. 10:26:51 Did you receive a response to the letter? 10:26:52 2 Q. No, we did not. 10:26:54 3 Α. Now you make a request of Senator Huffman in the 4 10:26:57 0. 5 letter. What was that? 10:27:00 10:27:01 Α. We told her that we would be happy to help facilitate 7 10:27:08 a redistricting committee meeting in Tarrant County. 10:27:11 Q. Was your invitation accepted? 10:27:14 No, it was not. Α. Did you receive any feedback at all from Senator 10:27:15 10 Q. 10:27:18 11 Huffman to this request? 10:27:20 12 Α. Not to my knowledge, no. 10:27:22 13 Q. And you sent second letter; is that true? That's true. 10:27:24 14 Α. I'll take you to Exhibit 4, now, in your binder. 10:27:26 15 Q. That's also on the screen. 10:27:28 16 When is this letter dated? 10:27:30 17 This letter is dated February the 18th, 2020. 10:27:34 18 Α. 10:27:37 19 Why did you send a second letter? Q. 10:27:40 20 Well, we still had the same belief that it was important for the redistricting committee to understand Senate 10:27:43 21 10:27:49 22 District 10 and to understand Tarrant County, so we made advanced -- an advanced effort to be sure that there was a place 10:27:55 23 10:28:00 24 where the meeting could be held and we wanted to offer that as 10:28:04 25 our suggestion.

10:28:05 1 Q. Did you receive any response to this letter? 10:28:07 2 Α. Not to my knowledge, no, sir. Was your invitation here accepted? 10:28:09 3 Q. No. 10:28:12 Α. 5 Now the Court heard testimony about a staff meeting 10:28:13 Q. 6 held between your staff and Senator Huffman's staff, I think the 10:28:18 10:28:21 7 record reflects on February the 12th, were you at that meeting? 10:28:24 Α. No, sir. Did you receive any report about the meeting? 10:28:25 Q. Just that they had had a meeting and they came out of 10:28:27 10 Α. 10:28:31 11 that meeting with a sense that our population was within 10:28:38 12 reasonable deviation and that they -- that I believe it was 10:28:44 13 Mr. Opperman had said to them that he didn't think that we would 10:28:47 14 see any changes necessary for our district. 10:28:51 15 Having that information, did that cause you to reach 10:28:55 16 any conclusion about maybe why neither of your letters were 10:28:59 17 responded to? 10:29:01 18 Α. No, sir. 10:29:01 19 Q. Now you ultimately attended a meeting yourself; is 10:29:07 20 that true? Yes, it is true. 10:29:07 21 Α. With Senator Huffman? 10:29:08 22 Q. 10:29:10 23 Α. Yes, sir. 10:29:10 24 Does November 19th sound about right, 2020? Q. 10:29:14 25 Yes, sir. Α.

Q. Tell us who was at that meeting?

10:29:15

1

No. It was -- there was very little said in that Α. like that Senate District 10 could retain its current boundaries

10:31:04 1 MR. DUNN: Excuse me, Your Honor. May we stand at 10:31:08 2 ease one second? 10:31:16 3 THE COURT: Yes, sir. BY MR. DUNN: 4 10:31:22 5 You should have there on your screen Exhibit 7? 10:31:22 Q. 10:31:25 Yes, that's the map. Α. 7 10:31:26 Q. This map was provided to you at a meeting with Senator 10:31:31 8 Huffman? Yes, it was. 10:31:31 Α. And can you note for me -- it's sort of hard to read, 10:31:31 10 Q. 10:31:36 11 but what types of population statistics are in the right-hand 10:31:40 12 margin? 10:31:41 13 Α. In the right-hand margin, there is some data about the Black population of the district. 10:31:50 14 Does it also list non-Anglo, Hispanic and Asian? 10:31:52 15 Q. 10:31:58 16 It does. It does. Α. 10:32:00 17 Q. Now, I note that this -- I'll zoom back out. 10:32:04 18 Α. I'm having just a little bit of trouble reading that 10:32:10 19 box. 10:32:11 20 This looks like a photograph taken on a table? Q. 10:32:13 21 That's right. Α. 10:32:14 22 Q. Do you recall who made that photograph? I think that Gary Jones did that. 10:32:16 23 Α. 10:32:19 24 There was a second map, as well. I'm showing that to Q. 10:32:22 25 you. It's page two of Exhibit 7.

10:32:24 1 Α. All right. 10:32:25 2 Q. Does this map also contain racial data in the right-hand column? 10:32:30 3 Α. It does. 4 10:32:32 5 For the Court's record, there are better 10:32:32 MR. DUNN: 10:32:35 6 versions in Brooks' Exhibit 9. BY MR. DUNN: 7 10:32:44 10:32:44 8 Q. Did you ultimately then have another meeting with 10:32:50 Senator Huffman? 10:32:50 10 Yes, we did. Α. 10:32:51 11 September 24th, 2021, sound about right for the date Q. 10:32:51 12 on that? Yes, sir. 10:32:55 13 Α. 10:32:55 14 Q. Who was at that meeting? I believe at that meeting was my Chief of Staff Gary 10:32:57 15 Α. 10:33:02 16 Jones and I, and Sean Opperman, Senator Huffman and Anne Mackin. 10:33:14 17 Q. Do you recall what was said? 10:33:16 18 Α. We -- we again made our contention that the population 10:33:26 19 of Senate District 10 was within the standard deviation of being 10:33:32 20 the right size. As a matter of fact, I believe we were number four out of 31 districts of being closest to the ideal size and 10:33:37 21 10:33:43 22 that Senate District 10 functions. Again, I make this statement 10:33:48 23 many times, that Senate District 10 functions as a 10:33:51 24 majority-minority coalition district, African-American and Hispanic coalition district and a crossover district. 10:33:59 25

10:34:01 1 Did you receive specific responses to those articles 10:34:04 2 that you made to Senator Huffman or Mr. Opperman? 10:34:11 3 Α. I don't recall that we did. And did they ultimately give you a sense of where they 10:34:15 0. 5 were headed with your map? 10:34:19 In that meeting, I don't believe so. 10:34:20 Α. 7 10:34:23 Q. Now did you provide some information to them? 8 Α. We did. 10:34:26 If you could look at Brooks' Exhibit 5. 10:34:27 Q. All right. Are these the items -- since you have them 10:34:56 10 there in your binder, you can thumb through them -- are these 10:35:02 11 10:35:02 12 the items that you provided to Mr. Opperman and Senator Huffman 10:35:08 13 in that meeting? 10:35:09 14 Α. Yes, they are. 10:35:10 15 Just to show one example here, I'm on page five, what Q. 10:35:12 16 does it depict? 10:35:15 17 Α. This portrays the minority population in 2020. 10:35:32 18 And this map, does it show the current District 10 Q. 10:35:36 19 lines? 10:35:37 20 Yes, it shows the current lines of Senate District 10. Α. 10:35:42 21 And do you recall there being a projector there? Q. Yes, I do. 10:35:45 22 Α. 10:35:47 23 Q. What was on the projector? 10:35:49 24 Α. It was the -- I believe it was the new lines for 10:35:54 25 Senate District 10 --

10:35:54 1 Q. And --10:35:56 2 -- on that day -- I'm sorry -- on that day, the new lines for Senate District 10 were portrayed on the screen. 10:35:58 3 All right. I'm showing you on the screen -- I'm going 4 0. 10:36:03 5 to Texas District Viewer. 10:36:05 MR. DUNN: For the Courts' reference, all of the plans 10:36:08 7 10:36:10 that are considered by the Legislature are available publicly on 10:36:13 8 Texas District Viewer, which you can find by Googling those 10:36:16 words. BY MR. DUNN: 10:36:16 10 10:36:17 11 I have Plan 2101 on the screen here? Does that look 10:36:21 12 like the plan that you saw on the projector? 10:36:21 13 Α. That's the plan we saw on the screen that day. It 10:36:25 14 showed the Tarrant County portion of Senate District 10, 10:36:32 15 basically cut down across the middle below Interstate 30. actually took out a portion all the way down to Rosedale street, 10:36:38 16 to Senate District 10, to Tarrant County, took out the 10:36:42 17 10:36:46 18 northern -- north end of downtown Hispanic population, and it 10:36:49 19 took out the arm that goes up through South Lake and 10:36:53 20 Colleyville. Ο. What did it add? 10:36:54 21 10:36:55 22 And it submerged those remaining portions of Tarrant Α. 10:37:01 23 County into rural Johnson and Parker counties. It included 10:37:05 24 100 percent of those counties, which are largely Anglo, largely

10:37:12 25

Republican population.

10:37:13 1 Q. And did you say something to the Senator about this 10:37:18 2 when you saw the map, Senator Huffman? 10:37:21 3 Α. I did. What did you say? 10:37:21 0. 5 I said -- she asked me if I had any questions about 10:37:23 Α. 6 the map, and I said I can clearly see what you're attempting to 10:37:26 10:37:30 7 do here. 10:37:31 Q. Did you receive any response? 10:37:33 Α. No. Now when you were talking about the -- going back to 10:37:35 10 Q. 10:37:39 11 Exhibit 5 -- those -- the packet of materials that you provided 10:37:42 12 to Senator Huffman, do you recall if Anne Mackin was in the 10:37:46 13 room? 10:37:46 14 Α. I do. 10:37:47 15 Do you recall if she had any reaction to those maps? Q. 10:37:51 16 I believe Anne may have said I'm uncomfortable with Α. 10:37:56 17 this. 10:37:56 18 Q. Uncomfortable about what? Do you know? 10:37:59 19 I don't know. Α. 10:38:00 20 Anything else about that meeting that you call --Q. 10:38:04 21 excuse knee -- that you recall about the meeting? 10:38:06 22 I will say this, that I handed each one of those maps Α. to Senator Huffman, individually, and as I handed her each map I 10:38:10 23 10:38:16 24 read the title of the map. In other words, I would hand her one

and I would say this map of Senate District 10 highlights the

10:38:19 25

10:38:25 1 Black population. This map it shows you where the Hispanic population is located in Senate District 10 and so forth. And I 10:38:29 2 10:38:34 3 handed her -- I believe, it was seven different maps. And did you do anything with those? 10:38:38 4 5 I handed them to her. She took the maps and then she Α. 10:38:42 6 said let's initial these maps, and I said, okay. And one by one 10:38:46 10:38:53 7 she initialled each map and handed the map back to me and I 8 initialled each map. 10:38:58 So to be clear, who's idea was it to initial the maps? 10:38:59 Q. Senator Huffman. 10:39:02 10 Α. 10:39:03 11 What happened, if you know, with those maps Q. 10:39:06 12 afterwards? I don't know. 10:39:06 13 Α. 10:39:07 14 When you left the meeting, were they left behind? Q. 10:39:11 15 When we left the meeting they were on the table. Α. 10:39:14 16 Now turning your attention to Exhibit 11. You want to Q. 10:39:27 17 pull that up in your binder and let us know when you're there? 10:39:31 18 Α. All right. 10:39:32 19 What is this? Q. 10:39:34 20 This is an email from Gary Jones to John Opperman. Α. 10:39:41 21 What is it dated? Ο. 10:39:43 22 Α. It is dated September the 16th, 2021. 10:39:49 23 Q. Did you direct Mr. Jones to send this email? 10:39:53 24 Α. Yes, I did. 10:39:54 25 Who were the recipients? Q.

10:39:57 1 Α. Sean Opperman and Anne Mackin. All right. And you included a number of attachments; 10:40:00 2 Q. 10:40:04 3 is that right? 4 Α. That's right. 10:40:05 5 And what were those? 10:40:05 Q. 10:40:07 One of attachments was a letter that I had written to Α. 10:40:11 7 Senator Huffman regarding our September 14th meeting and draft 10:40:19 8 proposed plan. And then there were maps attached to that email 10:40:32 that included maps of the minority population of Senate District 10 in 2020 and the Anglo population in 2020. 10:40:41 10 10:40:46 11 Did you provide any court opinions? 10:40:49 12 Yes, sir, I did. I also included the 2012 Federal Α. 10:41:00 13 Court decision regarding the redistricting of Senate District 10. 10:41:04 14 10:41:04 15 In here Mr. Jones says, please confirm receipt. Q. 10:41:09 16 you see that? 10:41:10 17 Α. Yes, I do. 10:41:11 18 Q. Let me show you what is Brooks' Exhibit 12. 10:41:17 19 What is this? 10:41:19 20 It is an email from Sean Opperman back to Gary Jones. Α. 10:41:27 21 What does it say? Q. Thank you for reaching out. I briefly opened this 10:41:28 22 Α. They appear to contain racial data, so I closed them 10:41:32 23 10:41:36 24 out right away. Just a reminder, we're drafting all maps 10:41:43 25 without regard to racial data and sending the drafts out for

10:41:47 1 legal compliance check. In the last part that you just read with "just a 10:41:48 2 reminder," was that the first you heard of this information when 10:41:51 3 you received this email? 4 10:41:53 5 I'm not sure about the answer to that. 10:41:58 Α. What did you make of the fact that they briefly and 10:42:02 Q. 10:42:05 7 immediately closed the documents, Mr. Opperman says? 10:42:08 8 Α. Well --10:42:12 MR. SWEETEN: Objection, Your Honor, what she --JUDGE GUADERRAMA: She's not speculating what she made 10:42:16 10 of it, so I'll overrule that objection. 10:42:18 11 10:42:21 12 Α. I thought that was a ridiculous response. BY MR. DUNN: 10:42:24 13 10:42:24 14 Q. Why is that? 10:42:26 15 Well, our job is to do the write thing for the Α. 10:42:32 16 citizens of Senate District 10 and all over the State of Texas, 10:42:35 17 and to disregard -- to say that you're disregarding information, that's obvious it's ridiculous on its face. 10:42:39 18 10:42:42 19 Now I'll call your attention to Brooks' Exhibit 13. Q. 10:42:50 20 Let us know when you're there? I'm there. 10:42:53 21 Α. What is this? 10:42:54 22 Q. This is an email that I sent to all of the members of 10:42:55 23 Α. 10:43:02 24 the Senate. And why did you send this letter or email? 10:43:02 25 Q.

10:43:04	1	A. I sent this email, because I wanted to reinforce the
10:43:08	2	information that we had provided throughout the redistricting
10:43:12	3	process. I wanted to be sure that my colleagues had the
10:43:18	4	opportunity to see these maps, once again, the minority
10:43:22	5	population, and I wanted to be sure that everybody had all of
10:43:28	6	the information.
10:43:28	7	Q. And what is the date and time of this email?
10:43:33	8	A. The date is September 18, 2021.
10:43:37	9	Q. Was included in with this email, the same racial
10:43:41	10	shaded maps that you'd previously provided to Senator Huffman?
10:43:46	11	A. Yes, sir.
10:43:46	12	Q. As well as your letter?
10:43:48	13	A. As well as my letter to her.
10:43:50	14	Q. As well as the 2012 decision?
10:43:51	15	A. As well as the 2012 decision.
10:43:53	16	Q. And then you placed a map in the email; is that right?
10:43:55	17	A. I did.
10:43:56	18	Q. Why did you do that?
10:43:57	19	A. Why did I place the map in the email?
10:44:01	20	Q. Yes, ma'am.
10:44:02	21	A. Because I wanted to be certain that I had provided
10:44:05	22	that to every member of the Senate.
10:44:07	23	Q. And I notice here on your screen there's four blue
10:44:12	24	circles on the pink-shaded background. What were you showing
10:44:16	25	there?

Those are the areas that were cracked out. Those are 10:44:17 1 Α. 10:44:22 2 the minority district areas that were cracked out of Senate District 10. 10:44:25 3 4 Ο. Even under the old map? 10:44:25 5 Α. Yes, sir. 10:44:27 10:44:28 All right. Now I'll call your attention to Brooks' Q. 7 Exhibit 14. 10:44:33 What is this? 10:44:33 8 This is an email from Joan Huffman to me. 10:44:37 Α. Q. And what does it reflect? 10:44:52 10 10:45:05 11 Α. Is this a read receipt? Is that what this is? 10:45:12 12 sorry, I... 10:45:17 13 Q. This is the conversation you got back from the read 10:45:20 14 receipt to the email? 10:45:22 15 Right. Α. 10:45:22 16 Is that a yes? Q. 10:45:23 17 Α. Yes. I'm seeing that, yes. 10:45:26 18 Q. All right. 10:45:28 19 I'm sorry. It was -- it says that my email to her was Α. 10:45:32 20 read on Saturday, September the 18th, 2021. It's hard to see on the screen. 10:45:36 21 Ο. 10:45:38 22 It's hard to see on the screen and it's hard for these Α. 10:45:41 23 eyes to see it on tiny print. 10:45:43 24 Now, do you recall that the Senate began to have Ο. 10:45:47 25 committee meetings around September 24th, about the Senate

10:45:50 1 redistricting plan? 10:45:51 2 Α. I do. Did a different version of the plan come out than the 10:45:52 3 Ο. one you'd seen on the projector that day? 10:45:55 5 Α. Yes, sir. 10:45:58 Let me go back to District Viewer and show you Plan 10:45:59 6 0. 7 10:46:05 2108 is that on your screen? 8 Α. It is. 10:46:07 Is that the plan that came out before the committee 10:46:07 9 Q. debate? 10:46:11 10 10:46:12 11 Α. Yes, it did. 10:46:13 12 And how was it different than the earlier plan you had Q. 10:46:16 13 seen? Well, this district actually dissects Parker County. 10:46:16 14 Α. 10:46:25 15 It takes a portion of Parker County out of their first map and 10:46:31 16 then it adds in Palo Pinto, Young, Stephens, Shackelford, 10:46:45 17 Callahan, and Brown counties. As far to the west nearly as Abilene and as further south and west to Brownwood. 10:46:53 18 10:46:58 19 Does this version of the proposed Senate Bill 4 have Q. 10:47:04 20 the same orientation within Tarrant County? 10:47:07 21 Α. No, it does not. It cracks Senate District 10 at, you know, just below I-30. 10:47:16 22 10:47:19 23 Q. Were you given any notice that this plan would be 10:47:22 24 released? 10:47:22 25 Α. No.

10:47:23	1	Q. How did you first learn of it?
10:47:25	2	A. I believe this is the map that came out somewhere at
10:47:29	3	9:30 in the evening, before the meeting schedule for the next
10:47:34	4	morning, and I think I had got a call from my Chief of Staff
10:47:41	5	about it.
10:47:42	6	Q. And the committee meeting was scheduled to be the next
10:47:45	7	morning?
10:47:45	8	A. Yes, sir.
10:47:45	9	Q. On your screen is Brooks' Exhibit 15.
10:47:50	10	Is this the email forwarding the plan?
10:47:59	11	A. Yes, it is.
10:48:00	12	Q. And it references S22101?
10:48:06	13	A. It is.
10:48:06	14	Q. Now it was sent the email was sent about 1:20 p.m.
10:48:11	15	Do you see that?
10:48:12	16	A. I do see it.
10:48:13	17	Q. And was it the case you learned of it later that
10:48:16	18	evening from your staff?
10:48:17	19	A. That's correct.
10:48:18	20	Q. All right.
10:48:19	21	MR. DUNN: Your Honor, now I think is the appropriate
10:48:20	22	time to do this technology transition.
10:48:24	23	JUDGE GUADERRAMA: So it is 10:48. Let's go ahead and
10:48:28	24	recess until 11:00. That'll give us 12 minutes.
11:04:44	25	(Break 10:48 a.m. to 11:04 a.m.)

11:04:44 1 BY MR. DUNN:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11:04:45

11:04:48

11:04:51

11:04:55

11:04:59

11:05:04

11:05:08

11:05:08

11:05:11 10

11:05:16 11

11:05:20 12

11:05:26 13

11:05:33 14

11:05:36 15

11:05:38 16

11:05:42 17

11:05:47 18

11:05:50 19

11:05:51 20

11:05:53 21

11:05:53 22

11:05:56 23

11:05:59 24

Q. All right. Senator, before the break, we were going to transition and talk about the first committee -- senate committee debate on September 24th. Before we do that -- and tell us kind of, as you have experienced it with the typical standard practices for passage of a bill in a committee meeting, you know, what the hearing process looks like in the Texas Senate?

- A. Well, a typical committee process would be that the senator ringing the bill lays it out in the beginning, and they'll typically have a resource witness or an expert witness that comes to elaborate on the bill that is being considered and then they will open it for public testimony and you'll hear, you know, sometimes a few minutes of public testimony and sometimes hours and hours of public testimony.
- Q. The senator offering the measure, they typically very well informed of the problem the bill's intending to address and the solutions they were proposing?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Are you typically able to get details?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Are you able to determine, in a typical circumstance, where the bill came from, what the complaint was they brought the bill for, that sort of thing?
 - A. Yes, sir.

In terms of resource witnesses, are the resource 11:06:02 1 Q. 11:06:06 2 witnesses typically knowledgeable on the bill? 11:06:08 3 Α. They are. And is it the case that bills are held over before 11:06:09 0. 5 they're voted on or how does that work? 11:06:13 11:06:15 Explain to me what you mean by held over. 7 11:06:18 Q. Sure. When the bill is laid out in committee, do they 11:06:21 8 typically vote right there that day or is it typically held over 11:06:23 a different day to vote? 11:06:23 10 It depends. It depends on the time of day that it is. 11:06:28 11 Sometimes you vote right away. But if there's not a quorum 11:06:34 12 present and you can't, then it will be held over to the next 11:06:36 13 day. 11:06:37 14 Q. And in controversial bills or that bills that get a 11:06:42 15 lot of attention, do you expect a lot of hearings on those?

A. Yes, you do.

11:06:46 16

11:06:47 17

11:06:52 18

11:06:54 19

11:06:54 20

11:06:56 21

11:06:59 22

11:06:59 23

11:07:02 24

11:07:05 25

- Q. Now, the September 24th meeting of the Senate

 Redistricting Committee, are you on that committee, were you on
 the committee?
 - A. I am not on redistricting.
 - Q. Did you attend that committee meeting?
 - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Do you recall at the beginning of the meeting that Senator Huffman laid out a list of priorities that she had alleged to have followed in drafting the map?

11:07:08 1 MR. SWEETEN: Your Honor, I've not been objecting, but 11:07:11 2 I object to leading, leading form of the direct examination 11:07:14 3 that's being utilized. JUDGE GUADERRAMA: All right. 11:07:16 5 Mr. Dunn, let's not -- rephrase: 11:07:17 MR. DUNN: We're trying to get to the spot, I'll do 11:07:21 6 7 11:07:24 better. 8 JUDGE GUADERRAMA: Appreciate that. 11:07:24 BY MR. DUNN: 11:07:25 Let me show you on the screen what was previously 11:07:26 10 admitted as Defendants' Exhibit 59. I'm going to play 4:54 to 11:07:30 11 11:07:35 12 5:23. 11:07:40 13 (Video and audio played). 11:07:51 14 SENATOR HUFFMAN: My goals and priorities in 11:07:52 15 developing these proposed plans, include first and 11:07:54 16 foremost abiding by all applicable law, equalizing 11:07:58 17 population across districts, reserving political 11:08:01 18 subdivisions and communities of interest, when possible, preserving the cores of previous districts 11:08:03 19 11:08:05 20 to the extent possible, avoiding pairing incumbent 11:08:11 21 members, achieving geographic compactness when possible and accommodating incumbent priorities also 11:08:15 22 11:08:17 23 when possible. 11:08:17 24 In the Senate pro- --11:08:18 25 (Video and audio stopped).

BY MR. DUNN: 11:08:18 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

Q. Was there any mention there by Senator Huffman a partisanship?

- Α. No, there isn't.
- With regard to the factors that she listed, how do Q. they apply to the latest version of the bill that you had seen the night before on Senate District 10?
- Well, I don't see where they applied at all to the new Α. version to Senate District 10, certainly not in terms of communities of interest, not in terms of compactness and -- nor any of the priorities that she had listed for how she was going to develop these new districts.
- At the onset of the meeting, were there maps available Q. to the public?
- There were. If you'll notice in this picture that Α. there's a big giant map on an easel at the front of the Senate chambers, and on the day that we considered the very -- for the first time that map, that included those seven counties, it still had the old map that had just Johnson and Parker counties, because that whole transition happened so quickly, they didn't prepare a new map.
- For clarity of the record, was there any map blown up Q. and available on an easel that reflected the map that had just come out?
 - Α. No.

11:09:30 20

11:09:34 21

11:09:35 22

11:09:39 23

11:09:43 24

11:09:43 25

11:08:19

11:09:44 1 Do you recall there was a discussion during the 11:09:48 2 committee hearing about who Senator Powell [sic] talked to about 11:09:51 3 the changes to Senate District 10, which are the senators she 4 spoke with? 11:09:55 5 Senator Powell or Senator Huffman? 11:09:55 Α. 11:09:57 Q. Senator Huffman. 7 11:09:59 Α. I do you recall that discussion. Q. Again, showing Exhibit 59, 13:48 to 13:14. 11:10:03 (Video and audio played). 11:10:03 SENATOR POWELL: And did you communicate with any of 11:10:17 10 the representatives of those districts before you 11:10:18 11 11:10:19 12 merged that into Senate District 10? 11:10:27 13 SENATOR HUFFMAN: Uh, some of the work. I spoke to Senator Perry, to Senator Springer. I do not believe 11:10:28 14 11:10:32 15 I spoke to Senator Buckingham. 11:10:35 16 SENATOR POWELL: And did you speak to me? 11:10:36 17 SENATOR HUFFMAN: I did not speak to you. 11:10:37 18 (Video and audio stopped). 11:10:37 19 BY MR. DUNN: 11:10:38 20 What would you say the circumstances of Senator Q. 11:10:41 21 Buckingham were at that point? 11:10:44 22 Are you talking about in terms of her district? Α. 11:10:47 23 Q. Yes. 11:10:48 24 Α. Senator Buckingham had made the decision to run for a statewide race. 11:10:51 25

11:10:53

11:11:50 18

11:12:01 19

11:12:02 20

11:12:05 21

11:12:08 22

11:12:12 23

11:12:14 24

11:12:16 25

1

DIRECT - POWELL 97

And why is it you asked about who Senator Huffman had

11:10:57 2 talked to about the changes to Senate District 10? 11:11:00 3 Well, I wanted to know how you would make a decision Α. 4 to change that map in that fashion. It was so drastic to cut 11:11:04 5 Parker County in half, now, and submerge those minority 11:11:11 6 communities into all those outlying, rural-Anglo counties. 11:11:17 11:11:23 7 wanted to know how she came to that decision, because she 8 certainly never, during the process, ever talked to me. 11:11:26 What do you make of that decision not to talk to you 11:11:29 Q. about it? 11:11:32 10 11:11:32 11 Α. Well, the only decision, the only conclusion you could 11:11:37 12 come to, she didn't care what I thought. 11:11:41 13 Q. Now, do you recall also a discussion where Senator Huffman laid out Supreme Court and other court decisions that 11:11:45 14 11:11:48 15 she was following? 11:11:49 16 Α. Yes, I do. 11:11:50 17 Q. Again, Defendants' Exhibit 59, 24:51 to 26:13.

(Video and audio played).

SENATOR POWELL: In fact, I have a question for you.

Who drew these maps?

SENATOR HUFFMAN: I drew the map of all -- with my two attorneys and my -- who are members of my staff.

SENATOR POWELL: And who are those attorneys?

SENATOR HUFFMAN: Anne Mackin, who's sitting right next to me, and Sean Opperman, who is up at the dais

11:12:20 1 as the committee director with Senator Hinojoza, 11:12:24 2 currently. SENATOR POWELL: And which of these lines for Senate 11:12:25 3 District 10 did you draw and which did they draw? 11:12:28 5 SENATOR HUFFMAN: I was in the room when every part of 11:12:30 this map was drawn. 11:12:33 6 7 POWELL: Okay. And which --11:12:34 8 SENATOR HUFFMAN: I didn't do anything without my 11:12:35 direction. 11:12:37 9 POWELL: Okay. And --11:12:40 10 11:12:40 11 (Video and audio stopped). 11:12:40 12 BY MR. DUNN: 11:12:41 13 Q. Now you mentioned -- I think Senator Huffman just 11:12:42 14 mentioned that seated to her left, or our right looking at the 11:12:45 15 screen, is Anne Mackin? 11:12:49 16 Yes, sir. Α. 11:12:49 17 Q. Is that the same Anne Mackin you had provided the 11:12:52 18 racial shaded data -- map to? 11:12:55 19 Α. Yes, sir. Now, was there a resource witness available at this 11:12:55 20 Q. 11:13:00 21 hearing? 11:13:00 22 Yes, there was. Α. 11:13:01 23 Q. And can you recall where he was from? 11:13:03 24 Α. I'm sorry, I don't. Let me show you what is Defendants' Exhibit 59, 35:42 11:13:05 25 Q.

to 36:42? 11:13:11 1 11:13:11 2 (Video and audio played). SENATOR HUFFMAN: However, I am going to answer to 11:13:14 3 11:13:14 your comment. 5 Our approach to this process was informed by the 11:13:18 redistricting juris prudence. 11:13:18 7 11:13:21 (Video and audio stopped). MR. DUNN: Excuse me. This is the... 11:13:21 SENATOR HUFFMAN: -- from the United States Supreme 11:13:21 Court, as well as other applicable -- applicable 11:13:23 10 11:13:27 11 precedent. 11:13:27 12 Several key cases are worth highlighting. Abbott 11:13:32 13 v. Perez, 2018 Supreme Court case; Cooper v. Harris, a 2017 Supreme Court case. They make clear that any 11:13:36 14 11:13:40 15 redistricting decisions made on the basis of race 11:13:44 16 must be narrowly tailored to achieve compliance with 11:13:48 17 the Voting Rights Act. 11:13:48 18 In Cooper v. Harris, Justice Kagan writing for the majority hails, when a state invokes the VRA to 11:13:52 19 11:13:54 20 justify race-based districting, it must show to meet 11:13:58 21 the narrow tailoring requirement that it had a strong basis in evidence for concluding that the statute 11:14:03 22 11:14:06 23 required its actions. That was Cooper v. Harris, a 11:14:10 24 2017 Supreme Court case quoting a.m. Legislative 11:14:13 25 Black Caucus v. Alabama, a 2015 case.

11:14:19 1 Based on this warning against race-based 11:14:20 2 districting, I drafted all of the proposed maps 11:14:24 .3 totally blind to race. Once I had drafted the maps, 4 I ensured that they underwent a legal compliance 11:14:28 5 check to insure there were no inadvertent violations 11:14:29 11:14:33 6 of any law, including the Voting Rights Act. 7 11:14:36 Thank you. 11:14:37 8 (Video and audio stopped). BY MR. DUNN: 11:14:37 9 And you what did you make of Senator Huffman listing 11:14:37 10 11:14:43 11 off those cases? 11:14:44 12 Α. The only thing that I could conclude from her comments there was that it was clear and intentional to add Anglo voters 11:14:49 13 to Senate District 10. 11:14:55 14 11:14:57 15 Did it appear that Senator Huffman had read some case 11:15:00 16 law or at least she was making that representation? It did. 11:15:01 17 Α. 11:15:03 18 MR. DUNN: Now, I need to correct the record. 11:15:06 19 previous and just played excerpt is at Defendants' 59, 24:51 to 11:15:12 20 26:13. BY MR. DUNN: 11:15:12 21 11:15:14 22 Now, returning to the resource witness. Q. 11:15:16 23 MR. DUNN: That's at Defendants' Exhibit 59, 35:42 to 36:42. 11:15:21 24 11:15:21 25 (Video and audio start).

MALE SPEAKER 1: Honestly, the work experience, how 11:15:31 1 long you have been with the AG's office, items 11:15:33 2 specifically for this project, would you go in 11:15:37 detail, starting today with your background, probably 11:15:40 5 going back to at least law school? 11:15:44 MALE SPEAKER 2: I'd be happy to do that. 11:15:48 6 7 So, I started at the AG's office in June of last 11:15:50 11:15:52 8 year as an Assistant Attorney General, in the General Counsel Division, was later promoted to General 11:15:55 9 Counsel. Prior to that I worked as a staff attorney 11:15:59 10 11:16:01 11 at the Texas Supreme Court for about six and a half 11:16:04 12 years, and was a litigator at the AG's office in 11:16:08 13 private practice for few years before that. Before 11:16:11 14 that, I did a clerkship on the 14th Court of Appeals 11:16:15 15 in Houston, and went to school law school at Baylor 11:16:18 16 Law School: 11:16:18 17 To answer your question, I was not hired 11:16:21 18 directly, really, to any redistricting projects. (Video and audio stop). 11:16:21 19 11:16:21 20 BY MR. DUNN: What did you make of the qualifications and background 11:16:26 21 Ο. that the Attorney General lawyer stated at the committee 11:16:28 22

hearing?

11:16:32 23

11:16:32 24

11:16:37 25

Well, I'm sure that he is a very fine lawyer, but it seemed to me that he was coming to testify on a matter that he

11:16:43	1	didn't have a lot of experience in.
11:16:46	2	Q. Do you recall there was a discussion where the lawyer
11:16:48	3	was asked what kind of political or campaign experience he had?
11:16:52	4	A. Yes, I do.
11:16:54	5	MR. DUNN: For the record, that's Defendants' 59,
11:16:58	6	36:42 to 38:00.
11:16:58	7	(Video and audio start).
11:17:02	8	SENATOR WHITMIRE: Have you been employed by a
11:17:04	9	campaign in your background?
11:17:05	10	MALE SPEAKER: Absolutely not.
11:17:09	11	SENATOR WHITMIRE: Fair to ask if you've volunteered
11:17:12	12	in a campaign in the past?
11:17:12	13	MALE SPEAKER: I'm sorry. Could you
11:17:14	14	SENATOR WHITMIRE: Have you volunteered in previous
11:17:16	15	campaigns in your young adulthood?
11:17:16	16	MALE SPEAKER: I assume that the context of your
11:17:24	17	questions is would be for General Paxton or any
11:17:24	18	political candidate?
11:17:27	19	SENATOR WHITMIRE: No, anyone. Are you I'm just
11:17:27	20	MALE SPEAKER: Okay.
11:17:28	21	SENATOR WHITMIRE: I think it's important for us to
11:17:29	22	know when we have when in your position to know
11:17:33	23	what you bring to the table, so education in real
11:17:40	24	life experience work, in particular, this is so
11:17:43	25	political, have you been involved in campaigns that

11:17:48 1 you can tell us about? 11:17:51 2 MALE SPEAKER: I have been very intermittently involved in campaigns in a volunteer capacity a 11:17:53 3 handful of times in the last ten. 4 11:17:57 5 SENATOR WHITMIRE: So your answer is yes? 11:18:01 You know, it's not against the law. 11:18:04 7 11:18:06 asking -- you don't have to take the Fifth Amendment. I just 'think it's a fair question to know what your 11:18:09 work experience affiliations have been. 11:18:12 Thank you. 11:18:18 10 11:18:18 11 (Video and audio stop). 11:18:18 12 BY MR. DUNN: 11:18:18 13 Q. What, if anything, worried you about this exchange? 11:18:24 14 Well, it was clear that he had personal political Α. 11:18:30 15 needs. 11:18:30 16 And we all do, is that your experience? Q. 11:18:32 17 Α. It is my experience. 11:18:35 18 For our record, who was the Senator asking those Q. questions? 11:18:38 19 11:18:38 20 That was Senator John Whitmire. Α. 11:18:41 21 Have you been in committee -- I'm sorry -- have you Q. been in meetings where the Attorney General's office has sent a 11:18:46 22 11:18:48 23 resource lawyer? 11:18:49 24 Α. I have. When that has happened, how would you describe some of 11:18:50 25 Q.

the experience and knowledge of the resource it sent? 11:18:54 1 11:18:58 2 I would say, usually, that they had knowledge of the 11:19:03 3 subject matter and experience in that field. Later, was there a discussion about who were the 11:19:06 4 Ο. 5 lawyers in the Attorney General's office doing the legal 11:19:12 6 compliance check and working on redistricting? 11:19:15 Yes, I did. 11:19:17 7 Α. MR DUNN: Defendants' Exhibit 59, 49:45 to 50:22? 11:19:18 (Video and audio played). 11:19:18 SENATOR POWELL: Um, do you happen to know who in your 11:19:27 10 11:19:29 11 office is involved in drawing district lines? 11:19:32 12 specifically might be involved in it? 11:19:37 13 MALE SPEAKER: So just to be clear on the question, no 11:19:41 14 one in our office is assigned to draw district lines. 11:19:45 15 Just to reframe it, if I can, in terms of our role in providing legal advice, if you're looking for 11:19:49 16 11:19:52 17 specific names of who has been assigned to that work, 11:19:56 18 I'd be happy to provide that with you and get with 11:19:59 19 you. 11:20:01 20 SENATOR POWELL: That would be great. Thank you so 11:20:01 21 much. 11:20:02 22 (Video and audio stopped). BY MR. DUNN: 11:20:02 23 11:20:04 24 Were you ever provided the information you were 0. 11:20:06 25 promised there?

No, sir. 11:20:06 1 Α. Now were there any other resource witnesses offered at 11:20:07 2 Q. 11:20:12 3 this hearing with respect to the Senate plan? Α. I believe it was the demographer. 11:20:14 5 Was that the following day, the demographer came in? 11:20:19 Q. 11:20:20 It might have been. Α. 7 11:20:22 Q. There were other outside experts that testified, do 11:20:26 8 you recall that? 11:20:26 Α. Yes. MR. DUNN: At Defendants' 59, 104 to -- 104:16 to 11:20:29 10 104:41. 11:20:34 11 11:20:34 12 (Video and audio played). 11:20:37 13 LULAC PRESIDENT GARCIA: You just -- the same thing 11:20:39 14 happened in District 10 in Fort Worth, where Black 11:20:42 15 and Brown districts are put in with White rural 11:20:46 16 districts. You never see the other happen. It's 11:20:49 17 only Anglo rural and suburban areas coming in and 11:20:53 18 scooping up Black and Brown voters in 10 or 20 11:20:56 19 percent increments, so they are effectively diluted 11:20:56 20 and cracked. 11:21:01 21 What we've seen today --11:21:03 22 (Video and audio stop). BY MR. DUNN: 11:21:03 23 11:21:04 24 Was that Domingo Garcia the LULAC National President? Q. 11:21:08 25 Yes, it is. Α.

Q. Had you asked him to do that, show up at that meeting?

- A. No, I did not.
- Q. And was that statement he just made, made to all of the senators present?
 - A. Yes, it was.
 - Q. Did he make other statements about Senate District 10?
 - A. Yes, he did.

MR. DUNN: At Defendants' 59, 105:52 to 106:41. (Video and audio start).

LULAC PRESIDENT GARCIA: -- problems with Tarrant

County in District 10, there are African-American,

Latino districts are a cohesive group, primarily

concentrated on the -- in the City of Fort Worth and

on south side in Arlington. Those districts on the

proposed map would be within rural, predominantly

White areas.

Ranchers and farmers have nothing to do with

Texas Stadium, Ranger Stadium or Downtown Fort Worth

and the Stockyards. They just don't. And if you're

going to keep people of common interest together, you

should put them together. Dallas and Fort Worth

could be merged; they're 20 miles apart, not a

problem, similar interest, similar urban and suburban

areas. Why put them with Wise County, Decatur,

Texas, where you have more cows than people? It

11:22:12 24

11:22:16 25

11:22:20 1 doesn't make sense, as opposed to what you have in 11:22:22 2 Dallas and Tarrant County. 11:22:24 3 (Video and audio stop). BY MR. DUNN: 4 11:22:24 5 And again, was that statement by Mr. Garcia made to 11:22:24 Q. 6 the senators present at the committee? 11:22:28 7 11:22:30 Α. Yes, sir. Was there another outside legal expert, a Mr. Lee, who 11:22:30 Q. came and spoke? 11:22:34 9 Yes, he did. 11:22:35 10 Α. MR. DUNN: Defendants' Exhibit 59, 135:34 to 136:08. 11:22:38 11 11:22:44 12 And for the Courts' reference, Mr. Lee had a handout, which has been admitted as Brooks' Exhibit 16. 11:22:45 13 11:22:45 14 (Video and audio played). 11:22:50 15 SPEAKER LEE: And I will note with respect to Senate 11:22:53 16 District 10, that Senate District 10 was found to 11:22:54 17 have been intentionally discriminatory last decade, when the State also tried to redraw the district in a 11:23:00 18 way that cracked minority communities and diluted 11:23:02 19 11:23:07 20 their power. In addition there's lots of evidence that Senate District 10 is an effective coalition 11:23:09 21 district I am not here to say it is or not but 11:23:15 22 11:23:18 23 there's lots of evidence to suggest the state needs 11:23:20 24 to take a closer look. 11:23:23 25 (Video and audio stopped).

11:23:23 1 BY MR. DUNN: And was that statement, again, by Mr. Lee, made to all 11:23:23 2 of the members of the Senate Redistricting Committee? 11:23:27 3 Yes, it was. 11:23:29 Α. 5 And then was there a discussion or an exchange between 11:23:30 Q. 11:23:34 6 Senator Huffman and Mr. Lee about coalition districts? 7 Yes, sir. 11:23:38 Α. MR. DUNN: That begins at Defendants' Exhibit 59, 11:23:39 147:19 and concludes at 149:07. 11:23:43 9 (Video and audio played). 11:23:43 10 11:23:43 11 SENATOR HUFFMAN: I'd like to -- in your testimony, 11:23:51 12 you referred to a Fifth Circuit 1998 case, <u>Campos v.</u> 11:23:54 13 City of Baytown, correct --11:23:56 14 SPEAKER LEE: That is correct. 11:23:56 15 SENATOR HUFFMAN: -- is that what are you referring 11:23:58 16 to? 11:23:58 17 SPEAKER LEE: Yes. 11:23:58 18 SENATOR HUFFMAN: Yes. How do you -- and you suggested it authorized or 11:23:59 19 11:24:06 20 required crossover coalition districts, how do you 11:24:11 21 square that with the <u>Bartlett</u> case, which is The United States Supreme Court, 2009, <u>Bartlett v.</u> 11:24:14 22 Strickland, which made it clear that the Voting 11:24:18 23 11:24:22 24 Rights Act does not require the creation of coalition 11:24:25 25 of crossover districts?

11:24:27 1 SPEAKER LEE: Well, I think I would disagree with you 11:24:27 2 about what Bartlett was about. Bartlett what about crossover districts, in other words, where voters are 11:24:31 able to elect with support from White voters. 11:24:33 11:24:37 5 a crossover district. A minority coalition district is where two or more minority groups are politically 11:24:40 6 7 cohesive. 11:24:43 So, in Texas, for example, Black and Latino 11:24:44 8 voters, you know, or in Fort Bend County, like Latino 11:24:48 9 Asian voters, might be politically cohesive, and so, 11:24:51 10 11:24:55 11 you know, Bartlett is a case out of North Carolina, 11:24:57 12 it did not involve other non-White groups. It was a 11:24:57 13 case about... 11:25:03 14 SENATOR HUFFMAN: Well, I believe that Bartlett 11:25:04 15 specifically states that nothing in Section 2 grants 11:25:07 16 special protection to a minority group's right to 11:25:10 17 form political coalitions. Do you agree ... 11:25:13 18 SPEAKER LEE: And I again I think that's talking about White voters in this case. It is not, you know 11:25:15 19 11:25:15 20 the... SENATOR HUFFMAN: And of course, there are many legal 11:25:21 21 scholars that would disagree with you on that. 11:25:23 22 11:25:26 23 SPEAKER LEE: I don't think that is really where 11:25:28 24 the law is currently. And it's certainly not the --11:25:32 25 well, I don't -- I don't think that that's where the

11:25:34 1 law is. If that is the advice that you're getting 11:25:36 2 from the Attorney General's office... SENATOR HUFFMAN: No, I'm getting if from the Supreme 11:25:39 3 Court of the United States of America, I just 11:25:41 4 5 politely disagree. Thank you very much for answering 11:25:43 my question. Appreciate it. 11:25:46 6 7 (Video and audio stop). 11:25:47 8 BY MR. DUNN: 11:25:47 Now, Senator Powell, are you a lawyer? 11:25:48 9 Q. No, I'm not. 11:25:51 10 Α. 11:25:51 11 Was it clear to you that there was at least a 11:25:54 12 disagreement here over what the law said in this area? 11:25:56 13 Α. There is a disagreement there. 11:25:58 14 All right. Now the hearing was held over the Q. 11:26:01 15 following day, September 25th? 11:26:02 16 Α. Yes. 11:26:02 17 Q. Did you attend that hearing? 11:26:04 18 Α. Yes. 11:26:04 19 You mentioned earlier a demographer was present at Q. 11:26:09 20 part of the hearing. Do you recall that? 11:26:10 21 Α. Yes. MR. DUNN: Defendants' Exhibit 61, 1:25:13 to 1:26:18. 11:26:11 22 (Video and audio start). 11:26:11 23 SENATOR ALVARADO: Start with the statewide numbers. 11:26:19 24 11:26:21 25 How did Texas' population change according to the

2020 Census? 11:26:24 1 11:26:27 2 SPEAKER POTTER: Texas added more people than any other state, just under 4 million, 3,999,944. And 11:26:29 that's also a rapid increase. We grew at a rate of 11:26:39 11:26:44 5 15.9 percent of the decade. That's faster than any other state, except for Idaho and Utah. 11:26:48 6 7 11:26:53 SENATOR ALVARADO: What percentage of this growth does 11:26:56 8 Texans of color represent? SPEAKER POTTER: A little more than 95 percent of the 11:26:59 9 growth can be contributed to people who identify as 11:27:01 10 11:27:06 11 racial or ethnic minorities. 11:27:08 12 SENATOR ALVARADO: Okay. Of the nearly 4 million new 11:27:11 13 Texans, how many were non-Hispanic-White? 11:27:16 14 SPEAKER POTTER: Of the almost 4,187,252 were 11:27:21 15 non-Hispanic-White. 11:27:23 16 (Video and audio stop). 11:27:23 17 BY MR. DUNN: 11:27:24 18 And for clarity of the record, who's the Senator Q. asking the questions here? 11:27:26 19 11:27:27 20 That's Senator Alvarado. And was it the case then, the demographer, Mr. Potter, 11:27:30 21 Q. provided this racial data, on at least growth information, to 11:27:34 22 all of the members of the committee? 11:27:38 23 11:27:39 24 Α. Yes, sir. 11:27:40 25 Then did the committee -- other events happened that Q.

11:27:43 1 day, of course, but did the committee again meet again on 11:27:46 2 September 28th? 11:27:47 Α. Yes. And at the beginning of that committee, do you recall 11:27:49 0. 5 Senator Huffman again listing her priorities for the map? 11:27:51 Α. I do. 11:27:55 7 MR. DUNN: This is at Defendants' Exhibit 63, 5:59 to 11:27:58 8 6:45. 11:28:03 SENATOR HUFFMAN: We focussed on complying with all 11:28:09 applicable law, including the Constitution, the 11:28:11 10 11:28:14 11 Voting Rights Act and the requirements to equalize 11:28:17 12 district populations based on the 2020 Census, 11:28:20 13 focussed on keeping political subdivisions together, 11:28:24 14 keeping communities of interests together, preserving 11:28:26 15 the cores of existing districts, creating 11:28:31 16 geographically compact districts, addressing partisan 11:28:33 17 considerations, protecting incumbents, and when 11:28:35 18 possible, honoring reasonable requests made by incumbent members. These considerations have also 11:28:38 19 11:28:41 20 guided my approach to what proposed committee amendments I'm able to support. 11:28:43 21 So the first amendment -- this first... 11:28:45 22 11:28:51 23 (Video and audio stop). BY MR. DUNN: 11:28:51 24 Was that the first time that you had heard 11:28:51 25 Q.

partisanship mentioned from Senator Huffman as a basis for her plan?

A. Yes, it was.

11:28:58

11:28:59

11:29:01

11:29:04

11:29:11

11:29:12

11:29:15

11:29:15 10

11:29:19 11

11:29:19 12

11:29:22 13

11:29:24 14

11:29:25 15

11:29:38 16

11:29:44 17

11:29:49 18

11:29:53 19

11:29:58 20

11:30:03 21

11:30:09 22

11:30:13 23

11:30:18 24

11:30:24 25

3

4

5

7

8

- Q. What had happened between the first time she had mentioned her priorities into this time?
- A. Well, our map had dropped. The changes in to Senate District 10 continued to occur.
- Q. Had Senator Huffman heard from a number witnesses during the committee?
- A. She heard hours of testimony from people in Senate District 10.
- Q. And we've heard a bit of that in terms of these experts that came, but describe generally what the other testimony was like?
- A. Well, it was largely people who were expressing they're thought that minority votes were being diluted, that African-American and Hispanic and Asian voters were being disenfranchised by the changes of this map, that we were cracking apart areas of minority concentration, and half of those were being submerged into -- or a portion of those were being submerged into Senate District 9, Senator Kelly Hancock's district, and the southern portion of Tarrant County was being submerged into those seven rural, highly Republican, highly Anglo populations, which to the voters of Tarrant County, to the Hispanic and African-American and Asian voters of Tarrant

1:30:28 1 | County, would leave them with no voice at the ballot box.

- Q. Were there a number of witnesses who came forward and made comments similar to those that you've just described --
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. -- with regards to Senate District 10?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Now do you recall you had a discussion with Senator Huffman in this committee meeting about why you got changes because you were within deviation?
 - A. Yes, sir.

MR. DUNN: This is Defendants' 63, 20:14 to 21:05. (Video and audio start).

SENATOR HUFFMAN: Under the fifth amendment proposes changes to multiple districts in the DFW area. In testimony before this committee, Senator Powell argued that we should not make changes to the existing SD-10 because it has close to ideal total population as currently configured, but this does not account for the neighboring districts, including, for instance, SD-8, which was overpopulated by 57,955; SD-12, which was overpopulated by 146,201 or SD-30, which was overpopulated by 87,087 people. Shifts throughout the DFW area needed to account for this growth. Based on this and other redistricting objectives I discussed earlier, I proposed changes to

11:31:44 25

SD-10. 11:31:48 1 (Video and audio stop). 11:31:49 2 BY MR. DUNN: 11:31:49 3 4 Now what is your response to Senator Huffman's claim 11:31:49 0. 5 that changes needed to be made to Senate District 10 to balance 11:31:52 11:31:56 6 population? 7 Well, actually, I don't believe that's true. 11:31:56 Α. 11:31:58 8 Q. Why not? Those changes could have occurred within -- within the 11:31:59 9 Α. senate districts that were overpopulated and underpopulated. 11:32:02 10 11:32:06 11 They could have been absorbed by adjoining districts that were 11:32:13 12 underpopulated or overpopulated, and it didn't need to crack apart those minority populations, in order to draw in a map in 11:32:18 13 11:32:30 14 which Senate District 10 continues to, in effect, act as a 11:32:38 15 coalition crossover district. Those changes could've been made 11:32:43 16 easily. 11:32:43 17 Q. Were there plans proposed during the debates that 11:32:47 18 balanced the population out in West Texas and the Panhandle and left Senate District 10 alone? 11:32:51 19 11:32:53 20 Α. Yes. 11:32:53 21 Q. Now, after -- the committee voted on the plan, I 11:32:57 22 assume? 11:32:57 23 Α. Yes. 11:32:58 24 And did it pass? Q. Yes, it did. 11:32:59 25 Α.

Q. And then the Senate floor debate started on October the 4th. Does that sound right to you?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And were you there for that?
- A. Yes, I was.
- Q. At the beginning of the Senate floor debate, did Senator Huffman again lay out her priorities that she alleged to follow for the bill?
 - A. She did.

MR. DUNN: Defendants' Exhibit 65, :48 to 1:50. (Video and audio start).

SENATOR HUFFMAN: Ms. President and Members:

Members, this is the Senate Bill, which draws our new lines for the entire Senate. We're going to call this -- it's officially called Plan S2130, if you're looking in District Viewer. This Plan was developed after the committee heard many hours of public testimony and after I listened to each members priorities and input about their respective districts.

My goals and priorities in developing this proposed Plan, included, first and foremost, following all applicable law, equalizing population across districts, preserving political subdivisions and communities of interest, when possible,

11:34:01 23

11:34:04 24

11:34:09 25

11:34:11 1 preserving the cores of previous districts to the 11:34:14 2 extent possible, avoiding pairing incumbent members, 11:34:19 3 achieving geographic compactness and accommodating incumbent priorities to the extent that I could. 4 11:34:24 5 I also... 11:34:24 (Video and audio stop). 11:34:28 BY MR. DUNN: 7 11:34:28 8 Q. In that list of priorities, did Senator Huffman 11:34:28 mention partnership? 11:34:33 She, did not. 11:34:35 10 Α. 11:34:36 11 Was there a discussion where you learned the 11:34:39 12 Lieutenant Governor was involved in drawing lines? 11:34:41 13 Α. Yes. 11:34:43 14 MR. DUNN: This is Defendants' Exhibit 65, 7:31 11:34:48 15 through 7:57. 11:34:48 16 (Video and audio start). 11:34:52 17 SENATOR POWELL: Anyone besides Anne Mackin and Sean 11:34:55 18 Opperman and you provide input on the boundaries of Senate District 10? 11:34:58 19 11:35:00 20 SENATOR HUFFMAN: Occasionally, the Lieutenant Governor would come in, but very rarely came in once 11:35:02 21 or maybe two or three times during the entire 11:35:04 22 11:35:06 23 process, as I'm sure any lieutenant governor in the 11:35:09 24 history of Texas would took -- take an interest in 11:35:12 25 the redrawing of Senate redistricting maps.

11:35:16 1 (Video and audio stop). BY MR. DUNN: 11:35:16 2 And your question there, were you specifically asking 11:35:16 3 Q. about Senate District 10 lines? 4 11:35:18 5 Α. Yes. 11:35:21 11:35:21 And was the name that came to Senator Huffman's memory 0. 7 11:35:27 and that she spoke was that the Lieutenant Governor would come 8 in and out of the room occasionally? 11:35:28 Yes, it was. 11:35:31 Α. Now did you have a discussion with Senator Huffman 11:35:31 10 11:35:34 11 about where the idea came from to make changes to Senate 11:35:38 12 District 10? I did. 11:35:38 13 Α. 11:35:40 14 MR. DUNN: Defendants' Exhibit 65, 9:02 to 9:12. 11:35:40 15 (Video and audio start). 11:35:50 16 SENATOR POWELL: Did anyone ever suggest to you that 11:35:53 17 SD-10 be expanded beyond Tarrant County? SENATOR HUFFMAN: Not that I recall. 11:35:57 18 11:35:59 19 (Video and audio stop). 11:35:59 20 BY MR. DUNN: What did you make of Senator Huffman's statement 11:35:59 21 Q. 11:36:03 22 there? 11:36:04 23 Α. Well, I thought it was incredulous. 11:36:08 24 Why? Q. 11:36:10 25 Α. That no one ever suggested that she expand Senate

11:36:16 1 District 10 beyond the boundaries of Tarrant County, yet, she 11:36:20 2 cracked it in half and submerged the minority populations with heavily rural districts to the south and a highly Anglo area to 11:36:26 3 the north. 11:36:33 5 Does the Texas Legislature typically pass legislation 11:36:34 Q. 6 without any interest group or citizen asking for it? 11:36:39 11:36:42 7 Α. No. Do you recall a discussion on the floor about what 11:36:42 8 Q. printed maps were available? 11:36:47 9 Yes, I do. 11:36:49 10 Α. MR. DUNN: This is Defendants' Exhibit 65, 12:15 to 11:36:50 11 12:58. 11:36:56 12 11:36:56 13 (Video and audio start). 11:37:01 14 SENATOR POWELL: At the September 24th hearing, you 11:37:03 15 introduced the Senate Plan by reading allowed this 11:37:06 16 written and prepared remark, kind of like you did 11:37:07 17 here today; is that correct? 11:37:10 18 SENATOR HUFFMAN: I don't recall, but I assume I 11:37:12 19 probably did, yes. SENATOR POWELL: Well, you said the following, and I 11:37:14 20 11:37:15 21 am going to quote this from your comments. Quote: My goals and priorities in developing 11:37:18 22 these proposed plans include first and foremost 11:37:21 23 11:37:25 24 abiding by of all applicable law, equalizing 11:37:29 25 population across districts, preserving political

11:37:33 1 subdivisions and communities of interest, when 11:37:36 2 possible, preserving the cores of previous districts to the extent possible, avoiding pairing incumbent 11:37:40 3 members, achieving geographic compactness, when 11:37:47 4 5 possible, and accommodating incumbent priorities, 11:37:50 also, when possible. End quote. 11:37:55 6 7 11:37:58 These were the goals that you followed in drawing the districts; is that correct? 11:38:01 SENATOR HUFFMAN: Legally speaking, yes. 11:38:03 (Video and audio stop). 11:38:03 10 11:38:05 11 MR. DUNN: So, to correct the record, I must have accidently deleted the maps portion and instead we played 11:38:06 12 Defendants' Exhibit 65, 17:02 to 18:09. 11:38:10 13 BY MR. DUNN: 11:38:10 14 11:38:15 15 You asked Senator Huffman again about her priorities Q. 11:38:18 16 for the map; is that right? 11:38:18 17 Α. That's right. 11:38:19 18 Was there any mention in that exchange in her response Q. about partnership? 11:38:21 19 11:38:22 20 Α. No, there was not. 11:38:26 21 Q. Okay. 11:38:26 22 MR. SWEETEN: Your Honor, that misstates the record. 11:38:29 23 She just said partisanship. Objection. 11:38:33 24 THE COURT: Mr. Dunn? The record will reflect what it reflects. 11:38:35 25 MR. DUNN:

11:38:38 1 BY MR. DUNN: 11:38:39 2 There was a discussion also had about the 2012 court 11:38:42 3 decision; is that right? I'm sorry. Could you please ask the question again. 11:38:42 Α. 5 There was a discussion about the 2012 court Q. 11:38:49 6 decision about Senate District 10? 11:38:51 7 11:38:52 Α. Yes. 8 MR. DUNN: Oops. 11:38:52 This is 23:37 to 24:36 of Defendants' Exhibit 65. 11:39:01 9 (Video and audio start). 11:39:01 10 11:39:11 11 SENATOR POWELL: And you have you read the 2012 11:39:13 12 preclearance decision from the D.C. Federal Court in 11:39:15 13 the Texas v. United States case? 11:39:19 14 SENATOR HUFFMAN: Have I read it? I probably have in 11:39:22 15 the past. I don't want to say definitively, because I don't recall if it's one I read it. 11:39:25 16 11:39:34 17 SENATOR POWELL: Well, I would adhere that I provided 11:39:36 18 a copy of that decision to you when we met to preview or proposed map; is that correct? 11:39:39 19 11:39:43 20 SENATOR HUFFMAN: I recall you handing me a legal 11:39:46 21 document, yes. 11:39:47 22 SENATOR POWELL: And you were on the Redistricting Committee and voted to permanently adopt the 11:39:49 23 11:39:52 24 Court-ordered Plan; is that correct? 11:39:55 25 SENATOR HUFFMAN: Are you talking back in 20- -- yes,

11:39:56 1 I was on the Redistricting Committee last time it 11:40:00 2 came before the Senate that year, yes. 11:40:02 3 SENATOR HUFFMAN: And you voted to adopt the Court-ordered Plan? 11:40:02 5 SENATOR HUFFMAN: I did vote for the map, yes, I did. 11:40:05 (Video and audio stop). 11:40:09 6 BY MR. DUNN: 7 11:40:09 8 Q. What did you make of Senator Huffman not recalling the 11:40:10 2012 decision you provided? 11:40:14 Well, I think that's an incredible statement. 11:40:15 10 11:40:19 11 don't -- having served on the 2011 Restricting Committee, and we 11:40:29 12 had provided that document to her. I believe, we handed it to 11:40:34 13 her in person and again by email. It had been discussed at 11:40:42 14 length during the entire redistricting process. And I believe 11:40:48 15 that a prudent committee chairman of redistricting would want to 11:40:55 16 know all the facts. I believe that because of the course of her 11:41:00 17 service, she would have read that. 11:41:02 18 Q. Do you recall a discussion with Senator Huffman about 11:41:05 19 whether or not the core of districts had been preserved? 11:41:08 20 Α. That's right. 11:41:09 21 MR. DUNN: This is Defendants' Exhibit 65, 37:52 to 38:49. 11:41:13 22 (Video and audio start). 11:41:13 23 11:41:18 24 SENATOR POWELL: All right. Then I'm going to move on 11:41:20 25 to your criteria in preserving the core of previous

11:41:24	1	districts.
11:41:26	2	SENATOR HUFFMAN: Okay.
11:41:27	3	SENATOR POWELL: Brown, Callahan, Shackelford,
11:41:29	4	Stephens, Palo Pinto, Parker and Johnson counties are
11:41:32	5	not part of core of the existing Senate District 10;
11:41:37	6	is that correct?
11:41:37	7	SENATOR HUFFMAN: They're new areas to Senate District
11:41:40	8	10, yes.
11:41:41	9	SENATOR POWELL: And so how does adding these seven
11:41:44	10	rural counties serve your goal of preserving the core
11:41:49	11	of Senate District 10?
11:41:49	12	SENATOR HUFFMAN: The core is still there in Senate
11:41:52	13	District 10. I believe your home is in Senate
11:41:55	14	District 10, is it not, Senator Powell? I'm pretty
11:41:58	15	sure you believe you lived in the heart and soul of
11:42:00	16	Senate District 10, so your the core in my belief
11:42:02	17	is still there. It is a Tarrant County based Senate
11:42:02	18	District.
11:42:11	19	SENATOR POWELL: With seven rural counties added to
11:42:14	20	that urban area?
11:42:14	21	SENATOR HUFFMAN: Correct.
11:42:14	22	(Video and audio stop).
11:42:14	23	BY MR. DUNN:
11:42:15	24	Q. What is your response to Senator Huffman's claim that
11:42:16	25	the core had been retained in your district?

Well, it absolutely had not. The heart of the 11:42:19 1 Α. 11:42:25 2 district, the populations to the north of downtown Fort Worth had been cracked out of the district and submerged in Senate 11:42:34 3 District 9, and the southern portion into those counties out to 4 11:42:40 5 the west and to the south. And I think it's inconsequential 11:42:44 where I live in the district. That doesn't make it the heart of 11:42:47 Senate District 10. The heart of Senate District 10 are the 11:42:53 7 8 voters who reside in that district. 11:42:56 Did you also have a conversation with Senator Huffman 11:43:02 Q. about the compactness and how compactness was or wasn't met? 11:43:06 10 11:43:08 11 Α. I did. 11:43:09 12 MR. DUNN: Defendants' Exhibit 65, 40:45 to 41:32. (Video and audio start). 11:43:09 13 11:43:18 14 SENATOR POWELL: Looking at the map, would you assess 11:43:21 15 that Senate District 10 in its current configuration 11:43:25 16 is more compact than an SD-10 that goes nearly to 11:43:31 17 Abilene and all the way to Brownwood, is that more 11:43:35 18 compact than SD-10 is today? 11:43:38 19 SENATOR HUFFMAN: It depends on how you define 11:43:41 20 compactness and what the goals of the redistricting 11:43:43 21 process were, how much population you needed, where 11:43:46 22 you could find the population, other incumbents

SENATOR POWELL: Even if you didn't need any

into account as well.

11:43:50 23

11:43:54 24

11:43:56 25

surrounding you and their interests had to be taken

11:43:58 1 population? SENATOR HUFFMAN: Pardon? 11:43:58 2 SENATOR POWELL: Even if you didn't need any 11:43:58 3 4 population? 11:43:58 5 SENATOR HUFFMAN: Well, we believed you needed 11:44:00 population. 11:44:01 6 SENATOR POWELL: Well, let's move on. 7 11:44:02 8 SENATOR HUFFMAN: Okay. 11:44:04 (Video and audio stop). 11:44:07 9 BY MR. DUNN: 11:44:07 10 11:44:08 11 Based on the information you had been provided by TLC, Q. 11:44:12 12 did your district need population at that point? It did not. 11:44:14 13 Α. 11:44:30 14 And did you have again have a discussion with Senator 11:44:33 15 Huffman about the criteria she was following with regard to the 11:44:36 16 map? 11:44:36 17 Α. Yes, we did. MR. DUNN: Defendants' Exhibit 65, 41:33 to 42:45. 11:44:37 18 11:44:46 19 SENATOR POWELL: At the September 28th Committee 11:44:48 20 hearing, you said you were voting against my 11:44:50 21 amendment, sponsored by Senate Alvardo, to restore SD-10 in order to accommodate your redistricting 11:44:56 22 criteria. So which of the redistricting criteria, 11:44:59 23 11:45:03 24 that we just discussed, were you referring to when he said that? 11:45:07 25

SENATOR HUFFMAN: All of them. 11:45:10 1 11:45:10 2 SENATOR POWELL: All of them. Which redistricting criteria do you think would 11:45:13 3 serve that voting against that district. 11:45:17 5 SENATOR HUFFMAN: I am sorry? Which --11:45:20 SENATOR POWELL: Which redistricting criteria did you 11:45:21 7 11:45:23 think was served by voting against my amendment --SENATOR HUFFMAN: All of them. 11:45:26 SENATOR POWELL: -- to keep SD-10 the same? 11:45:27 SENATOR HUFFMAN: All of them were considered. 11:45:31 10 11:45:33 11 SENATOR POWELL: All of them. 11:45:33 12 Well, what is the main reason then that you 11:45:35 13 changed SD-10 from its current configuration, where 11:45:38 14 it's based solely in Tarrant County and largely in 11:45:41 15 urban areas of Fort Worth and Arlington, to one that includes now seven counties -- seven additional 11:45:45 16 11:45:48 17 counties? 11:45:49 18 SENATOR HUFFMAN: All of the redistricting priorities that I have previously stated, that you have stated as well. 11:45:50 19 11:45:50 20 (Video and audio stop). MR. DUNN: 11:45:58 21 Now Senator Huffman mentions all of them and you make 11:45:58 22 Q. What is your response to that claim of hers? 11:46:05 23 a face. 11:46:06 24 Well, I fundamentally disagree with that on its face. Α. 11:46:12 25 Look at the map. Look at the populations that are cracked out

1 11:46:16 of the map and the populations in which they're submerged. 11:46:22 2 Would they be talking about communities of interest or 11:46:25 3 whether you're talking about incumbent issues, whether you're talking about compactness, it is clear that this is not a 4 11:46:30 5 compact map, you know, to go from serving members of a district 11:46:34 6 that are in one county to now driving nearly to Abilene or 11:46:43 11:46:50 7 nearly to Brownwood in trying to connect those communities of 8 interest is complicated, as we've heard on here. Those are more 11:46:55 agrarian societies that are now merged with a very urban 11:47:02 district that -- I said this before -- Fort Worth has over 11:47:07 10 11:47:14 11 85,000 students in their district, Mansfield some 55,000, I 11:47:17 12 believe, Arlington even larger than that, so we're talking about 11:47:23 13 going from serving a district that has very large urban 11:47:29 14 populations, very large school districts, highly related to 11:47:36 15 transportation and entertainment and to areas that are more 11:47:43 16 agrarian society. They're not communities of interest. 11:47:48 17

- Q. Do you recall Senator Huffman on the floor discussing what use she made of the racial shade maps?
 - A. Yes.

MR. DUNN: This is Defendants' Exhibit 65, 44:28 to 46:07.

(Video and audio start).

SENATOR POWELL: When we met, before you were released the proposed Senate Plan, I showed you a map of SD-10 showing colored shading. In fact, I showed you a

11:47:52 18

11:47:56 19

11:47:58 20

11:48:03 21

11:48:03 22

11:48:09 23

11:48:11 24

11:48:20 1 number of maps that were shading maps, where the 11:48:23 2 district minority populations were located, and you initialed every single one of those maps with the 11:48:28 date on that, correct? 11:48:31 11:48:33 5 SENATOR HUFFMAN: Senator Powell, we're going to -we're going to -- I'm going to take you to task on 11:48:36 6 7 11:48:37 this one, because you and I both know I made it 11:48:39 8 perfectly clear that I was not considering racially data. You sat down and you handed me a document. I 11:48:43 9 glanced at it for less than a second. I did not know 11:48:47 10 11:48:51 11 what it was. When I turned the page, I realized it 11:48:54 12 had racially data. I turned it over flat, and I 11:48:58 13 said, I will not look at this. You had four 11:49:01 14 others -- no, I'm going to finish -- and I had you 11:49:04 15 initial it, I initialled it. I put it into a folder. 11:49:09 16 My staff did not look at it. I did not look at it. 11:49:13 17 And I turned back -- that folder over to the Attorney 11:49:16 18 General's office okay. Okay? You're the one who gave it to me. 11:49:18 19 11:49:18 20 SENATOR POWELL: That is correct. SENATOR HUFFMAN: I did not look at it. I did not 11:49:21 21 read it and I did not glean one bit of information 11:49:24 22 from it. 11:49:27 23 11:49:27 24 SENATOR POWELL: All right. 11:49:28 25 SENATOR HUFFMAN: So I'm trying to be very transparent

```
11:49:33
        1
                       here, completely honest, but you need to be so, too.
11:49:37
        2
                      SENATOR POWELL: Oh, I am being honest.
11:49:38
        3
                      SENATOR HUFFMAN: Okay. Well --
                      SENATOR POWELL: I am being honest --
11:49:38
       5
                      SENATOR HUFFMAN: -- I just want to make it clear.
11:49:39
                            Thank you, Ms. Powell.
11:49:40
       7
                      SENATOR POWELL: -- I absolutely did lay that in front
11:49:40
11:49:40 8
            of you --
                      SENATOR HUFFMAN: Absolutely. Thank you.
11:49:40 9
                      SENATOR POWELL: -- and we dated them and initialed
11:49:43 10
11:49:44 11
             them, both of them -- both of us did.
11:49:47 12
                      SENATOR HUFFMAN: Correctly, yes.
11:49:47 13
                      SENATOR POWELL: All right.
11:49:48 14
                      (Video and audio stop).
11:49:48 15
            BY MR. DUNN:
11:49:49 16
                      Do you recall that exchange of maps that Senator
                 Q.
            Huffman has described it?
11:49:53 17
11:49:54 18
                 Α.
                      Certainly, yes.
                      Do you recall the flipping over the pages quickly the
11:49:55 19
                 Q.
             initialing, the not looking at it as she described it?
11:49:59 20
                      What I recall of that event is that I handed her each
11:50:03 21
                 Α.
            map individually. I read the title of the map. I told her what
11:50:08 22
            the shading contained in each map. Then she took -- she had all
11:50:13 23
11:50:19 24
             of the maps together, and then she said, let's each initial
11:50:23 25
            these maps, and she initialed them and handed that back to me
```

11:50:28 1 and I initialed them. That entire transaction was far more than 11:50:34 2 one second. 11:50:36 3 Q. Was it clear to you that she. MR. SWEETEN: Can you give the range of the clip you 11:50:38 4 5 just played? 11:50:43 MR. DUNN: Sure. Defendants' Exhibit 65, 44:28 to 11:50:43 6 7 46:07. 11:50:49 8 11:50:54 MR. SWEETEN: Okay. BY MR. DUNN: 11:50:55 9 Was it clear to you that Senator Huffman had time to 11:50:56 10 Q. 11:50:57 11 see and visualize and understand each of those maps? 11:51:02 12 Α. Yes. Now there was another discussion about case law with 11:51:04 13 Q. 11:51:08 14 Senator Huffman on the Senate floor about the cases she was 11:51:13 15 relying on. Do you recall that? 11:51:14 16 Α. Yes. 11:51:14 17 MR. DUNN: This is Defendants' Exhibit 65, 52:43, to 52:58. 11:51:23 18 (Video and audio start). 11:51:23 19 11:51:26 20 SENATOR POWELL: Powell. You've read the Cooper v. 11:51:29 21 Harris decision from the Supreme Court; is that 11:51:29 22 correct? 11:51:31 23 SENATOR HUFFMAN: No. I might have, I just don't know 11:51:33 24 the names, so I'm not going to say, yes, and pretend 11:51:37 25 to be an expert, because I don't know that specific

11:51:40 1 case. 11:51:40 2 (Video and audio stop). 11:51:41 3 BY MR. DUNN: 4 Was Cooper v. Harris one of the cases she listed in 11:51:41 0. 5 the committee hearing? 11:51:45 11:51:46 Α. Yes. 7 What did you make of the fact she wasn't sure if she 11:51:47 Q. 8 read them? 11:51:50 I thought it was disingenuous. 11:51:50 Α. Was there a discussion on the floor about whether or 11:51:53 10 Q. 11:51:56 11 not there's the existence of racially polarized voting or RPV in 11:52:02 12 Texas? 11:52:02 13 Α. Yes. MR. DUNN: Defendants' Exhibit 65, 52:9 to 53:19. 11:52:03 14 11:52:03 15 (Video and audio start). 11:52:11 16 SENATOR POWELL: Are you aware, then, that the courts 11:52:13 17 have repeatedly said that voting in Texas is racially 11:52:17 18 polarized with Anglo voters mostly supporting 11:52:22 19 Republicans and minority voters mostly supporting 11:52:27 20 Democrats? SENATOR HUFFMAN: I don't know that the courts have 11:52:27 21 11:52:30 22 said that. 11:52:30 23 (Video and audio stop). BY MR. DUNN: 11:52:30 24 Do you recall when the courts have said that as a 11:52:34 25 Q.

11:52:37	1	nonlawyer	-2
		_	
11:52:38	2	Α.	Yes.
11:52:38	3	Q.	And have they?
11:52:39	4	Α.	Yes.
11:52:39	5	Q.	What did you make about the fact that Senator Huffman
11:52:42	6	was unawa	are?
11:52:46	7	А.	Again, she's the Chairman of Redistricting. She
11:52:51	8	should be	e aware of all of that and I believe that she is.
11:52:55	9	Q.	And then was there a discussion as to whether or not
11:52:58	10	the newly	configured Senate District 10 would elect in your
11:53:02	11	election	defeat?
11:53:03	12	А.	Yes.
11:53:04	13		MR. DUNN: This is Defendants' Exhibit 65, 1:28:11 to
11:53:11	14	1:28:27.	
11:53:11	15		(Video and audio start).
11:53:11	16		MALE SPEAKER: Your plan, I think that we've already
11:53:19	17		determined that Senate District 10 would probably not
11:53:21	18		be returning Senator Powell; is that accurate?
11:53:25	19		SENATOR HUFFMAN: I do not know who the voters of
11:53:28	20		Senate District 10 will vote for.
11:53:28	21		(Video and audio stop)
11:53:32	22	BY MR. DU	JNN:
11:53:32	23	Q.	Now did that make sense to you?
11:53:35	24	А.	No.
11:53:37	25	Q.	If partisanship was the goal, would you think Senator

Huffman would have known or had an expectation of how the 11:53:44 1 11:53:45 2 district was going to perform? I certainly think she would have an idea of what would 11:53:46 3 happen. 11:53:49 5 Now, ultimately, there was a number of amendments 11:53:51 Q. 6 processed and then voted on by the Senate; is that right? 11:54:05 7 That's right. 11:54:07 Α. 8 Q. Those are reflected in the record, but was there a 11:54:08 discussion Senator Huffman has about an amendment where she 11:54:11 describes the problems with it that related to what you thought 11:54:14 10 11:54:17 11 were the problems of Senate District 10? 11:54:20 12 Α. Yes. MR. DUNN: This is Defendants' Exhibit 69, 3:10 to 11:54:21 13 3:11. 11:54:29 14 11:54:29 15 (Video and audio start). 11:54:37 16 SENATOR HUFFMAN: Similarly, with respect to Senate 11:54:37 17 District 21, but also in many other areas of the 11:54:40 18 state, this proposal is not compact and combines 11:54:44 19 communities that have not been jointly represented in 11:54:47 20 the Senate in previous years. While ... 11:54:51 21 (Video and audio stop). 11:54:51 22 BY MR. DUNN: Now the explanation that Senator Huffman gives for 11:54:51 23 Q. 11:54:54 24 rejecting this amendment, what did you make of that? 11:54:57 25 Α. Well, compared to the new Senate District 10, the map

	1			
11:55:06	1	_	tion was far more compact than SD-10 is it is drawn	
11:55:12	2	today.		
11:55:13	3	Q.	Now, ultimately, the Senate voted on the bill; is that	
11:55:17	4	right?		
11:55:17	5	А.	That's right.	
11:55:17	6	Q.	And did it pass?	
11:55:18	7	А.	It did.	
11:55:19	8	Q.	And did some Democrats vote for the bill?	
11:55:26	9	А.	They did.	
11:55:27	10	Q.	Some Democrats put a statement in the record	
11:55:28	11	explaining their vote?		
11:55:29	12	А.	They did.	
11:55:29	13	Q.	And did anyone else vote against the bill?	
11:55:33	14	А.	Yes.	
11:55:34	15	Q.	Who was that?	
11:55:34	16	А.	Senator Seliger.	
11:55:37	17	Q.	Did you have anything to do with inducing him to make	
11:55:40	18	that vote?		
11:55:40	19	А.	I did not.	
11:55:44	20	Q.	Take to you Exhibit 40, Brooks' Exhibit 40.	
11:56:03	21		I've zoomed in to a list of senators names that made	
11:56:06	22	this sta	tement. Let me know, take as much time as you need	
11:56:10	23	there.	We can get you a copy.	
11:56:23	24	А.	All right. Just a list of senators.	
11:56:25	25	Q.	Does that include the senators the democratic	

senators who voted in favor of the plan? 11:56:29 1 11:56:31 2 Α. Yes, it does. 11:56:32 3 And then if you could just read the last sentence Q. To the contrary? 11:56:45 here: 5 "To the contrary, we unanimously oppose specific 11:56:47 Α. 6 aspects of the plan, most notably, the defamation of Senate 11:56:51 District 10. 11:56:59 7 8 Q. All right. After the Senate passage of the bill moved 11:57:01 over to the House for consideration? 11:57:03 Yes, it did. 11:57:05 10 Α. 11:57:06 11 And did you attend any of the House proceedings? Q. 11:57:08 12 Α. I did not. 11:57:09 13 Q. Did you provide some information to the House 11:57:11 14 Committee with respect to the Senate District 10 portion of the 11:57:15 15 plan? I did. 11:57:15 16 Α. 11:57:16 17 Q. I'm going to show you what is marked as Exhibit 19? 11:57:53 18 All right. Senator what is Exhibit 19? It is the packet of information on Senate District 10. 11:57:59 19 Α. 11:58:04 20 Q. Does it appear to be addressed to all of the House 11:58:07 21 members that members of the House Redistricting Committee? Yes, it is. 11:58:10 22 Α. 11:58:11 23 Q. And what were the informations you enclosed? 11:58:13 24 I included the maps, the population map for Senate Α. District 10, a copy of the 2012 ruling, a copy of -- I believe a 11:58:22 25

11:58:33 1 copy of -- and a letter to Chairman Hunter. And then do you also include, in the body of the email 11:58:54 2 11:58:58 3 itself, the same racial shaded map that you had shared with Senator Huffman? 4 11:59:04 5 Yes, I did. 11:59:04 Α. Why did you do this? 11:59:05 0. 7 11:59:06 I felt that it was really important for the members of 8 the committee to have all of the data available on Senate 11:59:12 District 10, to understand the impact of cracking apart those 11:59:17 9 minority populations and submerging them into rural and 11:59:22 10 11:59:30 11 Republican counties. 11:59:31 12 Did you receive any response back to this email from Q. 11:59:34 13 the Chair of the committee, Mr. Hunter -- Representative Hunter or any of the other Republicans on the committee? 11:59:39 14 11:59:42 15 Α. No. 11:59:42 16 And when the bill went to the House floor, did you Q. 11:59:46 17 send another correspondence? 11:59:48 18 Α. I did. 11:59:51 19 Q. I'm showing you what has been admitted as Brooks' 11:59:54 20 Exhibit 20. 11:59:57 21 Is this the email you sent? It is. 11:59:59 22 Α. 11:59:59 23 Q. And it's dated October 14th, 2021? 12:00:04 24 Α. Yes, it is. And is it dated -- is it addressed to all of the 12:00:05 25 Q.

1 members of the House? 12:00:08 12:00:09 2 It is addressed to all of the members of the House. 12:00:14 3 Are you attaching the same information here that you Q. attached and provided to the House Committee members? 4 12:00:17 5 Α. I did. 12:00:19 12:00:20 And again, in the body of the email, do you include 0. 7 12:00:24 the racial shade map? 12:00:26 8 Α. Yes, we did. Did you receive any response from the Speaker or 12:00:28 Q. Republican members of the House to this communication? 12:00:31 10 12:00:33 11 Α. Not -- not a word. 12:00:34 12 Q. Why did you send this to all of the members of the 12:00:37 13 House? 12:00:37 14 Α. Again, I think that it is incumbent on members of the 12:00:42 15 Legislature to be well informed and to have the full knowledge 12:00:46 16 of the impact of a redistricting map like this on minority 12:00:52 17 populations. 12:00:52 18 Q. Had you ever before sent a letter to every member of 12:00:59 19 the Texas House? 12:01:01 20 Α. No. Now, transitioning to a different subject, before we 12:01:02 21 went on our break earlier, I showed you an email about enclosing 12:01:06 22 a plan for Mr. Opperman? 12:01:11 23 12:01:12 24 Α. Yes, sir. And that email was dated September 18, 2021, and it 12:01:13 25 Q.

12:01:17 1 showed Senator Opperman announcing a release of the proposed 12:01:20 2 Senate plan. Do you remember that? 12:01:20 3 Α. Yes. MR. DUNN: For the record, that was Exhibit 15. 12:01:21 5 BY MR. DUNN: 12:01:21 We also discussed the release of the second version of 12:01:24 Ο. 12:01:26 7 the plan, the night of the September 23rd, before the hearing. 8 Do you recall that? 12:01:29 I do recall that. 12:01:30 Α. I accidently gave you the wrong date on that email, so 12:01:31 10 12:01:34 11 I apologize for that. Is it the case that the email we reviewed 12:01:36 12 in Exhibit 15 was the original plan that was emailed not the 12:01:40 13 version that came out the night of the committee hearing? 12:01:43 14 Α. Yes. 12:01:43 15 Did you have any -- you or your staff have any advance 12:01:46 16 notice of the plan that came out the night of the committee 12:01:50 17 hearing? 12:01:50 18 Α. Not a bit. 12:01:51 19 Q. Now, the Court has heard some testimony about a 12:01:56 20 declaration that Senate Seliger has filed. Are you aware of this? 12:02:00 21 I am aware of it. 12:02:01 22 Α. 12:02:02 23 Q. Tell us how that declaration -- you know, how it is that it came signed in your possession? 12:02:03 24 12:02:05 25 My staff asked me if I would give Senator Seliger a Α.

12:02:16 1 call and ask him if he would consider, since he voted against 12:02:19 2 the map, if he would consider signing a declaration. And so I called him and he said, send it to me. And so we sent him a 12:02:25 3 Word document of the declaration. And that was the end of that 4 12:02:34 5 discussion. Um... 12:02:44 Let me pause you there before you get to the rest of 12:02:44 Ο. 7 12:02:47 it. 8 When you talked to him on the telephone that time, did 12:02:47 you have any substantive discussion of what he might say or want 12:02:50 9 him to say? 12:02:54 10 12:02:55 11 Α. I did not. 12:02:55 12 And so was it the sense from your side of the phone Q. 12:02:59 13 call he didn't know, you know, what would be in the proposed 12:03:03 14 declaration? 12:03:03 15 No, he didn't. Α. 12:03:04 16 All right. Now what happens next after that? Q. 12:03:06 17 Α. And so I emailed him. We emailed him a copy of a Word 12:03:12 18 document that was a declaration about just what had -- what transpired when he voted against the bill. 12:03:18 19 12:03:20 20 Q. Did you receive any response from him in terms of 12:03:23 21 email? 12:03:23 22 Α. No.

Q. When did you hear from him, if you did?

When did you --

Not at that time.

12:03:25 23

12:03:25 24

12:03:26 25

Q.

Α.

1 Α. He and I attended a friend's public education banquet. 2 I was receiving an award and he was the M.C. at the banquet. 3 And they seated us next to one another at the banquet. I knew he was going to be there, so I took a Word document with me to 4 5 the banquet, and I when I walked up to him, he said, I'm going 6 to sign your declaration; I'm going to sign your declaration. 7

MR. SWEETEN: Objection, hearsay.

THE COURT: All right. We'll admit it subject to your objection.

BY MR. DUNN:

- What is the significance of having sent him the Word document? Why did you do that? Why did you send him the Word document, originally?
- Α. I sent a Word document in case there was anything in it that he didn't agree with, that he thought was untrue or didn't represent the facts, so he could change it if he wanted to.
- So returning to the meeting in Waco, when he made Q. those statements you've already described, how is it that you came into a possession of a signed version of the declaration?
- He said, I'm going to sign your declaration, and I Α. said, well, I brought a copy of the one that I emailed you, and he said, great. And so when dinner was over, I pulled that file out of my bag and he signed it and we were done.
 - Now, one final area of inquiry. At your deposition, Q.

8

9

12:04:15 12

12:04:15 11

12:03:30

12:03:40

12:03:45

12:03:52

12:03:55

12:04:00

12:04:06

12:04:09

12:04:11

12:04:19 13

12:04:19 14

12:04:23 15

12:04:29 16

12:04:33 17

12:04:34 18

12:04:38 19

12:04:41 20

12:04:44 21

12:04:48 22

12:04:53 23

12:04:58 24

12:05:03 25

12:05:08 1 you were shown a list of the senators that had voted for Senate 12:05:12 2 Bill 4. Do you recall that? 12:05:13 3 Α. Yes. And what were you asked to do with that list? 12:05:14 5 12:05:20 Ask that again? I am not understanding exactly what Α. 6 I'm answering here. 12:05:24 7 12:05:25 Q. What were you asked to do with the list of Senators? 8 What did the lawyer of the State can you to do when they gave 12:05:28 you that list of Senators? 12:05:32 Oh, they asked me to circle names on that list that I 12:05:34 10 12:05:43 11 thought had discriminatory intent, I believe. 12:05:43 12 And what did you do in response to that request? Q. 12:05:46 13 Α. I think I said that I would not speculate on the 12:05:52 14 motives of my colleagues. 12:05:54 15 Now, as part of -- you're a party to this lawsuit, do Q. 12:05:58 16 you understand that? 12:05:58 17 Α. Yes, I do. 12:05:59 18 As part of your request to this Court, are you asking Q. it to find that Senate Bill 4 was adopted with a discriminatory 12:06:02 19 12:06:05 20 intent? 12:06:06 21 Α. I am asking that. 12:06:07 22 Q. Why could you not circle some names on that list? 12:06:14 23 Α. As senators, we spend a lot of time together 12:06:18 24 throughout the year, and we develop personal relationships, and

that question felt really uncomfortable and kind of ugly to me.

12:06:23 25

CROSS - POWELL 142

12:06:32 1 It's not my nature to say, to someone, you're a racist, but 12:06:42 2 clearly, throughout the process, the Senate had the benefit of all of the testimony and hearing folks from Senate District 10 12:06:49 3 talk about how this map discriminated against them. And I --4 12:06:54 5 its clear that this is discriminatory in its intent and its 12:07:05 6 effect. 12:07:11 7 12:07:11 Q. Senator, one last question. Were you told the truth 8 about the reasons for changes to Senate District 10 at any point 12:07:15 in this process? 12:07:19 9 12:07:20 10 Α. No. 12:07:22 11 MR. DUNN: Pass the witness. 12:07:24 12 THE COURT: Mr. Sweeten? 12:07:28 13 SENATOR BEVERLY POWELL, 12:07:28 14 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY THE DEFENSE 12:07:30 15 BY MR. SWEETEN: 12:08:01 16 Good morning, Senator Powell. Q. 12:08:03 17 Α. Good morning. 12:08:03 18 I'm Patrick Sweeten. We met last week --Q. 12:08:06 19 We did. Α. 12:08:07 20 -- at your deposition. Q. We did. 12:08:09 21 Α. 12:08:10 22 Q. I'm going to ask you some questions based on the 12:08:13 23 questions you were asked by counsel. 12:08:15 24 Now, the first thing I want to ask you is the issue of 12:08:22 25 partisanship. Let me get to the right page there.

CROSS - POWELL 143

12:08:28 1 You understood entering the redistricting session that 12:08:34 2 your district might be targeted for political purposes, correct? 12:08:40 3 Well, according to Mr. Opperman --It's a yes or no. 12:08:43 4 0. 5 12:08:45 The question was, you understood entering 6 redistricting that your district might be targeted for political 12:08:49 12:08:53 7 purposes; that's what I asked you. 8 Α. Yes. 12:08:56 You did know that? 12:08:56 Q. 12:08:58 10 Α. That it made it, yes. 12:09:00 11 You understood that your district, SD-10, would be Q. 12:09:06 12 targeted because you were a Democrat, correct? 12:09:08 13 Α. Yes. 12:09:08 14 You understood that the Texas Senate had Republican Q. 12:09:13 15 majority, correct? 12:09:14 16 Α. Yes. 12:09:14 17 Q. You understood and, in fact, that majority is 18/13 12:09:20 18 Republican, correct? 12:09:21 19 Α. Yes. 12:09:21 20 Q. You understood that the Texas House had a Republican 12:09:25 21 majority also? Yes. 12:09:25 22 Α. 12:09:26 23 Q. And that majority is 85/65 Republican, correct? 12:09:31 24 Α. Yes, I think so. 12:09:32 25 You understand that the statewide office holders, the Q.

CROSS - POWELL 144

12:09:36 1 Lieutenant Governor is a Republican, correct? 12:09:38 2 Α. Yes. 12:09:39 3 Q. You understood that the governor, Governor Greg 4 Abbott, Republican, correct? 12:09:44 5 Α. Yes, sir. 12:09:45 Now, I want to ask you about your attorneys in this 12:09:46 Q. case. Okay? 12:09:52 7 8 Now, you are a freshman Senator and we'll go over that 12:09:54 for a bit. That's what you are? 12:09:59 9 That's what I am. 12:10:00 10 Α. And you would agree with me that you retained voting 12:10:02 11 12:10:07 12 rights attorneys, in January of 2021, to provide you redistricting advice, correct? 12:10:13 13 12:10:16 14 Α. Yes. 12:10:17 15 Okay. And those attorneys include the following Q. 12:10:24 16 individuals: Jerry Hiebert, correct? 12:10:27 17 Α. Not in -- not in January --12:10:29 18 Okay. Q. 12:10:30 19 Α. -- no. 12:10:30 20 Well, let's talk about it. Q. 12:10:32 21 From January throughout the redistricting process, you had redistricting attorneys that worked for you, correct? 12:10:36 22 12:10:39 23 Α. Yes. 12:10:39 24 That advised you, correct? Q. 12:10:41 25 Α. Yes.

12:10:42	1	Q.	And those include Max Rene Hicks, a long time
12:10:47	2	redistri	cting attorney?
12:10:48	3	Α.	Yes.
12:10:48	4	Q.	He provided you legal advice?
12:10:50	5	Α.	We we retained him around January of 2021.
12:10:54	6	Q.	That includes Jerry Hiebert, who also is a voting
12:10:58	7	rights a	ttorney, correct?
12:10:59	8	Α.	Not to my knowledge, in January.
12:11:01	9	Q.	My question
12:11:02	10	Α.	later on, yes.
12:11:03	11	Q.	My question was, from January to October of 2021
12:11:08	12	Α.	Oh, okay.
12:11:09	13	Q.	did you obtain legal advice from Jerry Hiebert?
12:11:13	14	Α.	Yes.
12:11:13	15	Q.	Okay. During that same time period, did you obtain
12:11:17	16	legal ad	vice from Mr. Gaber, sitting at the table?
12:11:20	17	Α.	Yes.
12:11:20	18	Q.	During that time period, did you obtain legal advice
12:11:24	19	from Mr.	Dunn sitting at the table?
12:11:26	20	Α.	Yes.
12:11:26	21	Q.	And that's through the session?
12:11:27	22	Α.	Yes.
12:11:28	23	Q.	That's when you're planning this cross-examination of
12:11:32	24	Senator 1	Huffman on floor?
12:11:34	25	Α.	Yes.

12:11:35	1	Q.	It's throughout this lawsuit?
12:11:36	2	Α.	Throughout the lawsuit.
12:11:38	3	Q.	A lawsuit you filed eight days after the Governor
12:11:43	4	signed SI	34, correct?
12:11:49	5	Α.	Yes.
12:11:49	6	Q.	Now, I want to ask you, who is paying for your
12:11:54	7	attorneys	s in this litigation?
12:11:55	8	Α.	I am.
12:11:56	9	Q.	Is anyone else?
12:11:59	10	Α.	Not so far.
12:12:00	11	Q.	Okay. Have you asked someone else to pay those fees
12:12:04	12	or assist	t you with that?
12:12:05	13	Α.	No. I've raised funds in my campaign account, but I
12:12:11	14	have not	•
12:12:12	15	Q.	Have you been given donations in your campaign account
12:12:15	16	for that	purpose?
12:12:16	17	Α.	There are some, yes.
12:12:17	18	Q.	And who gave you those?
12:12:19	19	Α.	Senator Johnson gave us a donation and I am not aware
12:12:30	20	of exact	ly who else that I'm
12:12:32	21	Q.	That's all you can tell the Court?
12:12:34	22	Α.	That's right. I'm not aware of who else.
12:12:36	23	Q.	How long did you prepare for the committee
12:12:47	24	examinat:	ions that you did of Senator Huffman?
12:12:54	25	Α.	Maybe parts of day or so.

12:13:00	1	Q. And which attorneys assisted you with that?
12:13:04	2	A. My chief of staff assisted me with that.
12:13:07	3	Q. And which attorneys in addition to your chief of
12:13:10	4	staff?
12:13:10	5	A. Just my chief of staff.
12:13:13	6	Q. Do you know if your chief of staff liaised with
12:13:17	7	your the four attorneys with any of the four attorneys
12:13:21	8	that we discussed earlier?
12:13:25	9	A. I'm not aware.
12:13:26	10	Q. Okay.
12:13:26	11	A. I assume so.
12:13:26	12	Q. You assume so, that's yes?
12:13:29	13	A. I know personally my chief of staff provided me with
12:13:32	14	that.
12:13:32	15	Q. And you assume that your chief of staff liaised with
12:13:37	16	your attorneys that were advising you on redistricting, correct?
12:13:41	17	A. Yes.
12:13:42	18	Q. Okay. So I want to talk to you about the Kel Seliger
12:13:56	19	affidavit.
12:13:58	20	A. Okay.
12:13:58	21	Q. First of all, Mr. Seliger it sounds like you
12:14:05	22	provided Mr. Seliger an affidavit, that was used in this case as
12:14:12	23	Defendants' Exhibit 1, at a function in Waco, correct?
12:14:15	24	A. No, I emailed it to him.
12:14:17	25	Q. Okay. First you emailed it to him and then to obtain

12:14:21 1 the signature, you show up at the event, correct? 12:14:25 2 Α. Yes. Who drafted the affidavit? 12:14:26 3 Ο. My chief of staff. 12:14:28 Α. 5 And with whose assistance? 12:14:29 Q. My chief of staff. 12:14:34 Α. 7 12:14:36 Q. Senator Powell, the function that occurred, what we're 8 talking about, was in Waco on November 18th, is that what your 12:14:39 testimony was? 12:14:43 9 I think so. 12:14:44 10 Α. 12:14:45 11 Now, I remember this date, and maybe you don't, but on 12:14:49 12 November 23rd, the night before Thanksgiving, was the night that 12:14:52 13 the preliminary injunction that you filed in this case was 12:14:55 14 filed. Do you know that? 12:14:57 15 I don't have the exact date, no. Α. 12:15:00 16 Okay. And you're saying that your chief of staff, by Q. 12:15:04 17 himself, crafted the affidavit that was then -- you obtained a 12:15:09 18 signature for, and then it was utilized in a filing with this 12:15:13 19 Court, that's your testimony? 12:15:13 20 It is. Α. Did he have assistance? 12:15:14 21 Ο. He may have. I don't know. 12:15:17 22 Α. 12:15:19 23 Q. Mr. Seliger -- now, it's also the case, isn't it, that 12:15:28 24 in your deposition I asked you if you had received the e-mails 12:15:34 25 from Mr. Seliger? Do you recall that question?

12:15:37	1	A. Yes.
12:15:37	2	Q. Your initial answer in sworn testimony in that
12:15:41	3	deposition was no?
12:15:42	4	A. I do recall that.
12:15:43	5	Q. And you recall the next thing was we pulled the email
12:15:47	6	out from Mr. Seliger with the affidavit sent to you, right?
12:15:50	7	A. Yes, you did.
12:15:51	8	Q. And you remembered it, didn't you?
12:15:53	9	A. I did.
12:15:54	10	Q. Okay. Now, I want to pull up
12:15:57	11	MR. SWEETEN: If we could, page 65, line 18 of the
12:16:01	12	deposition, of Senator Powell.
12:16:17	13	And if you could zero in page 65, line 18, to page 66,
12:16:24	14	line 4. Let's start here.
12:16:24	15	BY MR. SWEETEN:
12:16:27	16	Q. Now, this is what Mr. Dunn was speaking with you
12:16:31	17	about, Senator Powell, on his last set of questions, correct?
12:16:38	18	And I handed you a list of those senators that voted for the
12:16:43	19	bill, correct?
12:16:43	20	A. That's correct.
12:16:44	21	Q. And you recall in the deposition that at one of the
12:16:47	22	first instructions that I gave you was that you are under oath?
12:16:51	23	A. Yes.
12:16:51	24	Q. Another of the instructions that I gave you was that
12:16:55	25	this could be played to the Court, right?

12:16:57 1 Α. Yes. 12:16:58 2 Okay. Told you both of those things, all right, Q. 12:17:01 3 handed you the list of the 20 senators that voted for the bill, 4 and then when you --12:17:06 5 And so I say: "Is there a person on the yeas list, 12:17:07 6 and I'm going to give you a pen, and if you want to circle, is 12:17:12 there any person here that you believe acted with a purpose of 12:17:16 7 8 intentionally --12:17:19 12:17:19 MR. SWEETEN: And can we go on to the next page? BY MR. SWEETEN: 12:17:19 10 12:17:24 11 -- discriminating in passing SB4?" 0. 12:17:27 12 Answer: "I would not speculate as to the motivations 12:17:29 13 of my colleagues." 12:17:30 14 That was your sworn testimony, wasn't it? 12:17:32 15 Yes, sir. Α. 12:17:33 16 All right. Now I want to talk to you a little bit Q. 12:17:45 17 about some meetings that you indicated that you had with Senator 12:17:53 18 Huffman's office. Okay. 12:17:55 19 Now, first of all, we had testimony, and I think you mentioned the testimony, that there was an initial meeting 12:17:58 20 with -- not with Senator -- not with Senator Huffman and not 12:18:03 21 12:18:07 22 with you in the room, but between one of your staffers Mr. Svatora, correct? 12:18:13 23 12:18:14 24 Α. Yes. Okay. That is something that you've mentioned, and 12:18:14 25 Q.

12:18:18 1 you would agree with me that that occurred a year and a half 12:18:22 2 before the session -- the redistricting session, the third 12:18:28 3 called session, correct? Α. Yes. 12:18:28 5 You weren't there? Q. 12:18:29 No, I was not. 12:18:30 Α. 12:18:32 7 Q. All right. You then recounted a second meeting with 8 Senator Huffman, and I think at that time you indicated you had 12:18:38 a picture of a map that you showed this Court. Do you remember 12:18:41 9 that? 12:18:45 10 12:18:45 11 Α. Yes. 12:18:45 12 Okay. And you would agree with me that that picture Q. 12:18:49 13 was simply -- that wasn't racial data or anything else -- it was simply a map that showed the over-underpopulation of existing 12:18:56 14 12:19:01 15 Senate District 10, correct? 12:19:02 16 It had statistics about the racial makeup of Senate 12:19:09 17 District 10 on it. I don't recall specifically what you're 12:19:12 18 asking about it. 12:19:13 19 Q. Okay. Well --12:19:14 20 Α. But I've seen the statistics in the box and the map. 12:19:20 21 All right. So let's see if we can find that. Q. MR. DUNN: It's Brooks' Exhibit 7. 12:19:30 22 12:19:30 23 MR. SWEETEN: Okay. 12:19:37 24 MR. DUNN: The clearer version is 9. I don't know if 12:19:40 25 you'd like that, Mr. Sweeten.

12:19:40 1 MR. SWEETEN: Thank you. I'll use 7. 12:19:43 2 If we can pull up 7. There we go. 12:19:45 3 BY MR. SWEETEN: Now, look down. I know it's a little hard to see. 12:19:46 4 Ο. 5 It's a picture that you took in that meeting, correct? 12:19:48 12:19:51 It's a picture that Mr. Jones took. Α. 7 12:19:54 Q. Your chief of staff took in the meeting? 12:19:56 8 Α. Yes. And what this shows is the adjacent population, 12:19:56 9 Q. whether they're over or under it -- one over or one under, north 12:20:02 10 12:20:04 11 of SD-10, correct? 12:20:10 12 Α. Could I -- where is this in here, because I can't see 12:20:16 13 that on my screen? 12:20:20 14 Q. It's plaintiff's Exhibit 7. It should be in one of 12:20:26 15 those books. 12:20:49 16 Α. I can see it now. You can see those better now? 12:20:51 17 Q. A. This is better. 12:20:52 18 12:20:53 19 So let's take a look. So the maps you said that you Q. 12:20:57 20 were shown at that first meeting -- and let's get a date on that first meeting that you attended. Okay. And as I understand it, 12:21:01 21 that was November 19th, 2020; is that right? 12:21:06 22 12:21:10 23 Α. Okay. 12:21:12 24 Q. That's correct? 12:21:12 25 Α. I'll agree to that.

12:21:14 1 Q. All right. And it was the meeting that you testified you attended with Mr. Jones? 12:21:18 2 12:21:21 3

Α. Right.

12:21:22

12:21:25

12:21:25

12:21:29

12:21:36

12:21:39

12:21:41 10

12:21:41 11

12:21:45 12

12:21:47 13

12:21:49 14

12:21:49 15

12:21:51 16

12:21:55 17

12:21:59 18

12:22:03 19

12:22:03 20

12:22:07 21

12:22:11 22

12:22:11 23

12:22:17 24

12:22:20 25

5

7

8

- Okay. And you were shown these two maps?
- That is correct. Α.
- Now, these maps have a fairly limited purpose, right? 0. They're ACS 2014 to 2018, the 5-year estimates, that show the existing populations of those districts based on population growth or decrease, right?
 - Α. Right.
- Okay. So that's what you were shown in that second -in that first meeting you attended, but the second one that we've talked about today, you got it?
 - Α. Yes.
 - Q. Very good.

Now, I want to talk about what was then the third and final meeting that you had with Senator Huffman and that was on September 14th, 2021, correct?

- Α. Correct.
- Now you would agree with me that that was six days before the third special session began, that meeting?
 - Yes. Α.
- Q. Okay. And would you agree with me that when you walked in the room, displayed on the board, was the map that had already been drawn?

12:22:21 1 Α. Yes, sir. 12:22:21 2 All right. And you looked at it and it had a 12:22:26 3 configuration of Tarrant County and it had also added two additional counties to it, correct? 4 12:22:31 5 Α. That's correct. 12:22:32 12:22:32 And that I think you testified was -- ended up as Map Ο. 12:22:36 7 2101, correct? 12:22:38 8 Α. That's correct. Now, you then indicated that at that time, you handed 12:22:39 9 Q. over seven or eight maps that showed racial shading of Tarrant 12:22:46 10 12:22:53 11 County; you had Asian population, White population, Black 12:22:56 12 population, Hispanic population, right? That's correct. 12:22:58 13 Α. All right. Handed those over, after the map had been 12:22:58 14 12:23:02 15 constructed, though, the one that you're looking at on the wall? 12:23:06 16 That's right. Α. 12:23:07 17 Q. All right. Now, in that meeting with Senator Huffman 12:23:10 18 was Anne Mackin and Sean Opperman, correct? 12:23:13 19 Α. That's correct. 12:23:13 20 And I think you testified that Ms. Mackin said, I feel 0. uncomfortable? 12:23:17 21 I think she did. 12:23:18 22 Α. 12:23:19 23 Q. And that was when you handed over the map showing all 12:23:24 24 of the racial shading? 12:23:25 25 I handed those maps over one by one --Α.

12:23:27 2 A and cited the heading on each map as I handed them to Senator Huffman. Q. Okay. Very good. And at that time, Senator Huffman, being a judge, initialled those maps and had you initial them and you-all both did that, correct? A. That's correct. She would initial one map and handed it to me and I would initial it. Q. Okay. A. And then she would take the second map, initial it and hand it to me and I would initial it, and I gave them back to her. Q. And you gave them back to her and she had those? A. Yes, sir. Q. Okay. Again after the configuration that you already were objecting to was shown to you, right? A. Yes. Q. Were you instructed to do that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Now, there was some conflicting testimony earlier that I want to make sure that we're clear on. The day before the Senate Committee hearing, there was another map that was introduced, correct? A. Yep.	12:23:25	1	Q. Okay.
Q. Okay. Very good. And at that time, Senator Huffman, being a judge, initialled those maps and had you initial them and you-all both did that, correct? A. That's correct. She would initial one map and handed it to me and I would initial it. Q. Okay. A. And then she would take the second map, initial it and hand it to me and I would initial it, and I gave them back to her. 12:23:57 12 her. Q. And you gave them back to her and she had those? 12:23:57 13 Q. And you gave them back to her and she had those? A. Yes, sir. Q. Okay. Again after the configuration that you already were objecting to was shown to you, right? A. Yes. 12:24:05 17 A. Yes. Q. Were you instructed to do that? A. No. My chief of staff just said, I have these maps, and that's what we did. Q. Okay. Now, there was some conflicting testimony earlier that I want to make sure that we're clear on. The day before the Senate Committee hearing, there was another map that was introduced, correct?	12:23:27	2	A and cited the heading on each map as I handed them
being a judge, initialled those maps and had you initial them and you-all both did that, correct? A. That's correct. She would initial one map and handed it to me and I would initial it. Q. Okay. A. And then she would take the second map, initial it and hand it to me and I would initial it, and I gave them back to her. Q. And you gave them back to her and she had those? A. Yes, sir. Q. Okay. Again after the configuration that you already were objecting to was shown to you, right? A. Yes. Q. Were you instructed to do that? A. No. My chief of staff just said, I have these maps, and that's what we did. Q. Okay. Now, there was some conflicting testimony earlier that I want to make sure that we're clear on. The day before the Senate Committee hearing, there was another map that was introduced, correct?	12:23:32	3	to Senator Huffman.
and you-all both did that, correct? A. That's correct. She would initial one map and handed it to me and I would initial it. Q. Okay. A. And then she would take the second map, initial it and hand it to me and I would initial it, and I gave them back to her. Q. And you gave them back to her and she had those? A. Yes, sir. Q. Okay. Again after the configuration that you already were objecting to was shown to you, right? A. Yes. Q. Were you instructed to do that? A. No. My chief of staff just said, I have these maps, and that's what we did. Q. Okay. Now, there was some conflicting testimony earlier that I want to make sure that we're clear on. The day before the Senate Committee hearing, there was another map that was introduced, correct?	12:23:34	4	Q. Okay. Very good. And at that time, Senator Huffman,
A. That's correct. She would initial one map and handed it to me and I would initial it. Q. Okay. A. And then she would take the second map, initial it and hand it to me and I would initial it, and I gave them back to her. Q. And you gave them back to her and she had those? A. Yes, sir. Q. Okay. Again after the configuration that you already were objecting to was shown to you, right? A. Yes. Q. Were you instructed to do that? A. No. My chief of staff just said, I have these maps, and that's what we did. Q. Okay. Now, there was some conflicting testimony earlier that I want to make sure that we're clear on. The day before the Senate Committee hearing, there was another map that was introduced, correct?	12:23:36	5	being a judge, initialled those maps and had you initial them
it to me and I would initial it. Q. Okay. A. And then she would take the second map, initial it and hand it to me and I would initial it, and I gave them back to her. Q. And you gave them back to her and she had those? A. Yes, sir. Q. Okay. Again after the configuration that you already were objecting to was shown to you, right? A. Yes. Q. Were you instructed to do that? A. No. My chief of staff just said, I have these maps, and that's what we did. Q. Okay. Now, there was some conflicting testimony earlier that I want to make sure that we're clear on. The day before the Senate Committee hearing, there was another map that was introduced, correct?	12:23:42	6	and you-all both did that, correct?
12:23:46 9 Q. Okay. A. And then she would take the second map, initial it and hand it to me and I would initial it, and I gave them back to her. 12:23:57 12 Q. And you gave them back to her and she had those? A. Yes, sir. Q. Okay. Again after the configuration that you already were objecting to was shown to you, right? 12:24:05 17 A. Yes. Q. Were you instructed to do that? A. No. My chief of staff just said, I have these maps, and that's what we did. Q. Okay. Now, there was some conflicting testimony earlier that I want to make sure that we're clear on. The day before the Senate Committee hearing, there was another map that was introduced, correct?	12:23:43	7	A. That's correct. She would initial one map and handed
A. And then she would take the second map, initial it and hand it to me and I would initial it, and I gave them back to her. 12:23:57 12	12:23:46	8	it to me and I would initial it.
hand it to me and I would initial it, and I gave them back to her. 12:23:57 12 12:23:57 13 2. And you gave them back to her and she had those? A. Yes, sir. Q. Okay. Again after the configuration that you already were objecting to was shown to you, right? A. Yes. Q. Were you instructed to do that? A. No. My chief of staff just said, I have these maps, and that's what we did. Q. Okay. Now, there was some conflicting testimony earlier that I want to make sure that we're clear on. The day before the Senate Committee hearing, there was another map that was introduced, correct?	12:23:46	9	Q. Okay.
her. Q. And you gave them back to her and she had those? A. Yes, sir. Q. Okay. Again after the configuration that you already were objecting to was shown to you, right? A. Yes. Q. Were you instructed to do that? A. No. My chief of staff just said, I have these maps, and that's what we did. Q. Okay. Now, there was some conflicting testimony earlier that I want to make sure that we're clear on. The day before the Senate Committee hearing, there was another map that was introduced, correct?	12:23:49	10	A. And then she would take the second map, initial it and
Q. And you gave them back to her and she had those? A. Yes, sir. Q. Okay. Again after the configuration that you already were objecting to was shown to you, right? A. Yes. Q. Were you instructed to do that? A. No. My chief of staff just said, I have these maps, and that's what we did. Q. Okay. Now, there was some conflicting testimony earlier that I want to make sure that we're clear on. The day before the Senate Committee hearing, there was another map that was introduced, correct?	12:23:52	11	hand it to me and I would initial it, and I gave them back to
A. Yes, sir. Q. Okay. Again after the configuration that you already were objecting to was shown to you, right? A. Yes. Q. Were you instructed to do that? A. No. My chief of staff just said, I have these maps, and that's what we did. Q. Okay. Now, there was some conflicting testimony earlier that I want to make sure that we're clear on. The day before the Senate Committee hearing, there was another map that was introduced, correct?	12:23:57	12	her.
Q. Okay. Again after the configuration that you already were objecting to was shown to you, right? A. Yes. Q. Were you instructed to do that? A. No. My chief of staff just said, I have these maps, and that's what we did. Q. Okay. Now, there was some conflicting testimony earlier that I want to make sure that we're clear on. The day before the Senate Committee hearing, there was another map that was introduced, correct?	12:23:57	13	Q. And you gave them back to her and she had those?
were objecting to was shown to you, right? A. Yes. Q. Were you instructed to do that? A. No. My chief of staff just said, I have these maps, and that's what we did. Q. Okay. Now, there was some conflicting testimony earlier that I want to make sure that we're clear on. The day before the Senate Committee hearing, there was another map that was introduced, correct?	12:23:57	14	A. Yes, sir.
A. Yes. Q. Were you instructed to do that? A. No. My chief of staff just said, I have these maps, and that's what we did. Q. Okay. Now, there was some conflicting testimony carlier that I want to make sure that we're clear on. The day before the Senate Committee hearing, there was another map that was introduced, correct?	12:23:58	15	Q. Okay. Again after the configuration that you already
Q. Were you instructed to do that? A. No. My chief of staff just said, I have these maps, and that's what we did. Q. Okay. Now, there was some conflicting testimony earlier that I want to make sure that we're clear on. The day before the Senate Committee hearing, there was another map that was introduced, correct?	12:24:02	16	were objecting to was shown to you, right?
A. No. My chief of staff just said, I have these maps, and that's what we did. Q. Okay. Now, there was some conflicting testimony earlier that I want to make sure that we're clear on. The day before the Senate Committee hearing, there was another map that was introduced, correct?	12:24:05	17	A. Yes.
and that's what we did. 12:24:14 21 Q. Okay. Now, there was some conflicting testimony 12:24:18 22 earlier that I want to make sure that we're clear on. 12:24:21 23 The day before the Senate Committee hearing, there was 12:24:26 24 another map that was introduced, correct?	12:24:05	18	Q. Were you instructed to do that?
Q. Okay. Now, there was some conflicting testimony earlier that I want to make sure that we're clear on. The day before the Senate Committee hearing, there was another map that was introduced, correct?	12:24:09	19	A. No. My chief of staff just said, I have these maps,
earlier that I want to make sure that we're clear on. The day before the Senate Committee hearing, there was another map that was introduced, correct?	12:24:13	20	and that's what we did.
The day before the Senate Committee hearing, there was another map that was introduced, correct?	12:24:14	21	Q. Okay. Now, there was some conflicting testimony
12:24:26 24 another map that was introduced, correct?	12:24:18	22	earlier that I want to make sure that we're clear on.
	12:24:21	23	The day before the Senate Committee hearing, there was
12:24:29 25 A. Yep.	12:24:26	24	another map that was introduced, correct?
	12:24:29	25	A. Yep.

12:24:30 1 Q. And that map had additional drawings on it, correct, 12:24:37 2 additional changes to it, right? It did. 12:24:38 3 Α. Now, one of the things that had not changed, however, 12:24:38 5 between your meeting on September 14th, 2021, and September 23, 12:24:42 6 2021, was the configuration of the district in Tarrant County? 12:24:50 7 I believe that's correct. 12:24:56 Α. 8 Okay. And, in fact, I think we can show you that, 12:24:57 Q. just to confirm --12:25:00 9 I believe that's correct. 12:25:02 10 Α. 12:25:03 11 -- if we can look on the TLC website and do an overlay 12:25:08 12 of Plan S2101 and Plan S2108. 12:25:40 13 Okay. Now, you're looking at the overlay between plan 12:25:45 14 2101 and 2108. See that, in Tarrant County, that's what you are 12:25:53 15 focussing on? 12:25:54 16 Α. Okay. 12:25:55 17 Q. It's an identical match, right? 12:25:57 18 For Tarrant County --Α. For Tarrant County? 12:25:57 19 Q. -- for that portion of it? 12:26:01 20 Α. 12:26:02 21 Right. Q. I think so. 12:26:02 22 Α. 12:26:03 23 Q. Okay. So, the original map that she showed you in her 12:26:07 24 office was the same map that was introduced just before the 12:26:14 25 first Committee hearing on this issue, correct?

12:26:14	1	A. (No response).
12:26:20	2	Q. In Tarrant County?
12:26:21	3	A. In Tarrant County, thank you.
12:26:23	4	Q. Okay. Very good.
12:26:25	5	Now, you said that you drafted a letter that was then
12:26:50	6	sent to Senator Huffman sometime after that, correct?
12:26:54	7	A. Yes.
12:26:54	8	Q. And enclosed with that letter, you said that you had a
12:26:59	9	case, correct, that you utilized a case that was attached to
12:27:04	10	that letter. Am I getting that right?
12:27:05	11	A. I utilized a case.
12:27:07	12	Q. There was a reported case that you attached?
12:27:10	13	A. Oh, a legal case.
12:27:13	14	Q. That's right.
12:27:14	15	A. Yes.
12:27:14	16	Q. Okay. And I understand you're not a lawyer, correct?
12:27:17	17	A. I am not.
12:27:18	18	Q. Okay. All right. But you were also I mean, I
12:27:22	19	assume you don't know, one way or the other, how complicated
12:27:27	20	voting rights jurisprudence is. Do you know how complicated it
12:27:32	21	is?
12:27:32	22	A. I do now.
12:27:33	23	Q. Okay. And you had ample counsel to assist you now,
12:27:37	24	haven't you?
12:27:37	25	A. I do.

12:27:39 1 Okay. All right. Now, you were -- and we'll go back 12:27:47 2 to that -- but you were critical of the Office of the Attorney General, on some of that Committee -- some of the committee 12:27:53 3 questioning, in particular, one of the resource witnesses you 4 12:27:58 5 had some criticism about and then you also have some criticism 12:28:01 6 about the fact that you were not told directly who, from the 12:28:05 Office of Attorney General, assisted in counseling the Senator, 12:28:09 7 8 right? 12:28:15 Right. 12:28:15 Α. Those were your two primary criticisms of my office, 12:28:15 10 Q.

- Q. Those were your two primary criticisms of my office, right?
 - A. Right.

12:28:21 11

12:28:21 12

12:28:21 13

12:28:26 14

12:28:28 15

12:28:31 16

12:28:31 17

12:28:35 18

12:28:39 19

12:28:43 20

12:28:46 21

12:28:48 22

12:28:49 23

12:28:55 24

12:28:58 25

- Q. Now, you would agree with me in the Office of the Attorney General, we constitutionally, we represent state officers when they request assistance, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. You understand that goes both ways. Cases that involve democratic members will often ask our office to assist them, and then other -- and sometimes leadership and other people will ask for our assistance, that's not uncommon. We are the law firm for the State, right?
 - A. Right.
- Q. And you were given the name of the individual who, at that hearing, an individual that provided consultation to Senator Huffman, that was given publicly in that hearing, wasn't

12:29:01 1 it --12:29:01 2 The one gentleman --Α. 12:29:01 Ο. Yeah. -- who testified as an expert witness. 12:29:03 Α. 5 No. I'm talking about the person -- are you saying 12:29:05 Q. 6 that you were never given the name, in a public forum --12:29:09 7 12:29:13 Α. Oh, I see. 12:29:14 -- of an attorney that provided advice to the Senate? Q. I'm -- I certainly was witness to his testimony, yes. 12:29:20 Α. Okay. Sorry. We're not -- we're talking past each 12:29:27 10 Q. 12:29:27 11 other. 12:29:27 12 Α. I (indiscernible) --12:29:31 13 Q. I'm not talking about the resource witness now. 12:29:34 14 talking about you asked a question and Mr. Dunn showed a 12:29:37 15 videotape of it, you asked a question about who provided legal 12:29:42 16 counsel from the Office of Attorney General --12:29:44 17 Α. Yes, I did ask that question, yes, sir. 12:29:45 18 And you got the answer in that hearing, didn't you? Q. No, I didn't think I did. 12:29:48 19 Α. 12:29:50 20 Okay. We'll look at that, then, in a minute. Q. 12:29:52 21 So you don't think you got that answer? I didn't think I got the answer to that. 12:29:54 22 Α. 12:29:54 23 Q. Okay. 12:29:56 24 I thought she said that's privileged. Α. 12:30:00 25 Now, you understand that the Office of the Attorney Q.

12:30:04 1 General did provide a resource witness at that hearing? 12:30:08 2 Α. That hearing, yes. 12:30:09 3 In addition, at that hearing, was a state demographer, Q. participated, correct? 12:30:14 5 Α. Yes. 12:30:15 One of the Brennen center experts, that works for the 12:30:15 0. 12:30:23 7 Brennan Center, Michael Lee, came in and testified about his 8 view of this? 12:30:27 He did. 12:30:27 Α. Okay. Domingo Garcia, former Congressional candidate, 12:30:28 10 Q. 12:30:37 11 came in and testified about his views? 12:30:39 12 Α. Yes, he did. 12:30:39 13 Q. Okay. Senator Whitmire, you, others had the 12:30:43 14 opportunity to ask lots of questions of any of the members that 12:30:46 15 you wanted to, correct? 12:30:47 16 Α. Correct. 12:30:48 17 Q. You weren't limited in time to do that. You asked all 12:30:53 18 of the questions you wanted to ask; is that --Α. I did. 12:30:54 19 12:30:55 20 Now, with respect -- I think you were critical of 12:31:24 21 Senator Huffman for not having read a decision. You and Mr. Dunn talked about that and you made much of that? 12:31:30 22 12:31:36 23 Α. I answered his question, yes. Okay. You were critical of it, weren't you? 12:31:38 24 Q. 12:31:39 25 I am critical of it. Α.

12:31:41 1 And you -- and you've had now a year-long campaign on 12:31:47 2 the Senate District 10 to forward your view, are you familiar 12:31:53 3 with some of the seminal cases in this area? I am aware of the 2012 case, but I am not aware of 12:31:57 4 Α. 5 I'm aware they exist. I have not read them nor other cases. 12:32:02 6 have I formed an opinion about them. 12:32:07 7 12:32:09 Have you read Brnovich v. Democratic National 8 Committee, which says: Partisan motives are not the same as 12:32:13 racial motives? 12:32:16 No, I haven't. 12:32:17 10 Α. 12:32:18 11 Miller v. Johnson: The good faith of the State 12:32:21 12 Legislature must be presumed. 12:32:23 13 Have you read that one? No, I haven't. 12:32:24 14 Α. 12:32:25 15 Personnel Administrator of Massachusetts v. Feeney, Q. 12:32:28 16 that says: Discriminatory purpose implies more than intent as 12:32:31 17 volition or intent as awareness of consequences. It implies the 12:32:35 18 decision-maker selected or reaffirmed a particular course of action, at least in part because of, not merely in spite of, its 12:32:37 19 12:32:42 20 adverse effects on an identifiable group. 12:32:46 21 Are you familiar with that holding? I have not read that. 12:32:48 22 Α. 12:32:49 23 Q. Hunt v. Cromartie, have you heard of that? 12:32:53 24 No, sir. Α.

Now, you made much of the court decision, that the

12:32:55 25

Q.

12:33:02 1 Davis opinion from 2012 -- right --12:33:06 2 Α. Yes, sir. 12:33:06 3 -- you said that had been decided and intentional Q. discrimination had been found, right? 12:33:09 5 Α. Yes, sir. 12:33:11 Okay. Let's talk about that case for a minute and a 12:33:11 Ο. few differences or a few issues with that case. 12:33:14 7 8 First of all, that was decided, don't you agree, of 12:33:18 Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act? 12:33:21 Α. 12:33:23 10 Yes. 12:33:24 11 Section 5 has been held by the Supreme Court, particularly, the coverage in section 4 that applied to it, to 12:33:28 12 12:33:31 13 being unconstitutional, correct? 12:33:33 14 Α. Sir, I'm, not a constitutional expert. I can't speak 12:33:37 15 to that. 12:33:38 16 Okay. Well, that's fair enough, but let me ask you Q. 12:33:41 17 just a couple of more questions, see what you know about it, 12:33:43 18 because you've certainly talked about it on direct. Okay? 12:33:45 19 Α. Okay. 12:33:45 20 And one of these questions is this. Do you know what 12:33:47 21 the standard of proof is or was under the now and constitutional Section 5? 12:33:51 22 12:33:52 23 Α. I do not. Do you know that Texas had the burden of proof to 12:33:53 24 12:33:55 25 prove nondiscrimination. If it's facts and intent, they had the

burden to prove that, did you know that? 12:34:01 1 12:34:02 2 Α. I do not. 12:34:03 3 Ο. Do you know the venue of that was in D.C.? I do not. 12:34:07 Α. 5 Do you know that that court found there was not --12:34:09 Q. 6 that Senate District 10 was not a coalition district? 12:34:11 I did not. 12:34:14 7 Α. 8 Now, it's the -- I know you're a freshman Senator, but 12:34:15 Q. I it's the case and you know this, that typically the way 12:34:26 9 redistricting works is that the Senate primarily works on the 12:34:32 10 12:34:37 11 crafting the lines of the Senate map and House primarily works 12:34:41 12 on the crafting the lines of the House, correct? 12:34:44 13 Α. Correct. 12:34:44 14 Okay. That's the way it works typically, right. Q. 12:34:48 15 Okay. 12:34:48 16 Now, the Seliger affidavit, are you familiar with the 12:35:03 17 fact that it was entered as Exhibit 1, in your case, and it was 12:35:08 18 cited in bold on the first page of the response to the PI? 12:35:13 19 Α. I am. 12:35:13 20 Okay. And are you familiar -- have you had the Q. 12:35:16 21 opportunity to review his testimony in this case? 12:35:20 22 Yes, I have. Α. 12:35:20 23 Q. Okay. 12:35:22 24 Α. Oh, I'm sorry. His testimony... In this case. 12:35:23 25 Q.

12:35:24	1	Α.	No, I have not. I'm sorry. I have not.
12:35:27	2	Q.	Fair enough.
12:35:27	3	Α.	I have not.
12:35:28	4	Q.	Now, I want to go through some additional questions
12:35:31	5	with you	. So it's clear on the record, you were the Democratic
12:35:47	6	Represen [.]	tative for SD-10 Fort Worth?
12:35:50	7	Α.	That's correct.
12:35:50	8	Q.	And you're not African-American and you're not Latino?
12:35:55	9	Α.	I'm not any one of those.
12:35:56	10	Q.	You're not Asian?
12:35:58	11	Α.	I'm not Asian.
12:36:00	12	Q.	You were first elected in 2017?
12:36:03	13	Α.	That's correct.
12:36:03	14	Q.	And you took office in January and you're closing out
12:36:07	15	the end o	of your first term, correct?
12:36:10	16	Α.	I am.
12:36:11	17	Q.	Are you campaigning now?
12:36:13	18	Α.	No, I'm not.
12:36:14	19	Q.	Have you filed for re-election
12:36:17	20	Α.	Yes, I have.
12:36:18	21	Q.	for Senate District 10?
12:36:21	22		Now, when you ran for the first time excuse me
12:36:26	23	was in 20	018, against Konni Burton, correct?
12:36:31	24	Α.	Correct.
12:36:32	25	Q.	And she was the incumbent Republican?

12:36:35	1	А.	She was.
12:36:36	2	Q.	And it was a fairly close race?
12:36:39	3	А.	It was.
12:36:40	4	Q.	And it happened to be a very good year for Democrats,
12:36:45	5	2018?	
12:36:46	6	Α.	It was a good year.
12:36:47	7	Q.	Some have called it a blue wave?
12:36:50	8	Α.	Some have.
12:36:51	9	Q.	Some have called it a blue tsunami?
12:36:56	10	Α.	Some have.
12:36:57	11	Q.	That was the year that Senator Cruz and Senator
12:37:02	12	O'Rourke	had a very close race within two percentage points were
12:37:08	13	statewide	e for a statewide election, you would agree with me,
12:37:11	14	that was	pretty close, that was pretty unusual?
12:37:14	15	А.	Yes, I think so.
12:37:17	16	Q.	And your seat during that election, was not the only
12:37:22	17	game that	Texas Democrats made in State Senate that year, right?
12:37:26	18	А.	That's right.
12:37:27	19	Q.	Nathan Johnson defeated the Republican incumbent Don
12:37:31	20	Huffines,	didn't he?
12:37:32	21	А.	That's correct.
12:37:33	22	Q.	Now, you'd agree that Tarrant County is primarily a
12:37:53	23	Republica	an county?
12:37:54	24	Α.	I don't think that's true anymore.
12:37:56	25	Q.	Okay. Who is the County Judge of Tarrant County?

12:38:01	1	A. Judge Glenn Whitley.
12:38:03	2	Q. And Mr. Whitley, he's been elected since 2007, hasn't
12:38:07	3	he?
12:38:07	4	A. I think that's right.
12:38:09	5	Q. He's a Republican?
12:38:10	6	A. He is a Republican.
12:38:11	7	Q. If Mr. Brooks, now I assume you defer a little bit to
12:38:19	8	Mr. Brooks, but if he believes it's a Republican, would you
12:38:24	9	disagree with that?
12:38:25	10	A. I do disagree with that.
12:38:26	11	Q. Now, in SD-10, you would agree with me that the last
12:38:31	12	six contests for SD-10, Republicans one half of those and
12:38:35	13	Democrats won half of those?
12:38:38	14	A. I think that's a fair analogy.
12:38:40	15	Q. I'm going to take a quick look at those contests.
12:38:44	16	And I'm going to put up Defendants' Exhibit Number 18
12:38:48	17	at 1, please. All right.
12:38:53	18	I'm going to show you, these are documents from the
12:38:55	19	Secretary of State's office, and this is 2002, general election
12:39:00	20	11-5, 2002, do you see that on top?
12:39:04	21	A. I do.
12:39:04	22	Q. If we can turn to Senate District 10 in that race.
12:39:16	23	All right. Would you agree that those election
12:39:20	24	results show that in 2002, Kim Brimer beat Hal Ray, the
12:39:32	25	Democrat

12:39:32	1	Α.	I see that.
12:39:33	2	Q.	58.71 39.93 percent?
12:39:33	3	Α.	I see that.
12:39:34	4	Q.	Sound beating there by almost 20 percent points,
12:39:36	5	right?	
12:39:37	6	Α.	Yes.
12:39:38	7	Q.	Well, that's a sound beating, isn't it?
12:39:40	8	Α.	Yes, it is. I'm just doing your math.
12:39:54	9		MR. SWEETEN: Let's go to the next page. If we could,
12:39:56	10	the next	race.
12:40:07	11	BY MR. SW	EETEN:
12:40:08	12	Q.	You can see where it says Race Summary Report 2004
12:40:10	13	General E	lection, correct?
12:40:11	14	Α.	Yes.
12:40:12	15	Q.	And if we move to the bottom of the next page, it says
12:40:14	16	Senate Di	strict 10, right?
12:40:15	17	Α.	Right.
12:40:16	18	Q.	In that race, the Republican incumbent Kim Brimer
12:40:21	19	defeated	Democratic candidate Andrew Hill, right?
12:40:23	20	Α.	That's correct.
12:40:24	21	Q.	Brimer won the seat 59.25 percent to 40.75, right?
12:40:29	22	Α.	That's right.
12:40:29	23	Q.	About a 19 point spread?
12:40:32	24	Α.	About.
12:40:32	25	Q.	Let's move to the '08 election. We turn to page five.
		1	

12:40:36 1 We can see it says Race Summary Report '08 General Election. 12:40:41 2 Α. That's correct. 12:40:42 3 Q. Going to the next page, you see where it says Senate District 10, right? 4 12:40:46 5 Α. I do. 12:40:46 12:40:47 And here we have long-term Republican incumbent Kim Q. 12:40:53 7 Brimer against Democrat Wendy Davis; is that right? 12:40:55 8 Α. Yes. 12:40:55 And in upset victory, Davis beats Kim Brimer, correct? Q. Α. That's correct. 12:41:01 10 12:41:02 11 All right. And it was a 2.4 percent margin in that Q. 12:41:06 12 win, right? 12:41:07 13 Α. Right. 12:41:07 14 Let's go to the 2012 election now. And the race Q. 12:41:12 15 summary report for '12 general election, you can see where it 12:41:16 16 says State Senate District 10, right? 12:41:19 17 Α. I see it. 12:41:20 18 And you would agree that the Democrat incumbent Davis Q. 12:41:23 19 ran against Republican Mark Shelton, right? 12:41:25 20 Α. Right. And she beat him 51.12 percent to Mr. Shelton's 48.88 12:41:25 21 Q. 12:41:33 22 right? That's correct. 12:41:34 23 Α. 12:41:34 24 All right. I believe the margin is 2.24 percent, Q. 12:41:37 25 right?

12:41:37	1	A. Right.
12:41:38	2	Q. And then we can go one more, which is the '14
12:41:42	3	election. You can see where it says Race Summary Report for the
12:41:45	4	'14 general?
12:41:46	5	A. Correct.
12:41:46	6	Q. And you would agree that it says that Konni Burton,
12:41:51	7	Republican, ran against the Democratic Candidate Libby Willis?
12:41:54	8	A. Yes.
12:41:55	9	Q. And Ms. Burton won that election contest 52.83 percent
12:42:03	10	of the vote compared to Willis's 44.72, right?
12:42:05	11	A. I see that.
12:42:07	12	Q. And then I think we've talked about your election, but
12:42:09	13	you wouldn't not disagree that Konni Burton, that you defeated
12:42:14	14	her 51.73 to 48.27, correct?
12:42:20	15	A. I wouldn't disagree with that.
12:42:24	16	Q. Okay. Very good.
12:42:25	17	Now, I want to go back to your declaration, which I
12:42:28	18	think was talked about.
12:42:31	19	MR. SWEETEN: If we could pull, Mr. Christopher,
12:42:33	20	plaintiff's Exhibit 2, paragraph number 8.
12:42:45	21	BY MR. SWEETEN:
12:42:46	22	Q. Now, here you say and here you're describing the
12:42:51	23	November 19th, 2020, meeting that you had with Ms. Huffman,
12:42:55	24	correct?
12:42:55	25	A. That's correct.

12:42:56 1 All right. And you asserted at that meeting that you 12:42:59 2 explained to Senator Huffman that SD-10's population was majority-minority, correct? 12:43:04 3 That's correct. 4 Α. 12:43:05 5 Now we talked about that very issue at your 12:43:06 Q. 6 deposition, correct? 12:43:09 7 We did. 12:43:10 Α. 12:43:10 8 Q. And we pulled out at that time the actual Citizen 12:43:15 Voting Age Population figures, correct? Yes, you did. 12:43:17 10 Α. 12:43:18 11 And you would agree with me, and I can pull them up 12:43:21 12 and we can do it again or you can just answer my question. You 12:43:25 13 would agree with me that Hispanic CVAP in the Senate District 10 12:43:32 14 is 24 percent, that Black CVAP is 20.5 percent and that White 12:43:37 15 CVAP is listed in that exhibit, which is Exhibit 22, was 12:43:42 16 53.9 percent? 12:43:43 17 Α. I don't disagree with the CVAP. 12:43:46 18 And I believe Asian CVAP was 3.2 percent. Does that Q. 12:43:52 19 sound right? 12:43:52 20 Yes, it is. Α. So even if you add it, assuming they all voted 12:43:53 21 Q. together -- let's put that aside -- even if you added the 12:43:57 22 Citizenship Voting Age Population for Asian, Hispanic and the 12:44:01 23 Black population, you're not even cresting over 50 percent, 12:44:04 24 12:44:08 25 right?

1	A. Near 50 percent.
2	Q. My question was different. My question is, you don't
3	get over 50 percent?
4	A. In the voting age population.
5	Q. Under the citizen age population?
6	A. I will agree to that.
7	Q. Okay. Now, it's obvious you would agree with me that
8	Anglo votes are necessary to win Senate District 10 under
9	(indiscernible), correct?
10	A. Under its current configuration, yes.
11	Q. Right. And you have actually said, haven't you, that
12	Republicans voted for you in Senate District 10?
13	A. I believe that I received a small percentage of
14	crossover votes.
15	Q. And you believe Democrats White Democrats voted for
16	you?
17	A. I believe what?
18	Q. You believe White Democrats voted for you?
19	A. Oh, yes.
20	Q. Okay. I think you testified to this, but I want to
21	make sure it's on the record. You were not on the Senate's
22	special committee on redistricting during the third called
23	Senate?
24	A. I was not on the redistricting committee.
25	Q. Now prior to the redistricting cycle, you had not
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

12:45:53 1 personally used the RedAppl program, correct? 12:45:57 2 Α. I did not personally use the RedAppl program. And this is your first session, correct? 12:46:01 3 Q. That's correct. 12:46:03 Α. 5 So you're saying that at no point did you get on the 12:46:03 Q. 6 RedAppl, even during the session or after? 12:46:06 7 That's correct. 12:46:10 Α. 8 Now, you understand that RedAppl was developed by the 12:46:11 Q. Texas Legislative Council to assist map drawers in creating 12:46:18 districts, correct? 12:46:24 10 12:46:25 11 Α. Yes, I do. 12:46:26 12 And you're only vaguely familiar with how it works, Q. 12:46:31 13 correct? 12:46:31 14 Α. I agree. 12:46:32 15 You don't know how its shading tools work? Q. 12:46:36 16 I have a vague understanding of it. Α. 12:46:38 17 Q. So you know that you can turn them on or keep them 12:46:42 18 turned off, right? 12:46:45 19 Α. (No response). 12:46:49 20 You do know that if a person wants to utilize racial 12:46:54 21 shading in map drawing, the user of RedAppl has to specifically select that option to turn that on. Do you know that? 12:46:59 22 12:47:01 23 Α. I do not know that, personally. 12:47:03 24 Okay. Let's show your deposition. Let's see if you Q. did last week. 12:47:06 25

12:47:07 1 MR. SWEETEN: If we can pull up Ms. Powell's 12:47:09 2 deposition, page 49, 16 through 22 are the lines. Okay. I don't think that's the quote we're looking 12:47:34 3 4 for. Can you pull that down? 12:47:37 5 JUDGE SMITH: I was watching the transcript here from 12:47:48 12:47:51 6 the court reporter and it didn't show an answer from the witness 7 12:47:53 on your answer of whether she knew that you could turn it on or 12:47:58 8 turn it off. You want to make sure there's an answer to that 12:48:02 one way or the other? BY MR. SWEETEN: 12:48:04 10 Let me ask you this. 12:48:04 11 Q. 12:48:05 12 Do you know if you can turn on or turn off shading in RedAppl? 12:48:09 13 12:48:11 14 Α. I have no personal knowledge of how to operate 12:48:15 15 RedAppl. I don't know the answer to that question, 12:48:19 16 definitively. I don't know. 12:48:20 17 Q. Understood. So if there's testimony to that effect 12:48:23 18 that you have to specifically put on racial shading to utilize 12:48:28 19 it, that there's a feature that you click or don't click, you 12:48:32 20 would not have any reason to dispute that? No, I don't dispute it. 12:48:33 21 Α. 12:48:35 22 And, in fact, it's the case that there was a colloquy Q. between you and Senator Huffman where she told you that very 12:48:39 23 12:48:43 24 thing, and said that racial shading was never turned on. You

remember that discussion, right?

12:48:46 25

12:48:47 1 Α. I do. 12:48:47 2 Q. And you have no basis to contest that, do you? 12:48:51 Α. No, I don't. Now, it's also the case that you were not in the room 12:48:53 0. 5 when Mr. Opperman, Ms. Mackin or Senator Huffman drew the lines 12:48:57 6 of your district? 12:49:04 7 12:49:05 Α. I was not in the room. And it stands to reason you have no personal knowledge 12:49:09 Q. as to how that was drawn on the RedAppl? 12:49:13 That's true, I have no personal knowledge. 12:49:17 10 Α. 12:49:19 11 In fact, you couldn't even tell me, as you're sitting 12:49:21 12 here today, whether another map program was used or red apple, 12:49:25 13 right? 12:49:25 14 Α. I couldn't tell you. 12:49:27 15 Q. That's right, you don't know, right? 12:49:28 16 I don't know. Α. 12:49:29 17 Q. Okay. I just want to get that clear on the record. 12:49:43 18 Now, throughout the redistricting process, Senator 12:49:47 19 Huffman would -- well, she would often articulate the criteria 12:49:51 20 she followed when drafting district lines; she said that publicly, correct? 12:49:54 21 12:49:55 22 That's correct. Α. MR. SWEETEN: Let's pull up, if we could, the clip of 12:49:56 23 12:50:01 24 the Redistricting Committee, the first one. And this is -- I believe this to be --12:50:05 25

12:50:10 1 (Video and audio start). 12:50:10 2 UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Committee amendment number 1... 12:50:16 3 BY MR. SWEETEN: Okay. So I believe this to be Defendants' Exhibit 62 12:50:17 Ο. 5 at 2, and I think it's line --12:50:21 MR. SWEETEN: Do we've the lines on there? 12:50:28 7 12:50:39 One second. 8 BY MR. SWEETEN: 12:50:39 I'm told there's no delineation numbers on what we're 12:50:56 9 Q. about to play you, so there's no way to specifically cite, but I 12:51:00 10 12:51:04 11 will tell you it is on Defendants' Exhibit 62 at 2. 12:51:08 12 And if for some reason you want to read along with any 12:51:11 13 of those, just ask and we'll bring Defendants' binders. 12:51:11 14 (Video and audio start). 12:51:47 15 MALE SPEAKER: -- Chair, based on Committee Amendment 12:51:51 16 Number 1, I recognize the Senator Huffman to explain the committee amendment. 12:51:58 17 12:51:58 18 SENATOR HUFFMAN: Right. Members, before discussing this specific 12:52:02 19 12:52:05 20 amendment, I'd like to remind everyone of the 12:52:08 21 criteria I used in proposing and considering the new districts. We focussed on complying with all 12:52:10 22 12:52:13 23 applicable law, including the Constitution, the 12:52:16 24 Voting Rights Act, as district populations based on 12:52:21 25 the 2020 Census focussed on keeping political

subdivisions together, keeping communities of 12:52:25 1 12:52:28 2 interest together, preserving the cores of the 12:52:30 3 existing districts, creating geographically compact districts, addressing partisan considerations, 12:52:33 5 protecting incumbents, and when possible, honoring 12:52:36 reasonable requests made by incumbent members. 12:52:40 7 12:52:43 considerations have also guided my approach to what proposed Committee amendments I'm able to support. 12:52:45 So the first... 12:52:45 (Video and audio stop). 12:52:45 10 12:52:45 11 BY MR. SWEETEN: 12:52:50 12 So, we'll just stop there. You would agree with me Q. that Senator Huffman articulated what she believed were the 12:52:53 13 12:52:58 14 criteria she used for redistricting? That's what she was doing 12:53:01 15 there, correct? 12:53:01 16 In this clip, yes. Α. 12:53:03 17 Q. That's what she said. And one of the things that she 12:53:06 18 said in there was partisan considerations, correct? 12:53:09 19 Α. That is correct. 12:53:10 20 No surprise, right? Q. 12:53:11 21 Α. That's not what she had said prior to this. Okay. Well, let's talk about that, because much has 12:53:13 22 Q.

But you would agree that she did give a statement a few days before where she said it included that the -- that it

been made of that.

12:53:16 23

12:53:17 24

12:53:20 25

included this criteria, correct? 12:53:23 1 12:53:26 2 I didn't hear that statement prior to this day. Okay. So if she said the word it "included," that 12:53:29 3 Q. doesn't necessarily means that's everything at that certain time 12:53:33 5 that she said it, right? 12:53:39 I have no idea of that. 12:53:41 Okay. Well, you would agree that if in- -- that you 12:53:42 7 Q. 8 could say, "included," but that doesn't necessarily -- that 12:53:44 doesn't mean I'm about to provide a list, does it? 12:53:47 I would assume if she provides a list, that that's the 12:53:53 10 12:53:55 11 list she intends to go by. 12:53:57 12 And she just provided a list here, where she was Q. 12:54:00 13 talking to the Senate Redistricting Committee and said 12:54:06 14 partisanship, correct? 12:54:06 15 Correct. Α. 12:54:06 16 Okay. Q. In this one instance. 12:54:07 17 Α. 12:54:08 18 But all of this aside, whatever she said, you've Q. already testified that you knew this was a partisan 12:54:10 19 12:54:14 20 redistricting, right, you knew that? In Texas, everything is partisan. 12:54:18 21 Α. And you knew that you were vulnerable as a Democrat --12:54:21 22 Q. as a freshman Democrat? 12:54:25 23 12:54:26 24 Α. I did not know I was vulnerable, because Sean Opperman 12:54:32 25 had told my staff that they didn't think there was any need for

12:54:32	1	the district to change.
12:54:32	2	Q. And you were
12:54:36	3	A. It was likely going to stay the same.
12:54:38	4	Q. Ma'am, you weren't even in that conversation.
12:54:40	5	A. I wasn't, but
12:54:40	6	Q. And you weren't
12:54:41	7	A my staff was.
12:54:42	8	Q. You understand that that conference occurred a year
12:54:44	9	and a half before actual Census figures were out, correct?
12:54:48	10	A. I do.
12:54:50	11	Q. You understand that there wasn't even a senator in the
12:54:52	12	room when that conversation took place, right?
12:54:55	13	A. Right.
12:54:56	14	Q. Okay. You understand it is senators who make the
12:54:59	15	decisions on what what is going to be how things are going
12:55:01	16	to be redistricted, right?
12:55:04	17	A. I'll accept that.
12:55:05	18	Q. Okay. They make the decisions in committee?
12:55:08	19	A. They do make decision.
12:55:10	20	Q. They make decisions on the floor?
12:55:13	21	A. (No response).
12:55:13	22	Q. A lot can happen, also, in a year and a half?
12:55:16	23	A. Certainly.
12:55:17	24	Q. Particularly, when the official population numbers
12:55:20	25	come out and redistricting actually starts in earnest, right?

12:55:24 1 Α. Right.

2

3

5

6

7

8

- And there's something else, too, right? And that is that Census data came out very late in 2021, correct?
 - It did come late. Α.
- It came out late, because the federal government, Q. although statutorily bound to provide the information by April 1st, did not get it out, the official data, until September 16th, 2021?
 - I'll accept it, if you say it is. Α.
 - You don't disagree with it, right? Q.
 - Α. I'm not disagreeing.
- Now, you would agree with me that the State of Texas Q. had already been sued at the time that -- that the Census data came out, by the Gutierrez plaintiffs, for it -- for having a map -- one of the things they argued is that the maps were, you know, malapportioned, right? So there was already litigation starting in September and October about the fact that the redistricting maps had not been completed, right?
 - I'll accept that, if you say so. Α.
- You would agree that this was a historically compressed time period for redistricting to be conducted, wasn't it?
 - Α. I think it is a compressed time period.
- And that's because of COVID-19, the unprecedented Ο. pandemic that we -- you know, a 100-year pandemic came along and

12:55:53 12

12:55:52 11

- 12:55:55 13 12:55:59 14
- 12:56:04 15
- 12:56:08 16
- 12:56:14 17
- 12:56:17 18
- 12:56:19 19
- 12:56:21 20
- 12:56:24 21
- 12:56:28 22
- 12:56:28 23 12:56:31 24
- 12:56:34 25

moved things, including Census data getting to states, right? 12:56:37 1 12:56:41 2 Α. Yes. What day is candidate filing period, Senator Powell? 12:56:42 3 Q. December the 8th; is that right? 12:56:48 Α. 5 That's the last day. The first day is November 13th, 12:56:51 Q. 12:56:51 6 isn't it? 12:56:56 7 Α. I wouldn't disagree with that. Okay. There's about a month window and to have 8 12:56:57 Q. candidates filing, you have to have districts figured out, 12:57:00 9 right? 12:57:04 10 12:57:05 11 Α. Right. 12:57:07 12 So this Legislature was under intense pressure, being Q. 12:57:12 13 sued in some quarters, in trying to get a map, trying to get 12:57:16 14 maps out, so an election could be run, right? 12:57:22 15 I'm not going to agree to intense pressure. The time Α. 12:57:27 16 frame is what it is. 12:57:28 17 Q. Okay. Well, you would agree with me that in that 12:57:32 18 30-day period, between September 20th and October 19th, that 12:57:38 19 the -- which is a 30-day special session -- let me ask it a 12:57:42 20 better way. Special sessions last 30 days, right? 12:57:42 21 12:57:44 22 Α. Right. 12:57:45 23 Q. The Governor called a special session back in early 12:57:48 24 September, saying we're going to have a 30-day session, and part 12:57:50 25 of what we were -- are going to consider is redistricting,

12:57:52 1 right? 12:57:53 2 Α. Right. 12:57:53 3 Q. And you would agree with me that in that 30-day period, the Legislature, both Houses, got four maps passed; the 12:57:56 5 SBOE, Congress, House and Senate, right? 12:58:03 That is right. 12:58:06 Α. 7 Now, I know you're a freshman, but are you -- I 12:58:06 Q. 8 mean -- well, I'll ask a different question. 12:58:10 But they were all signed by the Governor, right? 12:58:12 12:58:18 10 Α. Yes. 12:58:18 11 October 25th, they were signed by the Governor, right? Q. 12:58:23 12 Right. Α. Just in time for candidate filing, right? 12:58:23 13 Q. 12:58:28 14 Α. Yes. Okay. Let's go, if we could, let's look at -- I 12:58:31 15 Q. 12:58:36 16 believe this is Exhibit 64. There's a video clip of the Senate debate at 15:13 to 16:4. 12:58:41 17 12:58:45 18 MR. SWEETEN: Could you play it? 12:58:45 19 JUDGE GUADERRAMA: Mr. Sweeten, it is almost 12:58:49 20 1 o'clock. 12:58:49 21 MR. SWEETEN: Okay. JUDGE GUADERRAMA: Should we take a lunch break now or 12:58:49 22 12:58:49 23 wait till your done? 12:58:54 24 MR. SWEETEN: I probably have 20 more minutes, just do at the other end. That sounds great, Judge Guaderrama. 12:58:58 25

```
1
                      THE COURT: All right. We're going to go ahead and
12:58:59
12:59:00
       2
            take our lunch recess. If you-all be back at 2 o'clock, we'll
12:59:05 3
            resume our proceedings then.
                      Ms. Powell, if you would be back at 2:00, thank you.
12:59:07 4
12:59:13 5
                      COURTROOM SECURITY OFFICER: All rise.
        6
                      (Lunch break at 12:59 p.m.)
        7
        8
        9
       10
       11
       12
       13
       14
       15
       16
       17
       18
       19
       20
       21
       22
       23
       24
       25
```

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter. I further certify that the transcript fees and format comply with those prescribed by the Court and the Judicial Conference of the United States. Signature:/s/KATHLEEN ANN SUPNET February 23, 2022 Kathleen A. Supnet, CSR Date